



Metro

Los Angeles County
Metropolitan Transportation
Authority
One Gateway Plaza
3rd Floor Board Room
Los Angeles, CA

Board Report

File #: 2023-0059, **File Type:** Oral Report / Presentation

Agenda Number: 6.

**MEASURE M INDEPENDENT TAXPAYERS OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE
MARCH 1, 2023**

SUBJECT: ORAL REPORT ON LOCAL RETURN

ACTION: ORAL REPORT

RECOMMENDATION

RECEIVE oral report on Local Return.

Measure M Local Return

March 2023 update




Susan Richan and Chelsea Meister,
Local Programming



Local Return (LR) – Measure M

- Measure M (approved in 2016 – funding started FY18)
 - 17% LR share (16% share plus 1% of the 1.5% off the top)
 - Requires Assurances and Understanding agreement
 - Jurisdictions are audited annually for compliance to Measure M
- Data from the LRMS
(Formerly on the Form M-One and Form M-Two)

MEASURE M



Due dates are the same for all LR:
August 1 (budget) and
October 15th (expenditures)

*Local Return receives 1% from the 1.5% of the "off the top" Administration

FY22 Measure M Local Return Details

Approved Budget 2022

\$447,302.58K

Total No of Projects in 2022

0.59K

Fiscal Year
2022

Category Name
All

Sub-Category
All


Project Type
All

Fund Source
Measure M

Subregion
All

Board Member
All

City
 Search
 Agoura Hills
 Arcadia
 Artesia
 Avalon



LRMS – Updates

Meetings and due dates (former met deadlines are shaded)

- The Audit Workshop for the FY2021-22 Audit was held July 22, 2022
- Cities are required to submit the 8/1 - Project Updates Table to carryover FY22 project into new FY23 due August 1, 2022
- Actuals are due by city audit date or Oct 15, 2022 – whichever comes first
- The audits are required to be completed by December 31, 2022

The Measure M audit findings for the FY22 audit total eighteen (18)*. This is up from the FY21 audit total nine (9); and it is down from the FY20 audit findings of twenty-one (21). Most FY22 findings were for late form submittal or not having approval for a project before expending funds. These were resolved by retro-active approval.

*Sixteen cities with findings. Two cities with two findings for a total of eighteen findings.

LRMS – Audit table summary

City	Measure M Audit findings
Alhambra	No Prior approval
Artesia	Expenditure Plan submitted late (Table 8/1); Actual Table submitted late
Bell	No Prior approval
Bell Gardens	Expenditure Plan submitted late (Table 8/1)
Bradbury	Actual Table submitted late
Calabasas	No Prior approval
Compton	No Prior approval
Covina	No Prior approval; Actual Table submitted late
Glendale	Expenditure Plan submitted late (Table 8/1)
La Habra Heights	Actual Table submitted late
Montebello	No Prior approval
Palmdale	Actual Table submitted late
Pasadena	Actual Table submitted late
Redondo Beach	No Prior approval
South Gate	Expenditure Plan submitted late (Table 8/1)
Vernon	Expenditure Plan submitted late (Table 8/1)

THANK YOU!

Questions?

Susan Richan

richans@metro.net

(213) 922-3017

Chelsea Meister

meisterc@metro.net

(213) 922-5638