

## **Board Report**

Los Angeles County
Metropolitan Transportation
Authority
One Gateway Plaza
3rd Floor Board Room
Los Angeles, CA

File #: 2017-0319, File Type: Formula Allocation / Local Return Agenda Number: 11.

FINANCE BUDGET AND AUDIT COMMITTEE
JUNE 14, 2017

SUBJECT: FISCAL YEAR 2018 TRANSIT FUND ALLOCATIONS

ACTION: APPROVE FY2018 TRANSIT FUND ALLOCATIONS AND RELATED ACTIONS

#### RECOMMENDATION

#### CONSIDER:

- A. APPROVING \$2.1 billion in FY2018 Transit Fund Allocations for Los Angeles County jurisdictions, transit operators and Metro operations as shown in Attachment A. These allocations comply with federal, state and local regulations and LACMTA Board approved policies and guidelines. Measure M allocations are subject to Board approval of Measure M guidelines.
- B. AUTHORIZING the Chief Executive Officer to adjust FY2018 Federal Section 5307 (Urbanized Formula), Section 5339 (Bus and Bus Facilities) and Section 5337 (State of Good Repair) allocations upon receipt of final apportionment from the Federal Transit Authority and amend FY2018 budget as necessary to reflect the aforementioned adjustment.
- C. APPROVING fund exchange in the amount of \$6.0 million of Santa Monica's Big Blue Bus' FY2018 Federal Section 5307 formula share allocation with Metro's TDA Article 4 allocation.
- D. APPROVING fund exchange of Federal Section 5307 discretionary fund awarded to the Southern California Regional Transit Training Consortium (SCRTTC) through Long Beach Transit in the amount of \$300,000 with Metro's TDA Article 4 allocation.
- E. APPROVING fund exchanges in the amount totaling \$11.2 million of Metro's share of Federal Section 5307 with Municipal Operators' shares of Federal Sections 5339 and 5337.
- F. ADOPTING a resolution designating Transportation Development Act (TDA) and State Transit Assistance (STA) fund allocations are in compliance with the terms and conditions of the allocations (Attachment C); and
- G. AUTHORIZING the Chief Executive Officer to negotiate and execute all necessary agreements to implement the above funding programs.

File #: 2017-0319, File Type: Formula Allocation / Local Return Agenda Number: 11.

#### **ISSUES**

Each year, transit operating and capital funds consisting of federal, state and local revenues
are allocated to Metro operations, transit operators and Los Angeles County local jurisdictions for
programs, projects and services according to federal guidelines, state laws and established
funding policies and procedures. The Board of Directors must approve allocations for FY2018
before funds can be disbursed.

- The Tier 2 Operators Funding Program continues with \$6.0 million funding from Proposition A 95% of 40% Discretionary growth over inflation.
- Santa Monica's Big Blue Bus (BBB) is requesting a \$6.0 million fund exchange of its FY2018
  Federal Section 5307 formula allocation with Metro's non-federal funds in order to pay capital
  projects that require local funds such as mid-life bus rebuilds, yard improvements, farebox
  upgrades, facility improvements and advanced technology projects.
- The Municipal Operators are requesting fund exchanges of their Federal Sections 5339 and 5337 allocations with Metro's share of Federal Section 5307 allocation in order to minimize the impact on administrative processes associated with these funding programs.
- At its April 18, 2017 meeting, the Bus Operators Subcommittee awarded \$300,000 a year for three years of Federal Section 5307 15% Discretionary fund to the Southern California Regional Transit Training Consortium (SCRTTC) through Long Beach Transit. Funds will be exchanged with Metro's share of the Transportation Development Act (TDA) funds.

#### **BACKGROUND**

LACMTA developed the recommended FY2018 Transit Fund Allocations according to federal, state and local requirements, as well as policies and guidelines previously approved by LACMTA Board. Details of significant information, methodologies and assumptions are described in **Attachment B**.

The allocation of Measure M funds included in this report, the 20% Transit Operations and 17% Local Return funds, are subject to Board approval of the Measure M Guidelines.

We have reviewed the recommended allocations, related methodologies and assumptions with Metro operations, transit operators, Los Angeles County local jurisdictions, The Technical Advisory Committee (TAC), the Bus Operators Subcommittee (BOS) and the Local Transit Systems Subcommittee (LTSS). The TAC, the BOS and the LTSS have all formally adopted the recommended FY2018 Transit Fund Allocations.

File #: 2017-0319, File Type: Formula Allocation / Local Return Agenda Number: 11.

#### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA), as the Regional Transportation Planning Entity for Los Angeles County, is responsible for planning, programming and allocating transportation funding to Los Angeles County jurisdictions, transit operators, and Metro Operations. LACMTA Board approval will allow the continued funding of transportation projects, programs and services in Los Angeles County.

#### **OPTIONS**

The Board may choose not to approve the FY2018 Transit Fund Allocations. This alternative is not recommended because federal, state and local requirements, as well as prior LACMTA Board policies and guidelines require us to annually allocate funding to Los Angeles County jurisdictions, transit operators, and Metro Operations for programs, projects and services. Allocation methodologies and assumptions comply with federal, state and local requirements, as well as policies and guidelines previously approved by LACMTA Board.

#### FINANCIAL IMPACT

The FY2018 Transit Fund Allocations are included in the FY2018 Budget in multiple cost centers and multiple projects. Approval of these recommendations authorizes LACMTA to disburse these funds to the Los Angeles County jurisdictions and transit operators.

#### **NEXT STEPS**

After the Board of Directors approves the recommended allocations and adopts the resolution, LACMTA will work with Los Angeles County jurisdictions, transit operators, Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) and Metro Operations to ensure the proper disbursement of funds.

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

- A. FY2018 Transit Fund Allocations
- B. Summary of Significant Information, Methodologies and Assumptions
- C. TDA and STA Resolution

Prepared by: Manijeh Ahmadi, Principal Transportation Planner, (213) 922-3083

Michelle Navarro, Senior Director, (213) 922-3056

Reviewed by: Nalini Ahuja, Chief Financial Officer, (213) 922-3088

Phillip A. Washington Chief Executive Officer



**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority** 

## TRANSIT FUND ALLOCATIONS

July 1, 2017 - June 30, 2018

**ATTACHMENT A** 

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

#### **ATTACHMENT A**

## Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Transit Fund Allocations Fiscal Year 2018

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

## **I. BUS TRANSIT SUBSIDIES**

## **State and Local Funds:**

Revenue Estimates	1-2
State and Local Fund Summary	3
Bus Transit Funding % Shares	4
Included and Eligible Operators Estimated Funding Levels	5
Proposition C 5% Transit Security Funding Allocation	6
Proposition C 40% Discretionary Programs	7
Municipal Operators Service Improvement Program (MOSIP) .	
Zero-Fare Compensation for Commerce . Foothill Transit Mitigation .	
Transit Service Expansion . Discretionary Base Restructuring .	
BSIP Overcrowding Relief. Proposition 1B Bridge Funds - PTMISEA & SECURITY	
Proposition 1B Bridge Funding - PTMISEA (Worksheet)	8
Proposition 1B Bridge Funding - Security (Worksheet)	9
Measure R	10
Measure M	11
Tier 2 Operators	12
Federal Formula Grants:	
Revenue Estimates	13
Summary	14
Capital Allocation Procedure - % Share Calculation	15-16
Federal Section 5307 Urbanized Formula Program	17
Federal Section 5339 Bus and Bus Facilities	18
Federal Section 5337 State of Good Repair	19
II. LOCAL SUBSIDIES	
Incentive Programs	20-22
Local Returns, TDA Articles 3 & 8	23-25

**ATTACHMENT A** 

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

**Bus Transit Subsidies** 

## STATE AND LOCAL FUNDS

	RE	VENUE ESTIMAT	ΓES				
STATE AND LOCAL		FY18 Estimated Revenue	Carryover FY16 Budget vs Actual	Interest FY16 Actual	FY18 Total Funds Available	N O T E	FY17 Total Funds Available
Transportation Development Act:							
Planning & Administration:							
Planning - Metro		\$ 2,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,000,000		\$ 2,000,000
2 Planning - SCAG		3,007,500	7,521		3,015,021		3,035,559
3 Administration - Metro		3,492,500	(7,521)		3,484,979		3,464,441
4	Sub-total	8,500,000	-	-	8,500,000		8,500,000
5 Article 3 Pedestrian & Bikeways	2.0000%	7,850,000	20,055		7,870,055		7,924,824
6 Article 4 Bus Transit	91.6536%	359,740,268	919,051	1,416,021	362,075,340		364,667,432
7 Article 8 Streets & Highways	6.3464%	24,909,732	63,638		24,973,370		25,188,543
8 Total		401,000,000	1,002,744	1,416,021	403,418,765	а	406,280,799
Proposition A:							
9 Administration	5.0000%	40,100,000	6,824		40,106,824		40,357,732
10 Local Return	25.0000%	190,475,000	n/a		190,475,000	С	188,978,750
11 Rail Development	35.0000%	266,665,000	45,377		266,710,377		268,378,917
Bus Transit:	40.0000%	000 007 504	. 1.		000 007 504	١.	004 000 070
12 95% of 40% Capped at CPI 1.7500% 13 95% of 40% Over CPI		238,937,564	n/a		238,937,564	b	234,828,073
	Sub-total	50,584,436 289,522,000	-		50,584,436 289,522,000	d	52,419,627 287,247,700
14	Sub-iolai	289,522,000	-		289,522,000		287,247,700
15 5% of 40% Incentive		15,238,000	2,593		15,240,593		15,335,938
16 Total		802,000,000	54,794		802,054,794	а	800,299,037
Proposition C:							
17 Administration	1.5000%	12,030,000	2,144		12,032,144		12,106,982
18 Rail/Bus Security	5.0000%	39,498,500	7,039		39,505,539		39,751,257
19 Commuter Rail	10.0000%	78,997,000	14,078		79,011,078		79,502,514
20 Local Return	20.0000%	157,994,000	n/a		157,994,000	С	156,752,900
21 Freeways and Highways	25.0000%	197,492,500	35,196		197,527,696	Ĭ	198,756,285
22 Discretionary	40.0000%	315,988,000	56,313		316,044,313		318,010,055
23 Total		802,000,000	114,770		802,114,770	а	804,879,993
State Transit Assistance:		00 000 000	(4.4.400.400)	404.000	40.044.000		00.077.000
24 Bus (PUC 99314 Rev Base Share)		28,000,000	(14,490,130)	134,993	13,644,863	е	29,277,328
25 Rail (PUC 99313 Population Share)		32,000,000	(12,506,066)	41,581	19,535,515		29,665,148
26 Total		60,000,000	(26,996,196)	176,574	33,180,378		58,942,476

	REVENUE	ESTIMATES (c	ontinued)				
STATE AND LOCAL	STATE AND LOCAL						FY17 Total Funds Available
_							
Measure R:	4.50000/	40.000.000	00.040	505.040	40 507 004		40 404 774
27 Administration	1.5000%	12,030,000	22,018	535,646	12,587,664		12,401,771
28 Transit Capital - "New Rail"	35.0000%	276,489,500	506,039	(1,143,270)	275,852,269		278,588,940
29 Transit Capital - Metrolink	3.0000%	23,699,100	43,375	1,752,117	25,494,592		25,063,336
30 Transit Capital - Metro Rail	2.0000% 20.0000%	15,799,400	28,917	(806,835)	15,021,482		16,099,796
31 Highway Capital	5.0000%	157,994,000 39,498,500	289,165	5,176,782	163,459,947		162,012,631
32 Operations "New Rail" 33 Operations Bus	20.0000%	157,994,000	72,291 289,165	1,031,693 (234,359)	40,602,484 158,048,806		40,384,729 158,958,494
33 Operations bus 34 Local Return	15.0000%	118,495,500	269, 165 n/a	(234,359)	118,495,449		117,554,748
35 Total	15.0000%	802,000,000	1,250,970	6,311,723	809,562,693	c a	811,064,445
35 Total		802,000,000	1,230,970	0,311,723	009,302,093	a	611,004,443
Measure M:  Local Return Supplemental & Administration:						f	
36 Administration	0.5000%	3,923,785	-		3,923,785		=
37 Supplemental transfer to Local Return	1.0000%	7,504,715	n/a		7,504,715	c,g	-
38 Sub-total		11,428,500			11,428,500		
39 Local Return Base	16.0000%	120,075,440	n/a		120,075,440	c,g	-
40 Metro Rail Operations	5.0000%	37,523,575	-		37,523,575		-
41 Transit Operations (Metro & Municipal Providers)	20.0000%	150,094,300	-		150,094,300		-
42 ADA Paratransit/Metro Discounts for Seniors & Students	2.0000%	15,009,430	-		15,009,430		-
43 Transit Construction	35.0000%	262,665,025	-		262,665,025		-
44 Metro State of Good Repairs	2.0000%	15,009,430	-		15,009,430		-
45 Highway Construction	17.0000%	127,580,155 15,009,430	-		127,580,155		-
i o	46 Metro Active Transportation Program 2.0000% 47 Regional Rail 1.0000%				15,009,430		-
47 Regional Rail	7,504,715	-		7,504,715		-	
48 Total	761,900,000	-	-	761,900,000		-	
49 Total Funds Available	\$ 3,628,900,000	\$ (24,572,918)	\$ 7,904,318	\$ 3,612,231,400		\$ 2,881,466,750	
Total Blanning & Admin Allegations							
Total Planning & Admin Allocations: 50 (Lines 4, 9, 17, 27 and 36)		\$ 76,583,785	\$ 30,985	\$ 535,646	\$ 77,150,416		\$ 73,366,485
50 (Lines 4, 5, 17, 27 and 50)		ψ /0,000,760	ψ 30,965	ψ 555,646	ψ 11,130,416	<u> </u>	ψ / 3,300,465

- a) The revenue estimate is 2.6% over the FY17 revenue estimate based on several economic forecasts evaluated by MTA.
- b) CPI of 1.75% represents the average estimated growth rate based on various forecasting sources and historical trends applied to Prop A discretionary allocated to Included operators.
- c) Local Return Subfunds do not show carryover balances. These funds are distributed in the same period received.
- d) Proposition A 95% of 40% Bus Transit current year estimate will be used to fund Eligible and Tier 2 operators. The carryover is not shown since it has been converted into Proposition C 40% discretionary to fund various Board-approved discretionary programs.
- e) STA Revenue estimate from the State Controller's office is reduced by \$14.5M for the revenue based share and \$6M for the population based share due to anticipated shortfall of FY18 revenue.
- f) Revenues for Measure M's inaugural year are estimated to approximate 95 percent of the Proposition A, C and Measure R revenues. This is based on past history with new sales tax ordinance receipts. The remaining 5 percent will carryover to FY19.
- g) Measure M provides for a total of 17% net revenues for Local Return. Supplement of 1% to be funded by 1.5% administration.

						LOCAL FUN	IDS				
		TDA Article 4 +	Formula Alloca	Proposition A 95% of 40 % Discretionary	Sub-Total FAP	Proposition C 5% Security	Proposition C 40% Discretionary	Meas 20% Bus Operations	ure R Clean Fuel & Facilities	Measure M	Total State and Local Funds
	Included Operators:										
1	Metro Bus Ops	\$ 262,223,136	\$ 10,119,334	\$ 175,658,316	\$ 448,000,786	\$ 28,586,677	\$ 19,408,036	\$ 108,667,922	\$ 6,653,343	\$ 103,730,543	\$ 715,047,307
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Municipal Operators: Arcadia Claremont Commerce Culver City Foothill Transit Gardena La Mirada Long Beach	266,793 151,235 416,134 5,172,364 23,116,173 5,116,125 111,396 22,700,848	10,054 5,699 15,682 194,921 871,136 192,802 4,198 844,179	176,060 99,802 274,611 3,413,301 16,526,131 3,376,188 73,511 14,782,570	452,907 256,736 706,427 8,780,586 40,513,440 8,685,115 189,105 38,327,597	7,164 3,104 40,716 374,954 900,983 237,924 3,413 1,745,933	83,114 53,328 1,051,618 2,019,367 9,440,544 2,396,362 25,599 9,589,534	108,213 61,342 168,786 2,097,944 9,376,067 2,075,133 45,183 9,085,927	17,288 7,368 32,878 140,984 816,437 121,059 6,658 613,168	103,062 58,422 160,753 1,998,085 8,929,782 1,976,360 43,032 8,653,452	771,748 440,300 2,161,178 15,411,920 69,977,254 15,491,954 312,990 68,015,611
10 11 12 13 14 15	Montebello Norwalk Redondo Beach Santa Monica Torrance Sub-Total	7,932,178 2,883,178 698,276 25,160,976 6,126,528 99,852,204	298,925 108,653 26,315 722,084 230,879 3,525,529	5,234,533 2,174,235 460,800 12,644,542 4,042,964 63,279,248	13,465,636 5,166,066 1,185,390 38,527,602 10,400,372 166,656,980	470,252 91,421 26,272 1,099,488 252,968 5,254,592	3,577,258 776,017 221,670 6,684,328 3,488,624 39,407,363	3,217,342 1,169,435 283,225 7,771,814 2,484,959 37,945,371	190,109 55,649 27,001 454,854 134,498 2,617,952	3,064,202 1,113,772 269,744 7,401,889 2,366,679 36,139,236	23,984,800 8,372,361 2,013,301 61,939,975 19,128,100 288,021,493
16 17 18 19 20	Antelope Valley LADOT Santa Clarita Foothill BSCP Sub-Total	- - - -	- - - -	4,097,338 20,381,956 4,156,122 4,464,961 33,100,377	4,097,338 20,381,956 4,156,122 4,464,961 33,100,377	201,215 1,308,803 203,699 - 1,713,717	1,922,958 7,291,709 2,369,094 903,740 12,487,501	2,382,333 4,869,857 2,416,512 1,066,812 10,735,514	186,720 356,991 184,995 - 728,705	2,268,938 4,638,060 2,301,490 1,016,034 10,224,521	11,059,501 38,847,377 11,631,912 7,451,546 68,990,335
21 22 23 24 25	Tier 2 Operators:  LADOT Community Dash Glendale Pasadena Burbank Sub-Total  Lynwood Trolley	- - - - -	- - - - -	4,712,808 674,726 472,954 139,512 6,000,000	4,712,808 674,726 472,954 139,512 6,000,000		- - - - - 215,801	- - - - -	- - - -	-	4,712,808 674,726 472,954 139,512 6,000,000 215,801
	Total Excluding Metro  Grand Total	99,852,204 <b>\$ 362,075,340</b>	3,525,529 <b>\$ 13,644,863</b>	102,379,626 \$ 278,037,941	205,757,358 \$ 653,758,144	6,968,308 <b>\$ 35,554,985</b>	52,110,665 <b>\$ 71,518,701</b>	48,680,884 \$157,348,806	3,346,657 <b>\$ 10,000,000</b>	46,363,757 \$ 150,094,300	363,227,629 \$1,078,274,937

#### **ATTACHMENT A**

## Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Transit Fund Allocations Fiscal Year 2018

	BUS TRANSIT FUNDING PERCENTAGE SHARES											
	Operators	Vehicle Service Miles(VSM)	Passenger Revenue (\$) <sup>(1)</sup>	Base Fare (\$)	Fare Units	Fare Units Prior to Fare Increase/ decrease	Fare Units Used in FAP (2)	Sum 50% VSM + 50% Fare Units	Proposition A Base Share	DAR Cap Adjustment (3)	TDA/STA Share	
	Included Operators											
	Metro Bus Ops.(4)	75,809,000	253,594,000	\$ 1.75	144,910,857	197,161,600	197,161,600	136,485,300	74.1622%	0.0000%	74.1622%	
2	Arcadia DR	179,075	60,425	0.50	120,850	72,829	72,829	125,952	0.0684%	0.0000%	0.0684%	
3	Arcadia MB	19,308	-	-	-	-	-	9,654	0.0052%	0.0000%	0.0052%	
4	Claremont	71,900	73,100	2.50	29,240	81,840	81,840	76,870	0.0418%	0.0000%	0.0418%	
5	Commerce	423,026	-	-	-	-	-	211,513	0.1149%	0.0000%	0.1149%	
6	Culver City	1,584,824	3,405,681	1.00	3,405,681	3,673,208	3,673,208	2,629,016	1.4285%	0.0000%	1.4285%	
7	Foothill	9,278,039	13,797,050	1.25	11,037,640	14,221,000	14,221,000	11,749,520	6.3844%	0.0000%	6.3844%	
8	Gardena	1,497,262	2,348,284	1.00	2,348,284	3,703,600	3,703,600	2,600,431	1.4130%	0.0000%	1.4130%	
9	La Mirada	73,006	40,235	1.00	40,235		40,235	56,621	0.0308%	0.0000%	0.0308%	
10	Long Beach	6,799,410	15,743,574	1.25	12,594,859	15,972,456	15,972,456	11,385,933	6.1868%	0.0000%	6.1868%	
11	Montebello	2,208,000	4,828,000	1.10	4,389,091	5,855,556	5,855,556	4,031,778	2.1908%	0.0000%	2.1908%	
12	Norwalk	836,863	1,223,103	1.25	978,482	2,094,068	2,094,068	1,465,466	0.7963%	0.0000%	0.7963%	
13	Redondo Beach DR	18,370	3,630	1.00	3,630		3,630	11,000	0.0060%	0.0000%	0.0060%	
14	Redondo Beach MB	371,397	316,444	1.00	316,444		316,444	343,921	0.1869%	0.0000%	0.1869%	
15	Santa Monica	4,817,000	12,721,000	1.25	10,176,800	14,661,333	14,661,333	9,739,167	5.2920%	0.0000%	5.2920%	
16	Torrance	1,718,000	2,485,600	1.00	2,485,600	4,510,000	4,510,000	3,114,000	1.6921%	0.0000%	1.6921%	
17	Sub-Total	105,704,480	310,640,126		192,837,694		262,367,799	184,036,140	100.0000%	0.0000%	100.0000%	
	Eligible Operators											
	Antelope Valley	2,848,346	4,821,369	1.50	3,214,246	3,543,241	3,543,241	3,195,794	1.6222%	0.0000%	1.6222%	
-	Santa Clarita	2,880,084	3,603,203	1.00	3,603,203		3,603,203	3,241,644	1.6455%	0.0000%	1.6455%	
-	LADOT Local	1,910,719	3,188,424	0.50	6,376,848	6,727,520	6,727,520	4,319,120	2.1924%	0.0000%	2.1924%	
	LADOT Express	1,274,324	3,120,479	1.50	2,080,319	3,152,832	3,152,832	2,213,578	1.1236%	0.0000%	1.1236%	
	Foothill - BSCP Sub-Total	1,233,106	1,425,786	1.25	1,140,629	1,650,000	1,650,000	1,441,553	0.7264%	0.0000%	0.7264%	
23	Sub-10tal	10,146,579	16,159,261		16,415,245		18,676,796	14,411,688	7.3100%	0.0000%	7.3100%	
24	Total	115,851,059	326,799,387		209,252,939		281,044,595	198,447,827				

<sup>(1)</sup> Operators' statistics exclude BSIP, TSE, Base Restructuring and MOSIP (including Metro's consent decree) services that are funded from PC 40% Discretionary. Also excluded are services funded from other sources (CRD, FTA, etc.)

<sup>(2)</sup> Fare units used are frozen to the level prior to fare change in accordance with the Funding Stability policy, adopted by the Board in November 2007. Statring FY18 Arcadia's fare unit will be frozen at its FY17 fare unit level.

<sup>(3)</sup> TDA cap of 0.25% is applied for DAR operators - Arcadia, Claremont, La Mirada and Redondo Beach DR.

<sup>(4)</sup> MTA Statistics include contracted services with LADOT for Lines 422, 601 and 602, Glendale and PVPTA.

ĺ			NC	LUDED & EL	IGIE			RS ESTIMAT		FUNDING L	EVELS					
						icle 4 plus inte				STA		Pr	oposition A	Total	Tw	o Year Lag
	Operators	TDA & STA		Allonotori	F	!		Net	Re	v Base Share	Prop A Disc %	Di	scretionary	Formula	ı	Funding
		% Shares		Allocated	rui	nd Exchange		Net	Р	lus Interest	Shares		(1) (2)	Funds		(2)
	Included Operators															
1	Metro Bus Ops	74.1622%	\$	268,523,136	\$	(6,300,000)	\$	262,223,136	\$	10,119,334	74.1622%	\$	175,658,316	\$ 448,000,786	\$	(1,543,103)
2	Arcadia DR	0.0684%		247,800				247,800		9,338	0.0684%		163,526	420,664		
3	Arcadia MB	0.0052%		18,993				18,993		716	0.0052%		12,534	32,243		
4	Claremont	0.0418%		151,235				151,235		5,699	0.0418%		99,802	256,736		
5	Commerce	0.1149%		416,134				416,134		15,682	0.1149%		274,611	706,427		
6	Culver City	1.4285%		5,172,364				5,172,364		194,921	1.4285%		3,413,301	8,780,586		
7	Foothill	6.3844%		23,116,173				23,116,173		871,136	6.3844%		16,526,131	40,513,440		1,271,509
8	Gardena	1.4130%		5,116,125				5,116,125		192,802	1.4130%		3,376,188	8,685,115		
9	La Mirada	0.0308%		111,396				111,396		4,198	0.0308%		73,511	189,105		
10	Long Beach	6.1868%		22,400,848		300,000		22,700,848		844,179	6.1868%		14,782,570	38,327,597		
11	Montebello	2.1908%		7,932,178				7,932,178		298,925	2.1908%		5,234,533	13,465,636		
12	Norwalk	0.7963%		2,883,178				2,883,178		108,653	0.7963%		2,174,235	5,166,066		271,594
13	Redondo Beach DR	0.0060%		21,642				21,642		816	0.0060%		14,282	36,739		
14	Redondo Beach MB	0.1869%		676,634				676,634		25,499	0.1869%		446,518	1,148,652		
15	Santa Monica	5.2920%		19,160,976		6,000,000		25,160,976		722,084	5.2920%		12,644,542	38,527,602		
16	Torrance	1.6921%		6,126,528				6,126,528		230,879	1.6921%		4,042,964	10,400,372		
17	Sub-Tot	al 100.0000%		362,075,340		-		362,075,340		13,644,863	100.0000%		238,937,564	614,657,767		
	Eligible Operators					Formula Ed	quiva	alent Funded fro	m P	roposition A 95	% of 40% Growth ov	ver C	CPI (3)			
18	Antelope Valley	1.6222%		-				-		221,344	1.6222%		3,875,994	4,097,338		
19	Santa Clarita	1.6455%		-				-		224,520	1.6455%		3,931,603	4,156,122		
20	LADOT Local	2.1924%		7,938,055				7,938,055		299,147	2.1924%		5,238,411	13,475,613		
21	LADOT Express	1.1236%		4,068,307				4,068,307		153,315	1.1236%		2,684,721	6,906,343		
22	Foothill - BSCP	0.7264%		2,630,166				2,630,166		99,118	0.7264%		1,735,676	4,464,961		
23	Sub-Tot	al 7.3100%		14,636,528		-		14,636,528		997,443	7.3100%		17,466,405	33,100,377		
24	Total FAP		\$	362,075,340			\$	362,075,340	\$	13,644,863	107.3100%	\$	238,937,564	\$ 647,758,144	\$	(0)
	Proposition A Discretionary (9	5% of 40%) Gr	owt	h Over CPI:												
25	Revenue													\$ 50,584,436		
	Uses of Fund:															
26	Eligible Operators - Formula	Equivalent Fund	s											33,100,377		
27	Tier 2 Operators													6,000,000		
28	Total Uses of Funds													39,100,377		
	Proposition A Discretionary (95%)		Surp	lus (Shortfall)								_		11,484,059		
30	Backfill from (Transfer to) PC409	6 Discretionary												(11,484,059)		
														\$ -		

- (1) Prop A Discretionary funds, (95% of 40%) allocated to Included Operators have been capped at 1.85% CPI for FAP allocation.
- (2) The Two-Year Lag Column is for information only. THESE AMOUNTS ARE ALREADY INCLUDED IN PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY COLUMN
- (3) These funds are allocated by formula to Eligible Operators in lieu of Section 9, TDA, STA and Prop A 40% Discretionary funds. Fund source is Prop A 95% of 40% growth over CPI.

	PROPOSITION C	5% TRANSIT SECU	IRITY FUNDING ALLOC	CATION
	Operators	FY16 Unlinked Passengers	Percent of Total Unlinked Passengers	Total Funding Allocation (1)
1	Antelope Valley	3,033,716	0.5659%	\$ 201,215
2	Arcadia	108,005	0.0201%	7,164
3	Claremont	46,800	0.0087%	3,104
4	Commerce	613,880	0.1145%	40,716
5	Culver City	5,653,192	1.0546%	374,954
6	Foothill	13,584,135	2.5341%	900,983
7	Gardena	3,587,188	0.6692%	237,924
8	LADOT Local/Express	19,732,845	3.6811%	1,308,803
9	La Mirada	51,451	0.0096%	3,413
10	Long Beach	26,323,460	4.9105%	1,745,933
11	Montebello	7,090,000	1.3226%	470,252
12	Norwalk	1,378,352	0.2571%	91,421
13	Redondo Beach DR/MB	396,096	0.0739%	26,272
14	Santa Clarita	3,071,174	0.5729%	203,699
15	Santa Monica	16,577,000	3.0924%	1,099,488
16	Torrance	3,814,000	0.7115%	252,968
17	Sub-Total	105,061,294	19.5987%	6,968,308
18	Metro Bus/Rail Ops (2)	431,001,770	80.4013%	28,586,677
19	Total	536,063,064	100.0000%	\$ 35,554,985

#### Notes:

(1) Total funding is 90% of Prop C 5% Transit Security:

Estimated Revenue: \$ 39,505,539 90% Thereof: \$ 35,554,985

(2) Metro operations data includes unlinked passengers for bus and rail.

#### **ATTACHMENT A**

# Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Transit Fund Allocations Fiscal Year 2018

	PROPOSITION C 40% DISCRETIONARY PROGRAMS													
			MOSIP		Zero-fare	Foothill	Transit	Discretionary	BSIP	Prop 1B Brid	dge Funding			
		Prop A % Share	% Share	MOSIP Amount	Compensation (1)		Service Expansion	•	Overcrowding	PTMISEA	SECURITY	TOTAL		
	INCLUDED OPERATORS													
1	Metro Bus Ops			\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7,852,034	\$ -	\$ -	\$11,556,003	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 19,408,036		
2	Arcadia Claremont	0.0737% 0.0418%	0.2223% 0.1260%	53,507 30,331	-	7,801 4,422	-	-	21,806	- 15,138	- 3,436	83,114 53,328		
4	Commerce	0.1149%	0.3467%	83,458	706,427	12,168	_	249,565	_	-	-	1,051,618		
5	Culver City	1.4285%	4.3096%	1,037,343	-	151,248	240,554	2-10,000	168,100	344,025	78,097	2,019,367		
6	Foothill	6.3844%		4,636,061	_	-	332,948	1,997,984	930,206	1,257,810	285,536	9,440,544		
7	Gardena	1.4130%	4.2627%	1,026,064	_	149,603	691,440	-	175,483	288,321	65,452	2,396,362		
8	La Mirada	0.0308%	0.0928%	22,341	_	3,257	-	_	-	-	-	25,598		
9	Long Beach	6.1868%		4,492,599	_	655.036	2,282,780	_	823,983	1,088,123	247,015	9,589,534		
10	Montebello	2.1908%	6.6090%	1,590,837	-	231,949	-	1,139,719	217,506	323,752	73,495	3,577,258		
11	Norwalk	0.7963%		578,235	-	84,309	-	, , , <u>-</u>	56,276	46,615	10,582	776,017		
12	Redondo Beach DR/MB	0.1929%	0.5818%	140,043	-	20,419	-	-	3,995	46,628	10,585	221,670		
13	Santa Monica	5.2920%	15.9648%	3,842,827	-	560,297	-	-	797,207	1,209,442	274,556	6,684,328		
14	Torrance	1.6921%	5.1046%	1,228,705	-	179,149	809,601	725,204	240,702	248,786	56,477	3,488,624		
15	Sub-Total	25.8378%	77.9472%	18,762,348	706,427	2,059,659	4,357,323	4,112,471	3,435,263	4,868,640	1,105,232	39,407,363		
	ELIGIBLE OPERATORS													
16	Antelope Valley	1.6222%	4.8938%	1,177,961	-	5,816	377,002	-	47,849	256,175	58,155	1,922,958		
17	Santa Clarita	1.6455%	4.9640%	1,194,861	-	5,899	197,183	-	51,183	749,763	170,204	2,369,094		
18	LADOT Local/Express	3.3160%		2,407,934	-	327,363	2,708,484	-	150,026	1,383,771	314,131	7,291,709		
19	Foothill BSCP	0.7264%		527,493	-	-	-	-	-	306,637	69,610	903,740		
20	Sub-Total	7.3100%	22.0528%	5,308,248	-	339,079	3,282,669	-	249,058	2,696,347	612,100	12,487,501		
21	City of Lynwood Trolley						215,801	-	-			215,801		
22	Total Municipal Operators	33.1478%	100.0000%	24,070,596	706,427	2,398,738	7,855,793	4,112,471	3,684,321	7,564,987	1,717,331	52,110,665		
23	Total	33.1478%	100.0000%	\$24,070,596	\$ 706,427	\$10,250,771	\$7,855,793	\$ 4,112,471	\$15,240,324	\$ 7,564,987	\$ 1,717,331	\$ 71,518,701		

Last Year
% Increase
Current Year

\$23,369,511	\$7,720,681	\$ 4,041,741	\$14,978,205
3.00%	1.750%	1.750%	1.750%
\$24,070,596	\$7,855,793	\$ 4,112,471	\$15,240,324

<sup>(1)</sup> Allocated as part of FAP to Commerce as compensation for having zero passenger revenues.

		BRIDG		R FY15 PROPOS location Basis -	SITION 1B PTMIS	SEA FUND		
		[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]	[E]	[F]	[G]
		[· 4	,	[-]	(C-A)	L-J	(A+E)	([E] /3)
		PTMISEA FUND	FY15 STA % Share	FAP Allocation	FAP Allocation Over (Under) STA Allocation	Bridge Funding	Total Funds	FY18 Bridge Funding (1st of 3 Installments)
	Included Operators							
1	Arcadia	\$ 132,924	0.0891%	\$ 117,917	\$ (15,007)	\$ -	\$ 132,924	\$ -
2	Claremont	40,609	0.0650%	86,023	45,414	45,414	86,023	15,138
3	Commerce	282,048	0.0921%	121,887	(160,161)	-	282,048	-
4	Culver City	873,391	1.4398%	1,905,465	1,032,074	1,032,074	1,905,465	344,025
5	Foothill	4,323,936	6.1185%	8,097,366	3,773,430	3,773,430	8,097,366	1,257,810
6	Gardena	1,014,034	1.4198%	1,878,996	864,962	864,962	1,878,996	288,321
7	La Mirada	107,067	0.0333%	44,070	(62,997)	-	107,067	-
8	Long Beach	4,904,330	6.1724%	8,168,698	3,264,368	3,264,368	8,168,698	1,088,123
9	Montebello	2,004,725	2.2487%	2,975,982	971,257	971,257	2,975,982	323,752
10	Metro Bus Ops	103,154,440	74.1778%	98,168,631	(4,985,809)	-	103,154,440	-
11	Norwalk	946,553	0.8209%	1,086,398	139,845	139,845	1,086,398	46,615
12	Redondo Beach	120,697	0.1969%	260,582	139,885	139,885	260,582	46,628
13	Santa Monica	3,529,674	5.4087%	7,158,000	3,628,326	3,628,326	7,158,000	1,209,442
14	Torrance	1,525,960	1.7170%	2,272,318	746,358	746,358	2,272,318	248,786
15	Sub-Total	122,960,388	100.0000%	132,342,333	9,381,945	14,605,919	137,566,307	4,868,640
	Eligible Operators							
16	Antelope Valley	1,265,840	1.5372%	2,034,366	768,526	768,526	2,034,366	256,175
17	Santa Clarita	-	1.6996%	2,249,290	2,249,290	2,249,290	2,249,290	749,763
18	City of Los Angeles	-	3.1368%	4,151,314	4,151,314	4,151,314	4,151,314	1,383,771
19	Foothill BSCP	-	0.6951%	919,912	919,912	919,912	919,912	306,637
20	Sub-Total	1,265,840	7.0687%	9,354,882	8,089,042	8,089,042	9,354,882	2,696,347
	T ( IM ) i i I O	404 000 000	407.00070/	111 007 015	47, 470, 007	00.004.004	110 001 100	7.504.007
21	Total Municipal Operators	124,226,228	107.0687%	141,697,215	17,470,987	22,694,961	146,921,189	7,564,987
22	SCRRA	8,116,105	-	-	-	-	8,116,105	-
23	Grand Total	\$ 132,342,333	107.0687%	\$ 141,697,215	\$ 17,470,987	\$ 22,694,961	\$ 155,037,294	\$ 7,564,987

		BRIDGE FUNDI	NG FOR FY15 P	ROPOSITION 1E	SECURITY FUN	ND	
				Allocation I	Basis - FY15		
		[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]	[E]	[F]
					(C-A)		(A+E)
	Operators				FAP		
		SECURITY	FY15 STA %	FAP Allocation	Allocation	FY18 Bridge	Total Funds
		FUND	Share	TAP Allocation	Over (Under)	Funding	Total Lulius
					STA Allocation		
	Included Operators						
1	Arcadia	\$ 10,058	0.0891%	'	\$ (1,136)		\$ 10,058
2	Claremont	3,073	0.0650%	,	3,436	3,436	6,509
3	Commerce	21,343	0.0921%	,	(12,119)	-	21,343
4	Culver City	66,090	1.4398%	,	78,097	78,097	144,187
5	Foothill	327,193	6.1185%	612,729	285,536	285,536	612,729
6	Gardena	76,732	1.4198%	142,184	65,452	65,452	142,184
7	La Mirada	8,102	0.0333%	,	(4,767)	-	8,102
8	Long Beach	371,112	6.1724%	618,127	247,015	247,015	618,127
9	Montebello	151,698	2.2487%	225,193	73,495	73,495	225,193
10	Metro Bus Ops	7,805,715	74.1778%	7,428,438	(377,277)	-	7,805,715
11	Norwalk	71,626	0.8209%	82,208	10,582	10,582	82,208
12	Redondo Beach	9,133	0.1969%	19,718	10,585	10,585	19,718
13	Santa Monica	267,091	5.4087%	541,647	274,556	274,556	541,647
14	Torrance	115,470	1.7170%	171,947	56,477	56,477	171,947
15	Sub-Total	9,304,435	100.0000%	10,014,368	709,933	1,105,232	10,409,667
	Eligible Operators						
16	Antelope Valley	95,786	1.5372%	153,941	58,155	58,155	153,941
17	Santa Clarita	33,700	1.6996%		170,204	170,204	170,204
18	City of Los Angeles	_	3.1368%	,	314,131	314,131	314,131
19	Foothill BSCP	_	0.6951%	69,610	69,610	69,610	69,610
20	Sub-Total	95,786	7.0687%		612,100	612,100	707,886
20	Sub Total	33,700	7.0007 70	707,000	012,100	012,100	707,000
21	Total Municipal Operators	9,400,221	107.0687%	10,722,254	1,322,033	1,717,331	11,117,552
22	SCRRA	614,147	-	-	-	-	614,147
23	Grand Total	\$ 10,014,368	107.0687%	\$ 10,722,254	\$ 1,322,033	\$ 1,717,331	\$ 11,731,700

#### **ATTACHMENT A**

## Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Transit Fund Allocations Fiscal Year 2018

	MEASURE R 20% BUS OPERATIONS AND CAPITAL ALLOCATIONS											
				20% Bu	us Operations			Clean Fuel Bus Capital Facilities and Rolling Stock Fund (2)				
		Proposition A Base Share	Percentage	Bus Operations	_	dership Task e (1)	Measure R Total Funds Available	Federal Section 5307 Capital		Allocation		
		%	Share	Allocation [A]	% Share	\$ Allocations [B]	[A] - [B]	Allocation Formula Share		Amount		
	Included Operators:											
1	Metro Bus Ops	74.1622%	69.1102%	\$109,227,922	80.000%	\$ 560,000	\$ 108,667,922	66.5334%	\$	6,653,343		
		0.07070/	0.000=0/	400 =04	0.04450/	0.1.1	400.040	0.47000/		47.000		
2	Arcadia	0.0737%	0.0687%	108,524	0.0445%	311	108,213	0.1729%		17,288		
3		0.0418%	0.0389%	61,518	0.0252%	176	61,342	0.0737%		7,368		
4	Commerce	0.1149%	0.1071%	169,272	0.0693%	485	168,786	0.3288%		32,878		
5		1.4285%	1.3312%	2,103,977	0.8619%	6,033	2,097,944	1.4098%		140,984		
6		6.3844%	5.9494%	9,403,032	3.8521%	26,964	9,376,067	8.1644%		816,437		
7	Gardena	1.4130%	1.3167%	2,081,101	0.8525%	5,968	2,075,133	1.2106%		121,059		
	La Mirada	0.0308%	0.0287%	45,313	0.0186%	130	45,183	0.0666%		6,658		
	Long Beach	6.1868%	5.7653%	9,112,057	3.7329%	26,130	9,085,927	6.1317%		613,168		
10	Montebello	2.1908%	2.0415%	3,226,595	1.3218%	9,253	3,217,342	1.9011%		190,109		
	Norwalk	0.7963%	0.7420%	1,172,798	0.4804%	3,363	1,169,435	0.5565%		55,649		
	Redondo Beach DR	0.0060%	0.0056%	8,803	0.0036%	25	8,778	0.2700%		27,001		
13	Redondo Beach MB	0.1869%	0.1741%	275,236	0.1128%	789	274,447	0.270070				
14	Santa Monica	5.2920%	4.9315%	7,794,165	3.1930%	22,351	7,771,814			454,854		
15	Torrance	1.6921%	1.5768%	2,492,105	1.0209%	7,146	2,484,959	1.3450%		134,498		
	Eligible Operators:											
16	Antelope Valley	1.6222%	1.5117%	2,389,184	0.9788%	6,851	2,382,333	1.8672%		186,720		
17	Santa Clarita	1.6455%	1.5334%	2,423,461	0.9928%	6,950	2,416,512	1.8499%		184,995		
18	LADOT Local	2.1924%	2.0430%	3,228,985	1.3228%	9,260	3,219,726					
19	LADOT Express	1.1236%	1.0471%	1,654,877	0.6779%	4,746	1,650,131	3.5699%		356,991		
20	l'	0.7264%	0.6769%	1,069,880	0.4383%	3,068	1,066,812					
21				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		,	, ,,,					
22	Total Municipal Operators	33.1478%	30.8898%	48,820,884	20.0000%	140,000	48,680,884	33.4666%		3,346,657		
23	Total Funds Allocated	107.3100%	100.0000%	\$158,048,806	100.0000%	\$ 700,000	\$ 157,348,806	100.0000%	\$	10,000,000		

<sup>(1)</sup> Municipal operators' Measure R Fund reduces by \$140,000 per agreement by transit operators to contribute toward Regional Ridership Task Force consulting study.

<sup>(2)</sup> Clean Fuel Capital Facilities and Rolling Stock Funds of \$10M are allocated every even fiscal year.

	MEASURE M 20% TRANS	SIT OPERATION	S (Metro and Mun	icipal Providers)
	Operators	Proposition A Base Share %	Measure M <sup>(1)</sup> Percentage Share	\$ Allocation (2)
	Included Operators:			
1	Metro Bus Ops	74.1622%	69.1102%	\$ 103,730,543
2	Arcadia	0.0737%	0.0687%	103,062
3	Claremont	0.0418%	0.0389%	58,422
4	Commerce	0.1149%	0.1071%	160,753
5	Culver City	1.4285%	1.3312%	1,998,085
6	Foothill	6.3844%	5.9494%	8,929,782
7	Gardena	1.4130%	1.3167%	1,976,360
8	La Mirada	0.0308%	0.0287%	43,032
9	Long Beach	6.1868%	5.7653%	8,653,452
10	Montebello	2.1908%	2.0415%	3,064,202
11	Norwalk	0.7963%	0.7420%	1,113,772
12	Redondo Beach DR	0.0060%	0.0056%	8,360
13	Redondo Beach MB	0.1869%	0.1741%	261,384
14	Santa Monica	5.2920%	4.9315%	7,401,889
15	Torrance	1.6921%	1.5768%	2,366,679
	Eligible Operators:			
16	Antelope Valley	1.6222%	1.5117%	2,268,938
17	Santa Clarita	1.6455%	1.5334%	2,301,490
18	LADOT Local	2.1924%	2.0430%	3,066,472
19	LADOT Express	1.1236%	1.0471%	1,571,588
20	Foothill BSCP	0.7264%	0.6769%	1,016,034
21	Total Municipal Operators	33.1478%	30.8898%	46,363,757
22	Total Funds Allocated	107.3100%	100.0000%	\$ 150,094,300

<sup>(1)</sup> Measure M % share is equal to Measure R % share.

<sup>(2)</sup> Measure M revenue represents 95% of the estimated annual receipts. This is the amount expected to be collected in FY18. The remaining 5% will carryover to FY19.

ſ											
	% Shares Calculation	TIER 2 OPI Vehicle Service Miles	Passenger Revenue	M	Base Fare	ING	Fare Units (1)		VSM +		% Share
1	LADOT Community Dash	2,769,802	\$ 3,826,413	\$	0.50		16,808,232		789,017		4.6413%
2	Glendale	615,122	932,067	·	1.00		2,187,836	1	,401,479		0.6645%
3	Pasadena	877,792	815,221		0.75		1,086,961		982,377		0.4658%
4	Burbank	313,510	266,054		1.00		266,054		289,782		0.1374%
5	Sub-Total	4,576,226	5,839,755				20,349,083	12	,462,655		5.9090%
6	Included and Eligible Operators	115,851,059	326,799,387				209,252,939	198	,447,827		94.0910%
7	Total	120,427,285	\$ 332,639,142				229,602,022	210	,910,482		100.0000%
			% Share		DA Article 4 + Interest	_	A Revenue Base hare + Interest		sition A etionary		Total
8	Funds Allocated to Included Oper	ators		\$:	362,075,340	\$	13,644,863	\$ 238	,937,564	\$6	14,657,767
	Formula Equivalent Calculation			_							
9	LADOT Community Dash		4.6413%	\$	-,,	\$	633,301		,089,842	\$	28,528,195
10	Glendale		0.6645%		2,405,954		90,669		,587,716		4,084,339
11	Pasadena		0.4658%		1,686,471		63,555	1	,112,921		2,862,947
12	Burbank		0.1374%		497,476		18,747		328,290		844,514
13	Total	•	5.9090%	\$	21,394,953	\$	806,272	\$ 14	,118,769	\$	36,319,994
14	Funds Allocated to Tier 2 Operator	ors	16.52% (2)	\$	3,534,409	\$	133,195	\$ 2	,332,396	\$	6,000,000
	Actual Allocation			Φ	0.770.405	Φ.	404.000	<b>6</b> 4	000 000	Φ.	4 740 000
15	LADOT Community Dash Glendale			\$	2,776,165	\$	104,620	<b>\$</b> 1	,832,023	\$	4,712,808
16	Pasadena				397,459 278.602		14,978 10,499		262,288 183,853		674,726 472,954
17 18	Pasadena Burbank				82,182		3,097		54,233		139,512
					,		,				
19	Total			\$	3,534,409	\$	133,195	\$ 2	,332,396	\$	6,000,000

	Prop A Incentive Allocation:	 efore Tier 2	C	GOI Allocation Deduction	Net Prop A Incentive Allocation
20	LADOT Community Dash	\$ 1,164,575	\$	(192,386)	\$ 972,189
21	Glendale	319,424		(52,768)	266,656
22	Pasadena	280,426		(46,326)	234,100
23	Burbank	131,817		(21,776)	110,041
24	Total	\$ 1,896,242	\$	(313,256)	\$ 1,582,986

<sup>(1)</sup> Funding Stability policy is applied on LADOT and Glendale Fare Units.

<sup>(2)</sup> This percentage is applied as a deduction from Tier 2 operators' Incentive Programs allocation.

**ATTACHMENT A** 

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# Bus Transit Subsidies FEDERAL FORMULA GRANTS

	FEDERAL FORMULA GRANTS REVENUE ESTIMATES										
ļ	Los Angeles County Share of Los Angeles-Long B	each-Anaheim UZA									
1	Section 5307 Urbanized Area Formula Grants: Estimated Revenue		\$	238,529,742							
2	Estimated Revenue Off the Top:	\$ 238,529,742									
3	1% Enhancement Allocation	(2,385,297)									
4		\$ 236,144,445									
5 6 7	85% Formula Allocation 15% Discretionary Allocation	\$ 200,722,778 35,421,667 \$ 236,144,445									
8	Section 5339 Bus and Bus Facilities Formula Grants: Estimated Revenue Section 5337 State of Good Repair (LA County Share of LA UZA 2):		\$	22,023,612							
9 10 11	High Intensity Fixed Guideway: Directional Route Miles (DRM) Generated Vehicle Revenue Miles (VRM) Generated	\$ 28,966,276 50,557,892 <b>\$ 79,524,168</b>									
12 13 14	High Intensity Motorbus:  Directional Route Miles (DRM) Generated  Vehicle Revenue Miles (VRM) Generated	\$ 2,254,326 2,489,280 <b>\$ 4,743,606</b>									
15	Section 5337 State of Good Repair Total Estimated Revenue		\$	84,267,774							
16	Total Federal Formula Funds Available		\$	344,821,128							

#### **ATTACHMENT A**

## Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Transit Fund Allocations Fiscal Year 2018

FEDERAL FORMULA GRANTS											
		Urbanized Fo	ormula Program (	Section 5307)	Bus & Bus	Facilities (Sect	ion 5339)	State of G	ood Repair (Se	ction 5337)	
		FY18 (1)(2) \$Allocation	Fund Exchanges	Adjusted \$ Allocation	FY18 \$Allocation	Fund Exchange	Adjusted \$ Allocation	FY18 \$Allocation	Fund Exchange	Adjusted \$ Allocation	(1) Total Federal Funds Allocation
	Included Operators:										
1	Metro Bus Ops	\$ 156,441,902	\$ (4,961,620)	\$ 151,480,282	\$ 15,107,385	\$ 6,916,227	\$22,023,612	\$ 79,922,381	\$4,345,393	\$ 84,267,774	\$ 257,771,668
	Municipal Operators:										}
2	Arcadia	357,779	39,256	397,035	39,256	(39,256)	_	_	_	_	397,035
2	Claremont	152,485	16,731	169,216	16,731	(16,731)	_		_	_	169,216
1	Commerce	680,391	74,654	755,045	74,654	(74,654)	_	_	_	_	755,045
5	Culver City	5,191,349	320,125	5,511,474	320,125	(320,125)	_	_	_	_	5,511,474
6	Foothill Transit	22,204,481	4,781,136	26,985,617	1,853,840	(1,853,840)	-	2,927,296	(2,927,296)	_	26,985,617
7	Gardena	4,622,061	274,881	4,896,942	274,881	(274,881)	-	-,02.,200	(2,02.,200)	_	4,896,942
8	La Mirada	137,790	15,119	152,909	15,119	(15,119)	_	_	-	_	152,909
9	Long Beach	12,989,273	1,248,914	14,238,187	1,392,287	(1,392,287)	-	156,627	(156,627)	_	14,238,187
10	Montebello	3,934,240	431,671	4,365,911	431,671	(431,671)	-	-	-	_	4,365,911
11	Norwalk	1,816,037	126,359	1,942,397	126,359	(126,359)	-	-	-	_	1,942,397
12	Redondo Beach	558,777	61,310	620,087	61,310	(61,310)	-	-	-	_	620,087
13	Santa Monica	13,994,252	(4,803,381)	9,190,870	1,032,812	(1,032,812)	-	163,807	(163,807)	_	9,190,870
14	Torrance	2,799,390	305,398	3,104,788	305,398	(305,398)	-	-	-	-	3,104,788
15	Sub-Total	69,438,306	2,892,172	72,330,478	5,944,442	(5,944,442)		3,247,730	(3,247,730)	-	72,330,478
									, , , , ,		
											]
	Eligible Operators:					-	-		-	-	-
16	Antelope Valley	161,164	486,527	647,691	17,683	(17,683)	-	468,844	(468,844)	-	647,691
17	LADOT	11,579,021	1,439,419	13,018,440	810,599	(810,599)	-	628,819	(628,819)	-	13,018,440
18	Santa Clarita	1,307,878	143,502	1,451,380	143,502	(143,502)	-	-	-	-	1,451,380
19	Foothill BSCP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Sub-Total	13,048,063	2,069,448	15,117,511	971,785	(971,785)		1,097,663	(1,097,663)	-	15,117,511
	Ti 0. O										
0.4	Tier 2 Operators:  LADOT Community Dash										}
21	Glendale	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Pasadena	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
23	Burbank	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
24	Sub-Total	-		-	-		-	-			-
25	Sub-10lai	-	<u>-</u>	-	-	<u>-</u>		-			<del></del>
26	Lynwood Trolley	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	Total Excluding Metro	82,486,368	4,961,620	87,447,989	6,916,227	(6,916,227)	-	4,345,393	(4,345,393)	-	87,447,989
	Ones d Tatal	¢ 000 000 574	<b>^</b>	\$ 000 000 CT4	<b>*</b> 00 000 640	^	\$00.000.010	A 04 007 == 4	•	A 04 007 774	<b>*</b> 0.45 0.40 0.57
28	Grand Total	\$ 238,928,271	\$ -	\$ 238,928,271	\$ 22,023,612	<b>&gt;</b> -	\$22,023,612	\$ 84,267,774	\$ -	<b>\$ 84,267,774</b>	\$ 345,219,657

<sup>(1)</sup> Remaining FY17 fund balance of \$398,527 was added to the FY18 Section 5307 (1% Enhancement fund) for award distribution to Municipal Operators

<sup>(2)</sup> Totals may not add due to rounding.

## **FEDERAL SECTION 5307 CAPITAL ALLOCATION**

				PITAL ALLO	CATION %	SHARE C	CALCULA					
			MILEAGE CAL				1	ACTIVE FL	EET CALC	ULATION		ı
	OPERATOR	Local Vehicle Miles [Input]	Express Vehicle Miles [Input]	Total Miles Weighted 60% Local/ 40% Express	1/3 Weight	Active Fleet (1) [Input]	Peak Bus Fixed Route (2) [Input]	Allowable Peak Bus (Peak+20%)	DAR Seats (3) [Input]	Bus Eqvt. (44 Seats per Bus)	Total Active Vehicle	1/3 Weight
1	Antelope Valley	2,474,234	1,349,547	2,024,359	0.8130%	75	63	75.0	0	0.0	75.0	0.6595%
•	Arcadia DR	219,648	-	131,789	0.0529%	0	0	0.0	184	4.2	4.2	0.0368%
3	Arcadia MB	23,145	-	13,887	0.0056%	8	6	7.2	0	0.0	7.2	0.0633%
4	Claremont	79,500	-	47,700	0.0192%	0	0	0.0	252	5.7	5.7	0.0504%
5	Commerce	470,906	-	282,544	0.1135%	18	14	16.8	50	1.1	17.9	0.1577%
6	Culver City	1,817,994	-	1,090,796	0.4381%	54	44	52.8	0	0.0	52.8	0.4643%
7	Foothill	8,977,098	7,014,098	8,191,898	3.2898%	370	318	370.0	0	0.0	370.0	3.2533%
8	Gardena	1,715,379	-	1,029,227	0.4133%	58	43	51.6	0	0.0	51.6	0.4537%
9	LADOT	3,080,972	2,557,008	2,871,386	1.1531%	196	162	194.4	0	0.0	194.4	1.7093%
10	La Mirada	82,163	-	49,298	0.0198%	0	0	0.0	208	4.7	4.7	0.0416%
11	Long Beach	8,055,864	-	4,833,518	1.9411%	261	197	236.4	40	0.9	237.3	2.0866%
12	Montebello	2,485,000	56,000	1,513,400	0.6078%	72	67	72.0	40	0.9	72.9	0.6411%
13	Metro Bus Ops.	85,960,000	5,748,000	53,875,200	21.6357%	2,380	1,935	2,322.0	0	0.0	2,322.0	20.4169%
14	Norwalk	908,952	-	545,371	0.2190%	34	19	22.8	0	0.0	22.8	0.2005%
15	Redondo Beach	445,125	-	267,075	0.1073%	14	10	12.0	75	1.7	13.7	0.1205%
	Santa Clarita	2,258,772	1,098,290	1,794,579	0.7207%	82	68	81.6	0	0.0	81.6	0.7175%
	Santa Monica	5,122,000	534,000	3,286,800	1.3199%	200	167	200.0	0	0.0	200.0	1.7586%
18	Torrance	1,541,100	574,900	1,154,620	0.4637%	56	48	56.0	48	1.1	57.1	0.5020%
19	TOTAL	125,717,852	18,931,843	83,003,448	33.3333%	3,878	3,161	3,770.6	897	20.4	3,791.0	33.3333%

#### Notes:

Include only MTA Funded Programs:

- (1) Source: NTD Report Form A-30 "Vehicle Inventory Report (Mode MB), Number of Active Vehicles in Fleet". LADOT's total active vehicles is reported separately.
- (2) Source: NTD Report Form S-10 "Service Non-Rail (Mode MB), Vehicles Operated in Annual Maximum Service". LADOT's figure is from TPM excluding Community Dash.
- (3) Source: NTD Report Form A-30 "Vehicle Inventory Report (Mode DR), Seating Capacity". Redondo Beach's Seating Capacity is apportioned between FAP and non-FAP vehicles.

	FISCAL TEAL 2010											
			CAPIT	AL ALLOCAT	TION % SHA	RE CALCULA	TION (Contin	ued)				
			FAR	E UNITS		UNLINKED PA	ASSENGERS		Re-Allocate			
		1							AVTA And			
		Passenger	Base		1/2 of 1/3	Unlinked	1/2 of 1/3	Gross Formula	Santa Clarita's Non-LA2 UZA	LA UZA 2 Net Formula		
	OPERATOR	Revenue [Input]	Fare \$ [Input]	Fare Units	Weight	Passengers [Input]	Weight	Share	Share	Share		
1	Antelope Valley	\$5,218,443	\$ 1.50	3,478,962	0.2736%	3,033,716	0.1212%	1.8672%		0.0803%		
2	Arcadia DR	63,520	0.50	127,040	0.0100%	100,785	0.0040%	0.1037%	0.0032%	0.1069%		
3	Arcadia MB	-	-	0	0.0000%	7,220	0.0003%	0.0692%	0.0021%	0.0713%		
4	Claremont	73,100	2.50	29,240	0.0023%	46,800	0.0019%	0.0737%	0.0023%	0.0760%		
5	Commerce (1)	-	-	420,620	0.0331%	613,880	0.0245%	0.3288%	0.0102%	0.3390%		
6	Culver City	3,582,271	1.00	3,582,271	0.2817%	5,653,192	0.2258%	1.4098%	0.0437%	1.4536%		
7	Foothill	17,144,739	1.25	13,715,791	1.0787%	13,584,135	0.5426%	8.1644%	0.2531%	8.4175%		
8	Gardena	2,546,493	1.00	2,546,493	0.2003%	3,587,188	0.1433%	1.2106%	0.0375%	1.2481%		
	LADOT	6,622,904	1.50	4,415,269	0.3472%	9,018,680	0.3602%	3.5699%	0.1107%	3.6806%		
10	La Mirada	40,235	1.00	40,235	0.0032%	51,451	0.0021%	0.0666%	0.0021%	0.0686%		
	Long Beach	16,729,366	1.25	13,383,493	1.0525%	26,323,460	1.0514%	6.1317%	0.1901%	6.3218%		
	Montebello	5,162,000	1.10	4,692,727	0.3691%	7,090,000	0.2832%	1.9011%	0.0589%	1.9600%		
	Metro Bus Ops.	257,740,000	1.75	147,280,000	11.5827%	322,912,000	12.8981%	66.5334%	2.0629%	68.5963%		
	Norwalk	1,302,455	1.25	1,041,964	0.0819%	1,378,352	0.0551%	0.5565%	0.0173%	0.5737%		
	Redondo Beach	336,119	1.00	336,119	0.0264%	396,096	0.0158%	0.2700%	0.0084%	0.2784%		
	Santa Clarita	3,676,013	1.00	3,676,013	0.2891%	3,071,174	0.1227%	1.8499%	-1.1984%	0.6516%		
	Santa Monica	12,841,000	1.25	10,272,800	0.8079%	16,577,000	0.6621%	4.5485%	0.141070	4.6896%		
18	Torrance	2,886,000	1.00	2,886,000	0.2270%	3,814,000	0.1523%	1.3450%	0.0417%	1.3867%		
19	TOTAL	\$335,964,658		211,925,038	16.6667%	417,259,129	16.6667%	100.0000%	0.0000%	100.0000%		

#### Note:

#### FORM FFA10, SECTION 9 STATISTICS PASSENGER MILES IS USED TO CALCULATE AVTA AND SANTA CLARITA'S RE-ALLOCATION OF CAPITAL MONIES.

		AN	ITELOPE VALLE	ΞY	SANTA CLARITA			
		Passenger		Re-Allocated	Passenger		Re-Allocated	
		Miles	%	Share	Miles	%	Share	
20	Non-LA 2 UZA (AV 123 for AVTA, AV 176 for Santa Clarita)	57,860,492	95.6999%	1.7869%	13,377,559	64.7782%	1.1984%	
21	UZA number LA 2	2,599,869	4.3001%	0.0803%	7,273,770	35.2218%	0.6516%	
22	Total	60,460,361	100.0000%	1.8672%	20,651,329	100.0000%	1.8499%	

<sup>(1)</sup> Commerce Fare Units are calculated as follows: ((Total Fare Units w/out MTA and Commerce) / (Total Unlinked Passengers w/out MTA and Commerce)) \* Commerce's Unlinked Passengers.

	FEDERAL SECTION 5307 CAPITAL ALLOCATION											
				(Esti	imated - to be	Adjusted to Actual ap	portionment)					
	OPERATOR	LA UZA 2 NET FORMULA	85% FORMULA	1% ENHANCEMENT A		15% DISCRETIONARY			TDA Fund	S5339/S5337 Fund	Total Funds	
ļ		SHARE	ALLOCATION	Project Title	Amount	Project Title	Amount	TOTAL	Exchange	Exchange	Available	
	Antelope Valley	0.0803%						\$ 161,164		\$ 486,527	\$ 647,691	
	Arcadia	0.1782%	357,779					357,779		39,256	397,035	
	Claremont	0.0760%	152,485					152,485		16,731	169,216	
4	Commerce	0.3390%	680,391	Due Oten e Inner te	050,000			680,391		74,654	755,045	
5	Culver City	1.4536%		Bus Stops Impvts Facility Capacity Enhancements (ATI Elements)	650,000 231,416	Facility Capacity Enhancement	1,392,316	5,191,349		320,125	5,511,474	
6	Foothill	8.4175%	16,895,863			Bus Repl (25) 40' CNG	5,308,618	22,204,481		4,781,136	26,985,617	
7	Gardena	1.2481%	2,505,261			Facility Infrastructure Upgrades	2,116,800	4,622,061		274,881	4,896,942	
8	LADOT	3.6806%	7,387,788	Advanced Traveler Information	418,404	Electric Bus Repl - (19) 30' Electric	3,772,829	11,579,021		1,439,419	13,018,440	
	La Mirada	0.0686%	137,790					137,790		15,119	152,909	
10	Long Beach	6.3218%	12,689,273			Regional Training	300,000	12,989,273	(2) (300,000)	1,548,914	14,238,187	
11	Montebello	1.9600%	3,934,240					3,934,240		431,671	4,365,911	
12	Metro Bus Ops.	68.5963%	137,688,419	Rosa Park/Willow Brook Station impvt	1,192,649	Bus Repl (600) 40' CNG	17,560,834	156,441,902	(2),(3) 6,300,000	(11,261,620)	151,480,282	
13	Norwalk	0.5737%	1,151,637	Phase 2 Norwalk Transportation Yard (ATI Elements) NTS Bus Shelter	231,416	Phase 2 Norwalk Transportation Yard	389,044	1,816,037		126,359	1,942,397	
	Dadaada Daaah	0.07040/	550 777	Solar Lighting Project	-			FF0 777		04.040	000 007	
	Redondo Beach Santa Clarita	0.2784% 0.6516%	558,777 1,307,878					558,777 1,307,878		61,310 143,502	620,087	
- 1	Sania Ciania	0.0010%	1,307,878					1,307,678		143,502	1,451,380	
16	Santa Monica	4.6896%	9,413,025			Bus Repl (14) 40' CNG	4,581,227	13,994,252	(3) (6,000,000)	1,196,619	9,190,870	
	Torrance	1.3867%	2,783,390	Solar Powered Self Compacting Trash Receptacles	16,000			2,799,390		305,398	3,104,788	
	Unallocated							-		-	-	
19	TOTAL	100.0000%	\$200,722,778		\$ 2,783,825		\$ 35,421,668	\$238,928,271	\$ -	\$ (0)	\$ 238,928,271	

<sup>(1)</sup> Remaining FY17 fund balance of \$398,527 was added to the FY18 1% Enhancement fund for award distribution to Municipal Operators

<sup>(2)</sup> Funds allocated to Southern California Regional Transit Training Consortium (SCRTTC) through Long Beach Transit is exchanged with Metro's TDA share.

<sup>(3)</sup> Santa Monica's formula share of \$6M is exchanged with Metro's TDA share.

### **ATTACHMENT A**

	FEDERAL SECTION 5	339 - BUS AN	ID BUS CAPI	TAL ALLOCA	ΓΙΟΝ
	(Estimated -	to be Adjusted t	o Actual apport	ionment)	
		LA UZA 2 NET FORMULA	Net Formula	Fund	Net Funds
	OPERATOR	SHARE	Share	Exchange	Available
1	Antelope Valley	0.0803%		\$ (17,683)	\$ -
2	Arcadia	0.1782%	39,256	(39,256)	-
3	Claremont	0.0760%	16,731	(16,731)	-
4	Commerce	0.3390%	74,654	(74,654)	-
5	Culver City	1.4536%	320,125	(320,125)	-
6	Foothill	8.4175%	1,853,840	(1,853,840)	-
7	Gardena	1.2481%	274,881	(274,881)	-
8	LADOT	3.6806%	810,599	(810,599)	-
9	La Mirada	0.0686%	15,119	(15,119)	-
10	Long Beach	6.3218%	1,392,287	(1,392,287)	-
11	Montebello	1.9600%	431,671	(431,671)	-
12	Metro Bus Ops.	68.5963%	15,107,385	6,916,227	22,023,612
13	Norwalk	0.5737%	126,359	(126,359)	-
14	Redondo Beach	0.2784%	61,310	(61,310)	-
15	Santa Clarita	0.6516%	143,502	(143,502)	-
16	Santa Monica	4.6896%	1,032,812	(1,032,812)	-
17	Torrance	1.3867%	305,398	(305,398)	-
18	TOTAL	100.0000%	\$ 22,023,612	\$ -	\$ 22,023,612

#### **ATTACHMENT A**

High Intensity Motorbus:  Antelope Valley Foothill Gardena LADOT Metro Bus Ops. Sub-total  High Intensity Motorbus:  23.6 15.003% 338,221 110,877 5.247% 130,624 468,844 (468,844) - 100,000% 70.993% 1,767,215 2,331,872 2,331,872 2,331,872 2,331,872 - 0,000% 0,000%		FEDERAL SECTION 5337 - STATE OF GOOD REPAIR										
SHARE (UZA 2)			(E	stimated - to b	e Adjusted to Actua	al apportion	ment)					
Comparison   Com	LOS ANGELES COUNTY											
DRM   DRM   DRM   SAllocation   VRM   VRM   SAllocation   VRM   SAllocation   VRM   SAllocation   DRM   DRM   SAllocation   DRM   VRM   SAllocation   DRM   DRM   SAllocation   DRM   VRM   SAllocation   DRM   DRM   SAllocation   DRM   VRM   SAllocation   DRM   Allocation   DRM   DRM   SAllocation   DRM   DRM   SAllocation   DRM   VRM   SAllocation   DRM   Allocation   DRM	SHARE	Direction		es (DRM)	Vehicle Re	venue Miles	(VRM)					
High Intensity Fixed Guideway:   Metro (Including Metrolink)   451.5   99.757%   \$28,895,876   25,117,623   98.328%   \$49,712,433   \$78,608,310   \$915,858   \$79,524,168   25,117,623   98.328%   \$49,712,433   \$78,608,310   \$915,858   \$79,524,168   25,117,623   26,2969   0.247%   124,627   156,627   (156,627)   - 33,428   25,407   163,807   - 4,452,6   100.000%   - 300,843   1.178%   595,424   595,424   (595,424)   - 4,595,424   2,595	(UZA 2)		Allocation			Allocation						
High Intensity Fixed Guideway:    Metro (Including Metrolink)   451.5   99.757%   \$28,895,876   25,117,623   98.328%   \$49,712,433   \$78,608,310   \$915,858   \$79,524,168   20,000   62,999   0.247%   124,627   156,627   (156,627)   -33,000   62,999   0.247%   124,627   156,627   (156,627)   -33,000   62,999   0.248%   125,407   163,807   (163,807)   -30,000%   -30,000%   1.178%   595,424   595,424   (595,424)   -5,000   50,557,892   79,524,168   -3,000   79,524,168   -3,000   79,524,168   -3,000   79,524,168   -3,000   79,524,168   -3,000   79,524,168   -3,000   79,524,168   -3,000   79,93%   1,767,215   2,331,872   (2,331,872)   -3,000   70,00												
Metro (Including Metrolink)	OPERATOR	DRM	DRM%	\$Allocation	VRM	VRM%	\$Allocation	Allocation	Exchange	Available		
Metro (Including Metrolink)	High Intensity Fixed Guideway									000000000000000000000000000000000000000		
Long Beach Transit		451.5	99.757%	\$ 28.895.876	25.117.623	98.328%	\$ 49.712.433	\$ 78.608.310	\$ 915.858	\$ 79.524.168		
Santa Monica Foothill Transit	` ,	0.5							1	-		
Sub-total 452.6 100.000% 28,966,276 25,544,798 100.000% 50,557,892 79,524,168 - 79,524,168  High Intensity Motorbus:  Antelope Valley Foothill 39.4 25.048% 564,656 1,500,060 70.993% 1,767,215 2,331,872 (2,331,872) - Gardena 0.0000% 0.0000%	· ·	0.6	0.133%	38,400	63,363	0.248%	125,407	163,807	1	-		
High Intensity Motorbus:  Antelope Valley Foothill Gardena LADOT Metro Bus Ops. Sub-total  High Intensity Motorbus:  23.6 15.003% 338,221 110,877 5.247% 130,624 468,844 (468,844) - 100,000% 70.993% 1,767,215 2,331,872 2,331,872 2,331,872 2,331,872 - 0,000% 0,000%	4 Foothill Transit	-	0.000%	-	300,843	1.178%	595,424	595,424	(595,424)	_		
Antelope Valley 23.6 15.003% 338,221 110,877 5.247% 130,624 468,844 (468,844) - Foothill 39.4 25.048% 564,656 1,500,060 70.993% 1,767,215 2,331,872 (2,331,872) - Gardena 0.000% 0.000% LADOT 35.1 22.314% 503,031 106,772 5.053% 125,788 628,819 (628,819) - Metro Bus Ops. 59.2 37.635% 848,418 395,259 18.706% 465,653 1,314,071 3,429,535 4,743,606	5 Sub-total	452.6	100.000%	28,966,276	25,544,798	100.000%	50,557,892	79,524,168	-	79,524,168		
Antelope Valley 23.6 15.003% 338,221 110,877 5.247% 130,624 468,844 (468,844) - Foothill 39.4 25.048% 564,656 1,500,060 70.993% 1,767,215 2,331,872 (2,331,872) - Gardena 0.000% 0.000% LADOT 35.1 22.314% 503,031 106,772 5.053% 125,788 628,819 (628,819) - Metro Bus Ops. 59.2 37.635% 848,418 395,259 18.706% 465,653 1,314,071 3,429,535 4,743,606										000000000000000000000000000000000000000		
Foothill 39.4 25.048% 564,656 1,500,060 70.993% 1,767,215 2,331,872 (2,331,872) -  8 Gardena 0.000% 0.000%  9 LADOT 35.1 22.314% 503,031 106,772 5.053% 125,788 628,819 (628,819) -  Metro Bus Ops. 59.2 37.635% 848,418 395,259 18.706% 465,653 1,314,071 3,429,535 4,743,606 1  Sub-total 157.3 100.00% 2,254,326 2,112,968 100.000% 2,489,280 4,743,606 - 4,743,606	High Intensity Motorbus:									200000000000000000000000000000000000000		
8 Gardena         0.000%         -         -         0.000%         -	6 Antelope Valley	23.6	15.003%	338,221	110,877	5.247%	130,624	468,844	(468,844)	-		
9 LADOT 35.1 22.314% 503,031 106,772 5.053% 125,788 628,819 (628,819) - 0 Metro Bus Ops. 59.2 37.635% 848,418 395,259 18.706% 465,653 1,314,071 3,429,535 4,743,606 1 Sub-total 157.3 100.00% 2,254,326 2,112,968 100.000% 2,489,280 4,743,606 - 4,743,606	7 Foothill	39.4	25.048%	564,656	1,500,060	70.993%	1,767,215	2,331,872	(2,331,872)	-		
Metro Bus Ops. 59.2 37.635% 848,418 395,259 18.706% 465,653 1,314,071 3,429,535 4,743,606 Sub-total 157.3 100.00% 2,254,326 2,112,968 100.000% 2,489,280 4,743,606 - 4,743,606	8 Gardena		0.000%	-	-	0.000%	-	-	-	-		
Sub-total 157.3 100.00% 2,254,326 2,112,968 100.000% 2,489,280 4,743,606 - 4,743,606	9 LADOT	35.1	22.314%	503,031	106,772	5.053%	125,788	628,819	(628,819)	-		
<u> </u>	0 Metro Bus Ops.	59.2	37.635%	848,418	395,259	18.706%	465,653	1,314,071	3,429,535	4,743,606		
	Sub-total	157.3	100.00%	2,254,326	2,112,968	100.000%	2,489,280	4,743,606	-	4,743,606		
olTotal I A County Share 117A 2   COLORS   C 24 220 CO2   27 CE7 7CC   200 0000/   C E2 04 2C7 774   C     C 04 2C7 77/	2 Total LA County Share - UZA 2	609.90		\$ 31,220,602	27,657,766	200.000%	\$ 53,047,172	\$ 84,267,774	\$ -	\$ 84,267,774		

## **LOCAL SUBSIDIES**

#### PROPOSITION A 5% OF 40% DISCRETIONARY PROGRAMS (In Order of Priority) PRIORITY I: EXISTING SUB-REGIONAL PARATRANSIT PROJECTS: **FY18 Allocation** 64,779 1 Agoura Hills Antelope Valley, Elderly & Disabled 399,700 2 Beverly Hills Taxi & Lift Van 25,990 Culver City Community Transit and LA County 61,557 4 Gardena, Hawthorne and LA County 163,274 Glendale Paratransit and La Canada Flintridge 236,693 6 7 Inglewood Transit and LA County 196,539 8 LA County (Whittier et al) 181,091 9 LA County (Willowbrook) 49,506 Los Angeles Taxi & Lift Van, City Ride 355,451 10 Los Angeles Dial-a-Ride, City Ride 1,183,143 11 Monrovia D.A.R. and LA County 151,846 Palos Verdes PTA D.A.R. 47,562 13 Palos Verdes PTA - PV Transit 380,455 14 Pasadena Community Transit, San Marino and LA County 378,197 15 Pomona Valley TA - E&D (Get About) 694,827 16 Pomona Valley TA General Public (VC) 86,959 17 Redondo Beach Community Transit and Hermosa Beach 69,511 18 Santa Clarita D.A.R. 651,738 19 West Hollywood (DAR) 286,543 20 West Hollywood (Taxi) 89,235 21 Whittier (DAR) 266,022 Sub-total \$ 6,020,614 23 PRIORITY II: SERVICES THAT RECEIVE GROWTH OVER INFLATION 24 City of L.A. - Bus Service Continuation Project/DASH/Central City Shuttle \$ Santa Clarita - Local Fixed Route 25 26 Antelope Valley - Local Fixed Route Foothill - Bus Service Continuation Project 27 Sub-total \$ 28 \$ 29 PRIORITY III: APPROVED EXISTING EXPANDED PARATRANSIT

**30 PRIORITY IV: APPROVED NEW EXPANDED PARATRANSIT SERVICES** 

#### PROPOSITION A 5% OF 40% DISCRETIONARY PROGRAMS (Continued) (In Order of Priority) **Priority V: VOLUNTARY NTD DATA REPORTING:** Tier 2 FY18 Net **FY16 NTD Report Year Estimate** Deduction (1) Allocation City of Alhambra (MB and DR) 121,747 \$ 121.747 32 City of Artesia (DR) 6,634 6,634 33 City of Azusa (DR) 42.545 42.545 34 City of Baldwin Park (MB and DR) 98,028 98,028 35 City of Bell (MB/DR) 28,307 28,307 City of Bell Gardens (MB and DR) 62,607 62,607 36 City of Bellflower (MB and DR) 45,760 45,760 37 21,776 38 City of Burbank (MB)\* 131,817 110,041 39 City of Carson (MB and DT) 190,320 190,320 96,041 40 City of Cerritos (MB) 96,041 59,090 41 City of Compton (MB) 59,090 24,370 42 City of Covina (DR) 24,370 City of Cudahy (MB and DR) 20,232 20,232 43 44 City of Downey (MB and DR) 93.537 93.537 45 City of Duarte (MB) 33,231 33,231 46 City of El Monte (MB and DR) 149,205 149,205 City of Glendora (MB and DR) 65,830 47 65,830 City of Glendale (MB)\* 319,424 266,656 48 52,768 City of Huntington Park (MB) 45,339 45,339 49 City of Los Angeles -- Community DASH\* (MB) 1,164,575 192,386 972,189 50 51 City of Los Angeles -- Department of Aging (DR) 195.587 195.587 LA County Dept. of Public Works -- Avocado Heights (MB) 52 15,534 15,534 53 LA County Dept. of Public Works -- East Valinda (MB) 21,876 21,876 LA County Dept. of Public Works -- East LA (MB and DR) 140,693 140,693 54 55 LA County Dept. of Public Works -- Willowbrook (MB) 34,889 34,889 LA County Dept. of Public Works -- King Medical (MB) 7,671 7.671 56 57 LA County Dept. of Public Works -- Athens (MB) 15,797 15,797 LA County Dept. of Public Works -- Lennnox (MB) 12,967 58 12,967 LA County Dept. of Public Works -- South Whittier (MB) 59 66,158 66,158 60 City of Lawndale (MB) 35,163 35,163 City of Lynwood (MB) 59,615 59,615 61 62 City of Malibu (DT) 23.183 23.183 City of Manhattan Beach (DR) 63 19,414 19,414 64 City of Maywood (DR) 17,077 17,077 City of Monterey Park (MB and DR) 104,404 104,404 65 City of Pasadena (MB)\* 280,426 46,326 234,100 66 17,698 City of Pico Rivera (DR) 17,698 67 City of Rosemead (MB and DR) 73,494 73,494 68 City of Santa fe Springs (DR) 6,250 6,250 69 City of South Gate (DT and MB) 70 146,031 146,031 71 City of South Pasadena (DR) 12,056 12,056 72 City of West Covina (MB and DR) 104,459 104,459 City of West Hollywood (MB) 38,274 38,274 73 Sub-Total \$ 4,247,355 313.256 \$ 3.934.099 74

PROPOSITION A 5% OF 40% DISCRETIONARY PROGRAMS (Continued) (In Order of Priority)											
PRIORITY VI: SPECIAL DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS											
75	Avalon Ferry Subsidy		\$	650,000							
76	Avalon Transit Services (Jitney and Dial-a-Ride) (2)			300,000							
77	Hollywood Bowl Shuttle Service			1,057,000							
78		Sub-total	\$	2,007,000							
79	Total Expenditures		\$	11,961,713							
80	Reserves for contingencies (3)			3,278,880							
81		Sub-total		15,240,593							
82	Total Estimated Revenue			15,240,593							
83	Surplus (Deficit)		\$	-							

### **NOTES:**

- (1) Tier 2 Operators' shares have been reduced by % of GOI Funding per Tier 2 Operators Funding Program.
- (2)At its May 18, 2017 meeting, LTSS approved to add an additional \$50,000 to Avalon Transit services.
- (3) 5th Priority locally funded systems which voluntarily reported NTD data for FY16 report year. Exact amounts TBD and may be higher, based upon actual FY18 FTA 5307 apportionment unit values.

	EV 40 ESTIMATES AND ALL OCATIONS OF											
	FY 18 ESTIMATES AND ALLOCATIONS OF PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R and MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN, TDA ARTICLE 3 & 8											
				Measure R	Measure M		1	cle 8 (S & H)				
		Population DOF Report	Population as % of	Proposition A Local Return	Proposition C Local Return	Local Return	Local Return	TDA Article 3 Ped & Bike	I DA Arti	Article 8		
	LOCAL JURISDICTION	2016 data <sup>(1)</sup>	County	Estimate (3)	Estimate (3)	Estimate (3)	Estimate (2)	[A]	Population	Allocation	Total Allocations	
1	AGOURA HILLS	21,211	0.2071%		\$ 327,224	\$ 245,423	\$ 264,233	\$ 13,826	· opulation	\$ -	\$ 1,245,202	
	ALHAMBRA	86,782	0.8474%	1,614,028	1,338,794	1,004,115	1,081,076	56,516		•	5,094,529	
	ARCADIA	57,050	0.5571%	1,061,053	880,116	660,100	710,693	37,159			3,349,120	
4	ARTESIA	16,883	0.1649%	314,001	260,456	195,346	210,318	11,008			991,128	
	AVALON	3,678	0.0359%	68,406	56,741	42,556	45,818	5,000	3,678	141,320	359,841	
	AZUSA	49,485	0.4832%	920,354	763,410	572,569	616,453	32,234	***************************************		2,905,019	
	BALDWIN PARK	74,738	0.7298%	1,390,026	1,152,990	864,760	931,039	48,674			4,387,489	
8	BELL	36,716	0.3585%	682,868	566,421	424,824	457,385	23,920			2,155,419	
9	BELLFLOWER	76,363	0.7456%	1,420,249	1,178,059	883,562	951,283	49,732			4,482,884	
10	BELL GARDENS	42,952	0.4194%	798,849	662,624	496,978	535,069	27,980			2,521,501	
11	BEVERLY HILLS	34,763	0.3394%	646,545	536,292	402,227	433,056	22,649			2,040,768	
12	BRADBURY	1,123	0.0110%	20,886	17,325	12,994	13,990	5,000			70,194	
13	BURBANK	105,110	1.0263%	1,954,904	1,621,541	1,216,181	1,309,395	68,448			6,170,469	
14	CALABASAS	24,263	0.2369%	451,259	374,307	280,736	302,253	15,813			1,424,369	
15	CARSON	93,993	0.9178%	1,748,143	1,450,039	1,087,551	1,170,906	61,210			5,517,849	
16	CERRITOS	49,412	0.4825%	918,996	762,283	571,724	615,544	32,186			2,900,734	
17	CLAREMONT	36,218	0.3536%	673,606	558,738	419,062	451,181	23,596			2,126,184	
18	COMMERCE	13,127	0.1282%	244,144	202,511	151,887	163,528	8,563			770,633	
19	COMPTON	101,226	0.9884%	1,882,667	1,561,623	1,171,240	1,261,010	65,919			5,942,460	
20	COVINA	49,291	0.4813%	916,746	760,417	570,324	614,036	32,107			2,893,630	
21	CUDAHY	24,602	0.2402%	457,564	379,537	284,659	306,476	16,033			1,444,270	
22	CULVER CITY	40,448	0.3949%	752,278	623,995	468,006	503,876	26,350			2,374,505	
23	DIAMOND BAR	57,081	0.5574%	1,061,630	880,594	660,459	711,079	37,179			3,350,940	
24	DOWNEY	114,181	1.1149%	2,123,612	1,761,481	1,321,137	1,422,396	74,354			6,702,979	
25	DUARTE	22,177	0.2165%	412,462	342,127	256,600	276,267	14,455		***************************************	1,301,911	
26	EL MONTE	113,885	1.1120%	2,118,107	1,756,914	1,317,712	1,418,708	74,161			6,685,603	
27	EL SEGUNDO	16,646		309,593	256,799	192,603	207,365	10,854			977,215	
28	GARDENA	60,785	0.5935%	1,130,519	937,736	703,316	757,222	39,590			3,568,382	
29	GLENDALE	201,668	1.9692%	3,750,752	3,111,150	2,333,410	2,512,254	131,312			11,838,878	
	GLENDORA	52,362	0.5113%	973,862	807,793	605,857	652,293	34,107			3,073,913	
31	HAW AIIAN GARDENS	14,926	0.1457%	277,603	230,265	172,702	185,939	9,734			876,243	
-	HAWTHORNE	88,003	0.8593%	1,636,737	1,357,630	1,018,243	1,096,286	57,311			5,166,207	
33	HERMOSA BEACH	19,801	0.1933%	368,272	305,472	229,108	246,668	12,908			1,162,428	
34	HIDDEN HILLS	1,872	0.0183%	34,817	28,880	21,660	23,320	5,000			113,676	
35	HUNTINGTON PARK	59,718	0.5831%	1,110,674	921,275	690,970	743,930	38,896			3,505,744	

#### FY 18 ESTIMATES AND ALLOCATIONS OF PROPOSITION A. PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R and MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN, TDA ARTICLE 3 & 8 **Population Population Proposition A Proposition C** Measure R Measure M TDA Article 8 (S & H) **TDA Article 3 DOF Report** as % of Local Return **Local Return** Local Return **Local Return** Article 8 Ped & Bike 2016 data (1) Estimate (3) LOCAL JURISDICTION Estimate (3) Estimate (3) Estimate (2) [A] Population **Total Allocations** County Allocation 36 INDUSTRY [B] 441 0.0043% 25,602 8,202 6,803 5,103 5,494 37 INGLEWOOD 116.648 1.1390% 2,169,495 1,799,539 1,349,682 1,453,128 75.960 6,847,804 38 IRWINDALE 1,415 0.0138% 26.317 21.829 16.372 17.627 5.000 87.146 39 LA CANADA-FLINTRIDGE 20,556 0.2007% 382,314 317,119 237,844 256,074 13,399 1,206,750 40 LA HABRA HEIGHTS 5,459 0.0533% 101.530 84,216 63.164 68.005 5.000 321,915 41 LAKEWOOD 78,471 0.7662% 1,459,455 1,210,579 907,953 977,543 51,105 4,606,634 42 LA MIRADA 49.639 0.4847% 32,334 923,218 765,785 574,351 618,372 2,914,060 43 LANCASTER 157,094 1.5339% 2,921,736 2,423,503 1,817,664 1,956,979 102,292 157,094 6,036,022 15,258,196 44 LA PUENTE 40,521 0.3957% 753.636 625.121 468.850 504.785 26.398 2.378.790 45 LA VERNE 33,200 0.3242% 617,475 512,179 384,142 413,585 21,631 1,949,013 46 LAWNDALE 33,496 0.3271% 622.980 516.746 387.567 417.272 21.824 1.966.389 47 LOMITA 20,290 0.1981% 377,367 313,016 234,766 252,760 13,226 1,191,135 48 LONG BEACH 484,958 4.7353% 9,019,564 6,041,304 315,747 28,469,337 7,481,491 5,611,231 4,030,904 49 LOS ANGELES CITY 39.3592% 74,969,371 62,185,120 46,639,776 50,214,484 2,977,318 236,986,070 50 LYNWOOD 72,505 0.7080% 1.348.495 838.923 903.222 47.221 4.256.402 1.118.541 51 MALIBU 12,706 0.1241% 236.314 196,017 147,015 158.283 8,289 745.918 52 MANHATTAN BEACH 35,297 0.3447% 656.477 544.530 408.406 439.708 22.996 2,072,117 53 MAYWOOD 28,219 0.2755% 524,835 435,337 326,509 351,535 18,388 1,656,605 54 MONROVIA 37,531 0.3665% 698,026 578,994 434,254 467,538 2,203,263 24,451 63.924 55 MONTEBELLO 0.6242% 1,188,900 986,161 739,636 796,325 41,634 3,752,656 56 MONTEREY PARK 61,346 0.5990% 1,140,953 39,956 946.390 709.807 764.210 3.601.316 57 NORWALK 105,292 1.0281% 1,958,289 1,624,349 1,218,286 1,311,662 68,567 6,181,153 58 PALMDALE 160,072 1.5630% 2.977.123 2,469,445 1.852.121 1.994.077 104,231 160.072 6.150.445 15,547,443 59 PALOS VERDES ESTATES 13,712 804,975 0.1339% 255,025 211,536 158,655 170,816 8,944 60 PARAMOUNT 56,400 0.5507% 1,048,964 870,088 652,579 36,736 702,596 3,310,962 61 PASADENA 141,023 2,622,837 1.3770% 2,175,575 1,631,714 1,756,776 91,829 8,278,731 62 PICO RIVERA 64,272 0.6276% 743.662 41.861 1.195.372 991.530 800.660 3.773.086 63 POMONA 155,604 1.5194% 2,894,024 2,400,517 1,800,424 1,938,417 101,322 9,134,705 64 RANCHO PALOS VERDES 43,041 0.4203% 800,504 498,008 536,178 28,038 2,526,726 663,997 65 REDONDO BEACH 69,494 0.6786% 1,292,495 1,072,090 804,084 865,713 45,260 4,079,642 66 ROLLING HILLS 1,943 0.0190% 36,137 29,975 22,482 24,205 5,000 117,798 67 ROLLING HILLS ESTATES 8,028 0.0784% 149,310 123.849 92,888 100,008 5,243 471,298 68 ROSEMEAD 55,231 0.5393% 852.054 688.033 35.974 3.242.336 1.027.222 639.053 69 SAN DIMAS 34,144 0.3334% 635,032 526,743 395,065 425,345 22,246 2,004,430 70 SAN FERNANDO 24,533 0.2395% 456,281 378,473 283,860 15,989 1,440,219 305,617

# Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Transit Fund Allocations Fiscal Year 2018

		FY 18 ESTIMATES AND ALLOCATIONS OF										
		PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R and MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN, TDA ARTICLE 3 & 8										
		Population	Population	Proposition A	Proposition C	Measure R	Measure M	TDA Article 3	TDA Article 8 (S & H)			
		DOF Report	as % of	Local Return	Local Return	Local Return	Local Return	Ped & Bike		Article 8		
	LOCAL JURISDICTION	2016 data (1)	County	Estimate (3)	Estimate (3)	Estimate (3)	Estimate (2)	[A]	Population	Allocation	Total Allocations	
71	SAN GABRIEL	40,424	0.3947%	751,832	623,625	467,728	503,577	26,334			2,373,096	
72	SAN MARINO	13,566	0.1325%	252,309	209,284	156,966	168,997	8,849			796,405	
73	SANTA CLARITA	219,611	2.1444%	4,084,468	3,387,959	2,541,020	2,735,777	142,994	219,611	8,438,112	21,330,329	
74	SANTA FE SPRINGS	18,459	0.1802%	343,312	284,769	213,581	229,951	12,034			1,083,647	
75	SANTA MONICA	93,640	0.9143%	1,741,578	1,444,593	1,083,466	1,166,509	60,981	******************************		5,497,126	
76	SIERRA MADRE	11,013	0.1075%	204,827	169,899	127,426	137,193	7,186			646,531	
77	SIGNAL HILL	11,673	0.1140%	217,102	180,080	135,063	145,415	7,616			685,277	
78	SOUTH EL MONTE	20,814	0.2032%	387,112	321,099	240,829	259,288	13,567			1,221,896	
79	SOUTH GATE	99,578	0.9723%	1,852,016	1,536,199	1,152,172	1,240,481	64,846			5,845,714	
80	SOUTH PASADENA	26,028	0.2541%	484,086	401,536	301,158	324,241	16,962	• ••••••••		1,527,983	
81	TEMPLE CITY	36,534	0.3567%	679,483	563,613	422,718	455,118	23,802			2,144,734	
82	TORRANCE	147,175	1.4371%	2,737,256	2,270,482	1,702,896	1,833,414	95,834			8,639,883	
83	VERNON (C)	210	0.0021%	3,906	3,240		2,616	5,000			14,761	
84	WALNUT	30,152	0.2944%	560,786	465,158	348,875	375,615	19,647			1,770,081	
85	WEST COVINA	107,873	1.0533%	2,006,292	1,664,167	1,248,150	1,343,814	70,247			6,332,670	
86	WEST HOLLYWOOD	35,923	0.3508%	668,119	554,187	415,649	447,506	23,404			2,108,866	
87	WESTLAKE VILLAGE	8,384	0.0819%	155,931	129,341	97,007	104,443	5,475			492,197	
88	WHITTIER	88,341	0.8626%	1,643,023	1,362,845	1,022,154	1,100,497	57,531			5,186,049	
89	UNINCORP LA COUNTY	1,051,989	10.2720%	19,565,575	16,229,129	12,172,091	13,105,022	1,508,556	109,504	4,207,471	66,787,845	
90	TOTAL	10,241,335	100.0000%	\$190,475,000	\$157,994,000	\$118,495,449	\$127,580,155	\$7,870,055	649,959	\$24,973,370	\$ 627,388,029	

#### NOTES:

- (1) Population estimates are based on State of California Department of Finance's 2016 population estimates. The Unincorporated Population figure for TDA Article 8 is based on 2007 estimates by Urban Research.
- (2) Measure M revenue represents 95% of the estimated annual receipts. This is the amount expected to be collected in FY18. The remaining 5% will carryover to FY19.
- (3) Proposition A, Proposition C, Measure R and Measure M Local Return funds are allocated their share of estimated revenues (minus administration) without carryover since payments are made based on actual revenues received.

#### TDA Article 3 Allocation:

- (A) 15% of the estimated revenue is first awarded to the City of Los Angeles and Los Angeles County (30%-70% split) as Supplemental Allocation.
- (B) City of Industry has opted out of the TDA Article 3 program indefinitely.
- (C) City of Vernon has opted out of the Measure R Local Return program indefinitely.



# Summary of Significant Information, Methodologies & Assumptions for Revenue Estimates

- Sales tax revenue estimate is 2.6% over FY2017 budget based upon review of several economic forecasts.
- Revenue for Measure M's inaugural year is estimated at 95 percent of the Proposition A, C and Measure R revenues. This is based on past history of new sales tax ordinance receipts in the first year.
- Consumer price index (CPI) of 1.75% represents a composite index from several economic forecasting sources and is applied to Proposition A Discretionary program for Included Operators, Transit Service Enhancement (TSE), Bus Service Improvement Program (BSIP), and Discretionary Base Restructuring program. Municipal Operators Service Improvement Program (MOSIP) receives 3% increase from FY2017 allocation.
- Proposition A 95% of 40% growth over inflation (GOI) revenue of \$50.6 million is used to fund formula equivalents for Eligible and Tier 2 operators.
- Proposition 1B PTMISEA Bridge funding allocation represents the 1st of three installments of FY2015 funding allocation.
- Proposition 1B Security Bridge funding allocations are based on FY2015 funding allocation.
- Federal formula grants (urbanized Formula Section 5307, Bus and Bus Facilities Section 5339 and State of Good Repair Section 5337) are presented for budgetary purposes only and will be adjusted upon receipt of the final apportionments.
- Federal Sections 5307 and 5339 are calculated using the Capital Allocation Procedure (CAP) as adopted by the Bus Operations Subcommittee (BOS). Section 5337 is calculated using the same formula used by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) based on directional route miles and vehicle revenue miles. Estimates are based on FY2018 estimated revenues. Operators' shares of sections 5339 and 5337 will be exchanged with Metro's share of section 5307 allocation.

# **Bus Transit Subsidies (\$1,079.0M)**

# Formula Allocation Procedure (\$653.7M)

Allocations of transit subsidy funds (STA, TDA Article 4, and Proposition A 95% of 40% Discretionary) are based on the Formula Allocation Procedure (FAP) that was adopted by the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) Board of Directors and legislated through SB 1755 (Calderon – 1996). Los Angeles County Included and Eligible Operators submitted their FY2016 Transit Performance Measures (TPM) data for the FY2018 FAP calculations. This data was validated and used in the calculations. The FAP as applied uses 50% of operators' vehicle service miles and 50% Of operators' fare units. (Fare units are defined as operators' passenger revenues divided by operators' base cash fare).

In November 2008, the Board approved a Funding Stability Policy, where operators who increase their fares will have their fare units frozen at their level prior to the fare increase until such time that fare unit calculation based on the new higher fare becomes greater than the frozen level.

Tier 2 Operators Funding Program was approved by the Board in April 2010 to provide operating assistance to LADOT Community Dash program and Glendale, Pasadena and Burbank's fixed route transit programs. Allocation is calculated by the same methodology as in the FAP and does not negatively impact the existing Included and Eligible Operators. This program was funded \$6.0 million each year for three years beginning FY2011, from the \$18.0 million GOI fund that was set aside by the Board in FY2008. With the Board's approval, we will continue to fund this program in FY2018 in the amount of \$6.0 million.

#### **Two-Year Lag Funding**

Pursuant to the two-year lag funding policy adopted by the Board in 2006, a total of \$1,543,103 is being re-allocated from Metro to Foothill Transit and Norwalk Transit following the transfers of Lines 190/194 and 270 as approved by the Board in April 2016.

- Line 190/194 service was transitioned from Metro to Foothill effective June 28, 2016 for a total of 947,846 annual revenue miles.
- Line 270 (Northern portion from Monrovia to El Monte Station) services was transitioned from Metro to Foothill effective June 28, 2016 for a total of 81,290 annual revenue miles.
- Line 270 (Southern Portion) service was transitioned from Metro to Norwalk effective June 27, 2016 for a total 219,430 annual revenue miles.

The two-year lag funding is paid through the FAP for two years beginning FY2017. After FY2018 the transitioned services operating data will become part of the FAP calculations.

#### **Measure R Allocations (\$168.0M)**

- Measure R 20% Bus Operations (\$157.3M)
   Measure R, approved by voters in November 2008, provides that 20% of the revenues be allocated to bus service operations, maintenance and expansion. The 20% bus operations share is allocated according to FAP calculation methodology to Included and Eligible Operators.
- Clean Fuel Bus Capital Facilities and Rolling Stock Fund (\$10.0M)
   Measure R ordinance also provides a lump sum allocation of \$150.0 million over the life of the ordinance for clean fuel and bus facilities. This fund is allocated to Metro and LA County Municipal Operators at \$10 million every even year.
- Regional Ridership Task Force Fund (\$0.7M)
   Metro and Municipal Operators' Measure R funds reduced by \$700,000 through an agreement by transit operators to contribute toward a Regional Ridership Task Force consulting study.

# **Measure M 20% Transit Operations (\$150.1M)**

Measure M, approved by the voters of Los Angeles County on November 8, 2016 to improve transportation and ease traffic congestion consistent with the Measure M Ordinance. As defined in Section 3 of the Measure M Ordinance, the 20% Transit Operations share is allocated according to FAP calculation methodology to Included and Eligible Operators.

# Proposition C 5% Security (\$35.5M)

Ninety percent of Proposition C 5% Security fund is allocated to Los Angeles County transit operators and Metro Operations for security services. State law requires that each operator's share of funds be based on its share of unlinked boardings to total Los Angeles County unlinked boardings. The unlinked boardings used for allocating these funds are based on the operators' TPM reports of LACMTA approved services. The remaining ten percent is allocated to Metro to mitigate other security needs.

# **Proposition C 40% Discretionary Programs (\$71.5M)**

The following programs are funded with Prop C 40% Discretionary funds:

• Municipal Operators Service Improvement Program (MOSIP). MOSIP was adopted by the Board in April 2001. The program is intended to provide bus

service improvements to the transit dependent in Los Angeles County by reducing overcrowding and expanding services. Funding is increased by 3% from the previous year's funding level. All Municipal Operators participate in this program, and funds are allocated according to FAP calculation methodology.

- **Zero-Fare Compensation.** The City of Commerce is allocated an amount equivalent to its FAP share as compensation for having zero fare revenues.
- Foothill Mitigation. This fund is allocated to operators to mitigate the impact of Foothill becoming an Included operator. The Foothill Mitigation Program is calculated similarly to the TDA and STA portion of the normal FAP, except that Foothill's data is frozen at its pre-inclusion level. The result of this calculation is then deducted from the TDA and STA portion of the normal FAP to arrive at the Foothill Mitigation funding level. This methodology was adopted by the BOS in November 1995.
- Transit Service Expansion Program (TSE). The TSE Program continues for five Municipal Operators for expansion or introduction of fixed-route bus service in congested corridors. Metro Operations does not participate in this program.
- Base Re-Structuring Program (Base-Re). The Base Restructuring Program
  continues for four Municipal Operators who added service before 1990. These
  four Municipal Operators are given additional funding from Proposition C 40%
  Discretionary.
- Bus Service Improvement Program (BSIP). The BSIP also continues to address service improvements on overcrowded non-Metro bus lines used primarily by the transit dependent. Metro Operations and all other Los Angeles County transit operators participate in this program, except for Claremont, La Mirada, and Commerce.
- Proposition 1B Bridge Funding Program. The Bridge Funding Program was established to compensate certain operators for the differences in State Proposition 1B allocation, which uses the State Transit Assistance (STA) allocation methodology, and the Los Angeles County Formula Allocation Procedure (FAP). Operators who would have received less or no funding under the State method are allocated with local funds if the FAP method is used. This program continues through the life of the bond as approved by the Board in September 2009. For FY2018, Bridge Funding allocation for the Transit Modernization (PTMISEA) account represents the 1st of three installments the operators earned from FY2015 Proposition 1B allocation; Bridge Funding for the Security account represents the full funding earned from the FY2015 allocation.

# Federal Funds (\$344.8M)

# Section 5307 Urbanized Formula Program (\$238.5M)

The Urbanized Area Formula Funding program (49 U.S.C. 5307) makes Federal resources available to urbanized areas for transit capital and operating assistance in urbanized areas and for transportation related planning. Based on federal revenue estimates for FY2018, \$238.5 million in Federal Section 5307 Urban Formula funds are allocated to Los Angeles County transit operators and LACMTA Operations. Eighty-five percent (85%) of these funds have been allocated based on a capital allocation formula consisting of total vehicle miles, number of vehicles, unlinked boardings, passenger revenue and base fare. The15% Capital Discretionary fund and the 1% Transit Enhancement Act fund have been allocated on a discretionary basis with BOS review and concurrence.

At its April 18, 2017 meeting, the BOS allocated \$300,000 each year for the next three years to the Southern California Regional Transit Training Consortium (SCRTTC) from the 15% discretionary fund. SCRTTC provides a training resource network comprised of Community Colleges, Universities, Transit Agencies, Public and Private Organizations focused on the development and delivery of training and employment of the transit industry workforce that is proficient at the highest standards, practices, and procedures for the industry. The funds will be exchanged with Metro's TDA Article 4 share and disbursed through Long Beach Transit.

#### Section 5339 Bus and Bus Facilities (\$22.0M)

Section 5339 is a grant program authorized by 49 United States Code (U.S.C) Section 5339 as specified under the Federal Reauthorization Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century or "MAP 21". The Program provides capital funding to replace, rehabilitate and purchase buses, vans, and related equipment, and to construct bus-related facilities. Based on federal revenue estimates for FY2018, \$22.0 million is allocated to Los Angeles County operators and Metro operations using the Capital Allocation Procedure adopted by the BOS. Operators' shares are swapped with Metro's share of Federal Section 5307 to minimize administrative process.

# Section 5337 State of Good Repair (\$84.3M)

Section 5337 provides grants for new and expanded rail, bus rapid transit, and ferry systems that reflect local priorities to improve transportation options in key corridors. This program defines a new category of eligible projects, known as core capacity projects, which expand capacity by at least 10% in existing fixed guideway transit corridors that are already at or above capacity today, or are expected to be at or above capacity within five years. The program also includes provisions for streamlining

aspects of the New Starts process to increase efficiency and reduce the time required to meet critical milestones. This funding program consists of two separate formula programs:

- High Intensity Fixed Guideway provides capital funding to maintain a system
  in a state of good repair for rail and buses operating on lanes for exclusive use of
  public transportation vehicles, i. e. bus rapid transit. Based on federal revenue
  estimates for FY2018, \$79.5 million is allocated to Metro and municipal
  operations.
- High Intensity Motorbus provides capital funding to maintain a system in a state of good repair for buses operating on lanes not fully reserved only for public transportation vehicles. Based on federal revenue estimates for FY2018, \$4.7 million is allocated to Metro operations and Los Angeles County operators following the FTA formula: the fund allocated with Directional Route Miles (DRM) data is allocated using the operators' DRM data while the fund allocated with Vehicle Revenue Miles (VRM) data is allocated using the operators' VRM data. Operators' shares are swapped with Metro's share of Federal Section 5307 to minimize administrative process.

# **Proposition A Incentive Programs (\$15.2M)**

In lieu of TDA Article 4.5, five percent (5%) of Proposition A 40% Discretionary funds have been allocated to local transit operators through Board-adopted Incentive Program guidelines. Programs include the Sub-Regional Paratransit Program, the Voluntary NTD Reporting Program and the Sub-Regional Grant Projects. Under the Voluntary NTD Reporting Program, local transit operators report operating data for entitlement to the Federal FTA Section 5307 funds. Operators participating in the Voluntary NTD Reporting Program and who are not receiving Sub-Regional Paratransit funds are allocated an amount equal to the Federal FTA Section 5307 funds they generate for the region.

Under the Sub-Regional Grant Projects, Avalon's Ferry, which provides a lifeline service to its residents who commute between Avalon and the mainland, will continue to receive \$650,000 in subsidy.

At its May 18, 2017 meeting, the Local Transit System Subcommittee (LTSS) approved an additional \$50,000 to Avalon's Transit Services annual subsidy increasing the funding level to \$300,000, and the Hollywood Bowl Shuttles subsidy remains at \$1,057,000.

# Local Returns, TDA Articles 3 & 8 (\$627.4M)

# Proposition A 25% Local Return (\$190.5M), Proposition C 20% Local Return (\$158.0M) and Measure R 15% Local Return (\$118.5M)

Fund estimates are apportioned to all Los Angeles County cities and the County of Los Angeles based on population shares according to state statutes and Proposition A, Proposition C and Measure R ordinances. The City of Vernon opted out of the Measure R Local Return program indefinitely.

#### **Measure M Local Return (\$127.6M)**

The Measure M 17% Local Return Funding allocations presented here are based on population. The allocation methodology is subject to Board approval of the Measure M guidelines and will be revised to reflect any changes made by the Board.

#### TDA Article 3 funds (\$7.9M)

TDA Article 3 funds are for Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities and split into two parts:

- The 15% of TDA Article 3 funds are allocated towards maintenance of regionally significant Class I bike paths as determined by LACMTA policy and in current TDA Article 3 Guidelines. This portion is divided in a ratio of 30% to 70% to City of Los Angeles and County of Los Angeles, respectively.
- The 85% of the funds are allocated to all Los Angeles County cities and the County of Los Angeles based on population shares. TDA Article 3 has a minimum allocation amount of \$5,000. The City of Industry has opted out of the TDA Article 3 program indefinitely. The Street and Freeway Subcommittee and the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) have approved this redistribution methodology in prior years, and it remains unchanged.

#### TDA Article 8 funds (\$25.0M)

TDA Article 8 funds are allocated to areas within Los Angeles County, but outside the Metro service area. This includes allocations to Avalon, Lancaster, Palmdale, Santa Clarita and portions of unincorporated areas of Los Angeles County. The amount of TDA funds for Article 8 allocation is calculated based on the proportionate population of these areas to the total population of Los Angeles County.

#### Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority 2018 Transit Fund Allocations

RESOLUTION OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2017-2018 FOR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION, TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT, AND STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND ALLOCATIONS

**WHEREAS**, the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) is the designated Transportation Planning agency for the County of Los Angeles and is, therefore, responsible for the administration of the Transportation Development Act (TDA), Public Utilities Code Section 99200 et seq.; and

WHEREAS, under Chapter 2.5, Article 5, the State Transit Assistance Fund (STA) Section 6753, allocations to claimants shall be made and take effect by resolution and shall designate: 1) the fiscal year for which the allocation is made; 2) the amount allocated to the claimant for each of the purposes defined in Sections 6730 and 6731; and 3) any other terms and conditions of the allocation; and

**WHEREAS**, Section 6659 requires that allocation instructions be conveyed each year to the county auditor by written memorandum of its executive director and accompanied by a certified copy of the authorizing resolution; and

**WHEREAS**, the resolution shall also specify conditions of payment and may call for a single payment, for payments as moneys become available, or for payment by installments monthly, quarterly, or otherwise; and

**WHEREAS**, the amount of a regional entity's allocation for a fiscal year that is not allocated to claimants for that fiscal year shall be available to the regional entity for allocation in the following fiscal year; and

**WHEREAS**, Section 6754 requires that the regional entity may allocate funds to an operator or a transit service claimant only if, in the resolution allocating the funds, it finds all of the following:

- a.1 The claimant's proposed expenditures are in conformity with the Regional Transportation Plan.
- a.2 The level of passenger fares and charges is sufficient to enable the operator or transit service claimant to meet the fare revenue requirements of PUC Section 99268.2, 99268.3, 99268.4, 99268.5, and 99268.9, as they may be applicable to the claimant.
- a.3 The claimant is making full use of federal funds available under the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964, as amended.

#### Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority 2017 Transit Fund Allocations

- a.4 The sum of the claimant's allocations from the state transit assistance fund and from the local transportation fund does not exceed the amount the claimant is eligible to receive during the fiscal year.
- a.5 Priority consideration has been given to claims to offset reductions on federal operating assistance and the unanticipated increase in the cost of fuel, to enhance existing public transportation services, and to meet high priority regional, countywide, or area wide public transportation needs.

**WHEREAS**, the regional entity may allocate funds to an operator for the purposes specified in Section 6730 only if, in the resolution allocating the funds, it finds all of the following:

- b.1 The operator has made a reasonable effort to implement the productivity improvements recommended pursuant to PUC Section 99244.
- b.2 A certification by the Department of the California Highway Patrol verifying that the operator is in compliance with Section 1808.1 of the Vehicle code, as required in PUC Section 99251. The certification shall have been completed within the last 13 month, prior to filing claims.
- b.3 The operator is in compliance with the eligibility requirements of PUC Section 99314.6 or 99314.7

**WHEREAS**, the regional entity may allocate funds to an operator to exchange funds pursuant to PUC Section 99314.4(b) only if, in the resolution allocating the funds made available pursuant to PUC Section 99231, it find that the operator is eligible to receive State Transit Assistance funds; and

**WHEREAS**, LACMTA staff in consultation with the Transit Operators and Cities has developed allocations in accordance with the Transportation Development Act as previously specified.

#### NOW THEREFORE.

- 1.0 The LACMTA Board of Directors approves the allocation of TDA and STA for the Fiscal Year 2017-18 to each claimant for each of the purposes as specified in Attachments A.
- 2.0 The Board of Directors hereby finds that a claimant's proposed expenditures are in conformity with the Regional Transportation Plan., the level of passenger fares and charges is sufficient to enable the operator or transit service claimant to meet the fare revenue requirements; the claimant is making full use of federal funds

#### Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority 2017 Transit Fund Allocations

available under the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964; the sum of the claimant's allocations from the State Transit Assistance fund and from the Local Transportation Fund do not exceed the amount the claimant is eligible to receive during the fiscal year; and that priority consideration has been given to claims to offset reductions on federal operating assistance and the unanticipated increase in the cost of fuel, to enhance existing public transportation services, and to meet high priority regional, countywide, or area wide public transportation needs.

- 3.0 The Board of Directors hereby finds that, for the purposes specified in Section 6730, the operators eligible for funding have made reasonable efforts to implement the productivity improvements recommended pursuant to PUC Section 99244. A certification by the Department of the California Highway Patrol verifying that the operator is in compliance with Section 1808.1 of the Vehicle Code, has been remitted. The operator is in compliance with the eligibility requirements of PUC Section 99314.6 or 99314.7
- 4.0 The Board of Directors hereby authorizes that the operators listed in Attachment A are eligible to receive State Transit Assistance funds.
- 5.0 The Board of Directors hereby authorizes that the operators may receive payments upon meeting the requirements of the STA eligibility test and submittal of TDA and STA claims.

#### **CERTIFICATION**

The undersigned, duly qualified and acting as the Board Secretary of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, certifies that the foregoing is a true and correct representation of the Resolution adopted at a legally convened meeting of the Board of Directors of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority held on June, 2017.

	MICHELE JACKSON
	Board Secretary
DATED:	
(SEAL)	