

Board Report

Los Angeles County
Metropolitan Transportation
Authority
One Gateway Plaza
3rd Floor Board Room
Los Angeles, CA

File #: 2023-0122, File Type: Motion / Motion Response Agenda Number: 32.

OPERATIONS, SAFETY, AND CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE COMMITTEE MARCH 16, 2023

SUBJECT: MOBILE APPLICATION CONSOLIDATION MOTION RESPONSE

ACTION: ORAL REPORT

RECOMMENDATION

RECEIVE oral report on Mobile Application Consolidated Motion.

EQUITY PLATFORM

The Mobile Application Consolidation response is incorporating Metro's Equity Platform by supporting the pillar: Listen and Learn. In outlining the next phase of in-depth customer research, the working group will strive to understand who, demographically and socio-economically, are current users of existing Metro mobile applications; how different users of varying abilities currently do and would like to interact with Metro mobile applications; and identify ways in which Metro can better address and solve for disparities with riders without Smartphones. In addition to studying current users of Metro's mobile apps, the Working Group will also study non-users of existing applications to understand barriers and ways in which mobile app access and usage can be increased through this effort. The working group is committed to listening and learning from all customers in its next phase through coordination with Metro's special interest councils and segmented user experience testing, eventually incorporating those findings into its final proposed solution.

Prepared by: John Gordon, Senior Director - Special Projects Marketing, (213)922-2290
Rochelle Chavez, Senior Manager - Systems Projects TAP, (213)922-7291
Nina Kin, Web Systems Developer Marketing, (213)922-4213
Bryan Sastokas, Deputy Chief of Information Technology Services, (213)922-5510

Reviewed by: Jennifer Vides, Chief Experience Office, (213)922-4060

Mobile Application Consolidation Board Response





Board Motion Summary

On December 1, 2022, the Board approved Motion 2022-0789 issued by Directors Krekorian, Garcetti, Barger, Narjarian, Sandoval and Mitchell directing staff to report back on the potential consolidation of all of Metro's phone applications into one single Metro App, including:

- What steps would be required to consolidate all current applications to one single application;
- ii. An estimate of costs and savings that would result from such consolidation and any indirect financial impacts and benefits, and;
- iii. A proposed timeline for completion of such consolidation.





The Work Done to Date

- Convened internal cross-functional Working Group from Customer Experience, TAP, ITS, Operations, Planning and the Office of Strategic Innovation who currently own public-facing mobile applications.
- Identified all public-facing mobile applications and sites
- Analyzed technical specs and viability of immediate integration
- Researched latest trends in mobile applications
- Researched lessons learned from other transit authorities
- Began looking at expenditures and financial benefits



Metro's Current Mobile Application Inventory



Metro's current landscape of mobile applications and mobile-accessible channels is complex.

Metro has six customer-facing mobile apps:



tap[§]

TAP LA









Transit Watch

Transit

Metro Micro

Metro Bike Share

Metro Parking

- Metro has 8 additional customer channels accessible on mobile phones:
 - metro.net (+ specific service pages)
 - Metro.net contact form

- o trips.metro.net
- book.metro-micro.net
- TapToGo.net

- o Go 511 app
- LA Secure app
- Metro Vanpool app



Metro's Current Mobile Application Inventory

Metro's mobile applications are owned and operated by various departments across the agency

- Seven departments are involved in Metro's existing mobile applications:
 - Countywide Planning & Development
 - Customer Experience

- Information and Technology Services
- o Office of Strategic Innovation
- Operations

- o TAP
- Safety, Security, and Law Enforcement

 Note: some of the applications are operated and maintained by vendors and some are revenue share programs that make financial analysis complex.



Initial Consolidation Viability



There are many considerations we must address in this study.

Technical/Data

- Standardization of data
- API Access
- Lack of industry-wide data standards
- Functions rely on good data; functions are not all integrate-able into anything that <u>currently</u> <u>exists</u>

Procurement and Funding

- Proprietary technology
- Contract restrictions and timing
- Financial agreements with third party application partners



Customer Trends Analysis

Any solution proposed by Metro will rely heavily on knowing users and incorporating flexibility.

While there have been challenges for transit agencies in creating mobile apps in the past, there are some agencies who have found recent success:

Challenges

- Difficulties integrating data and functionality between public and private sectors
- Challenges posed by existing laws regarding fare payment, interoperability, and consumer privacy
- Fast pace of technology and payment solutions which yields an average app lifespan of 2-4 years





Successes

- MBTA and TriMet focused on building mobile-optimized, web-based rider tools
- Metrolink contracted with a vendor (Masabi) to provide a mobile app with the primary function of ticketing that then links out to a mobile website for other functionality (e.g., schedulers)



App Consolidation Evaluation Timeline

\rightarrow	Board	Report
		-

													Boar	и перо
Phases and Activities	Dec 22	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan 24
 Phase 1 – Initial Research Convene internal Working Group Identify all public-facing applications Analyze viability of technical specs for integration Research latest trends and lessons learned 				\rightarrow										
 Phase 2 – Customer Research Map customer journey for rider experience and app usage Analyze customer segments for different apps Understand our customers' mobile app needs Consolidate findings and insights into prioritized recommendations 														
 Phase 3 – Refine Recommended Solution Define high-level business and technical requirements Compile cost and saving estimates Develop implementation timeline 														
 Phase 4 – Implement Solution Implement selected solution UX test prototypes Measure success of solution against performance metrics 														9