



Metro

*One Gateway Plaza, Los Angeles, CA 90012,
3rd Floor, Metro Board Room*

Agenda - Final

Monday, April 27, 2026

1:00 PM

Watch online: <https://boardagendas.metro.net>
Listen by phone: Dial 888-978-8818 and enter Access Code:
5647249# (English) or 7292892# (Español)

To give written or live public comment, please see the top of page 4

Ad Hoc Board Composition Committee

Ara J. Najarian, Chair
Lindsey Horvath, Vice Chair
Kathryn Barger
Terry Dipple
Jacquelyn Dupont-Walker
Fernando Dutra
John Fasana
Tracy Hernandez
Jeremy Oliver-Ronceros
Ernesto Medrano
Imelda Padilla
Gloria Roberts, non-voting member

Stephanie Wiggins, Chief Executive Officer

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY BOARD AGENDA RULES

(ALSO APPLIES TO BOARD COMMITTEES)

PUBLIC INPUT

A member of the public may address the Board on agenda items, before or during the Board or Committee's consideration of the item for one (1) minute per item, or at the discretion of the Chair. A request to address the Board must be submitted electronically using the tablets available in the Board Room lobby. Individuals requesting to speak will be allowed to speak for a total of three (3) minutes per meeting on agenda items in one minute increments per item. For individuals requiring translation service, time allowed will be doubled. The Board shall reserve the right to limit redundant or repetitive comment.

The public may also address the Board on non-agenda items within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Board during the general public comment period, which will be held at the beginning and/or end of each meeting. Each person will be allowed to speak for one (1) minute during this General Public Comment period or at the discretion of the Chair. Speakers will be called according to the order in which their requests are submitted. Elected officials, not their staff or deputies, may be called out of order and prior to the Board's consideration of the relevant item.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, and in accordance with the Brown Act, this agenda does not provide an opportunity for members of the public to address the Board on any Consent Calendar agenda item that has already been considered by a Committee, composed exclusively of members of the Board, at a public meeting wherein all interested members of the public were afforded the opportunity to address the Committee on the item, before or during the Committee's consideration of the item, and which has not been substantially changed since the Committee heard the item.

In accordance with State Law (Brown Act), all matters to be acted on by the MTA Board must be posted at least 72 hours prior to the Board meeting. In case of emergency, or when a subject matter arises subsequent to the posting of the agenda, upon making certain findings, the Board may act on an item that is not on the posted agenda.

TECHNOLOGY DISRUPTIONS - Although staff will do their due diligence to restore service, if joining the meeting virtually, please be aware that the Committee or Board may continue its meeting notwithstanding a technical disruption that prevents members of the public from attending or observing the meeting via the two-way telephonic service or two-way audio visual platform.

CONDUCT IN THE BOARD ROOM - The following rules pertain to conduct at Metropolitan Transportation Authority meetings:

REMOVAL FROM THE BOARD ROOM - The Chair shall order removed from the Board Room any person who commits the following acts with respect to any meeting of the MTA Board:

- a. Disorderly behavior toward the Board or any member of the staff thereof, tending to interrupt the due and orderly course of said meeting.
- b. A breach of the peace, boisterous conduct or violent disturbance, tending to interrupt the due and orderly course of said meeting.
- c. Disobedience of any lawful order of the Chair, which shall include an order to be seated or to refrain from addressing the Board; and
- d. Any other unlawful interference with the due and orderly course of said meeting.

INFORMATION RELATING TO AGENDAS AND ACTIONS OF THE BOARD

Agendas for the Regular MTA Board meetings are prepared by the Board Clerk and are available prior to the meeting in the MTA Records Management Department and on the Internet. Every meeting of the MTA Board of Directors is recorded and is available at <https://www.metro.net> or on CD's and as MP3's for a nominal charge.

DISCLOSURE OF CONTRIBUTIONS

The State Political Reform Act (Government Code Section 84308) requires that a party to a proceeding coming before an agency involving a license, permit, or other entitlement for use including all contracts (other than competitively bid contracts that are required by law, agency policy, or agency rule to be awarded pursuant to a competitive process , labor contracts, personal employment contracts, contracts valued under \$50,000, contracts where no party receives financial compensation, contracts between two or more agencies, the periodic review or renewal of development agreements unless there is a material modification or amendment proposed to the agreement, the periodic review or renewal of competitively bid contracts unless there are material modifications or amendments proposed to the agreement that are valued at more than 10 percent of the value of the contract or fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000), whichever is less, and modifications of or amendments to any of the foregoing contracts, other than competitively bid contracts), shall disclose on the record of the proceeding any contributions in an amount of more than \$500 made within the preceding 12 months by the party, or the party's agent, to any officer of the agency. When a closed corporation is party to, or participant in, such a proceeding, the majority shareholder must make the same disclosure. Failure to comply with this requirement may result in the assessment of civil or criminal penalties.

ADA REQUIREMENTS

Upon request, sign language interpretation, materials in alternative formats and other accommodations are available to the public for MTA-sponsored meetings and events. All requests for reasonable accommodations must be made at least three working days (72 working hours) in advance of the scheduled meeting date. Please telephone (213) 364-2837 or (213) 922-4600 between 8 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday. Our TDD line is (800) 252-9040. Requests can also be sent to boardclerk@metro.net.

LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENCY

A Spanish language interpreter is available at all Committee and Board Meetings. All other languages must be requested 72 hours in advance of the meeting by calling (213) 364-2837 or (213) 922-4600. Live Public Comment Instructions can also be translated if requested 72 hours in advance.



323.466.3876

x2 *Español (Spanish)*

x3 *中文 (Chinese)*

x4 *한국어 (Korean)*

x5 *Tiếng Việt (Vietnamese)*

x6 *日本語 (Japanese)*

x7 *русский (Russian)*

x8 *Հայերէն (Armenian)*

HELPFUL PHONE NUMBERS AND EMAIL

Copies of Agendas/Record of Board Action/Recordings of Meetings - (213) 922-4880 (Records Management Department) - <https://records.metro.net>

General Information/Rules of the Board - (213) 922-4600

Internet Access to Agendas - <https://www.metro.net>

TDD line (800) 252-9040

Board Clerk Email - boardclerk@metro.net

NOTE: ACTION MAY BE TAKEN ON ANY ITEM IDENTIFIED ON THE AGENDA

Live Public Comment Instructions:

Live public comment can be given by telephone or in-person.

The Meeting begins at 1:00 PM Pacific Time on April 27, 2026; you may join the call 5 minutes prior to the start of the meeting.

Dial-in: 888-978-8818 and enter
English Access Code: 5647249#
Spanish Access Code: 7292892#

Public comment will be taken as the Board takes up each item. To give public comment on an item, enter #2 (pound-two) when prompted. Please note that the live video feed lags about 30 seconds behind the actual meeting. There is no lag on the public comment dial-in line.

Instrucciones para comentarios publicos en vivo:

Los comentarios publicos en vivo se pueden dar por telefono o en persona.

La Reunion de la Junta comienza a las 1:00 PM, hora del Pacifico, el 27 de Abril de 2026. Puedes unirse a la llamada 5 minutos antes del comienzo de la junta.

Marque: 888-978-8818 y ingrese el codigo
Codigo de acceso en ingles: 5647249#
Codigo de acceso en espanol: 7292892#

Los comentarios del público se tomaran cuando se toma cada tema. Para dar un comentario público sobre una tema ingrese # 2 (Tecla de numero y dos) cuando se le solicite. Tenga en cuenta que la transmisión de video en vivo se retrasa unos 30 segundos con respecto a la reunión real. No hay retraso en la línea de acceso telefónico para comentarios públicos.

Written Public Comment Instruction:

Written public comments must be received by 5PM the day before the meeting.

Please include the Item # in your comment and your position of "FOR," "AGAINST," "GENERAL COMMENT," or "ITEM NEEDS MORE CONSIDERATION."

Email: BoardClerk@metro.net

Post Office Mail:

Board Administration

One Gateway Plaza

MS: 99-3-1

Los Angeles, CA 90012

CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL

5. **SUBJECT: ROLE OF METRO'S ADVISORY BODIES IN DECISION-MAKING** [2026-0303](#)

RECOMMENDATION

RECEIVE AND FILE report on the role of Metro's Advisory Bodies.

Attachments: [Attachment A - Metro Advisory Body Roster](#)

6. **SUBJECT: GUIDING VALUES FOR AD HOC BOARD COMPOSITION COMMITTEE DELIBERATIONS** [2026-0304](#)

RECOMMENDATION

ADOPT the guiding values to support and inform the Ad Hoc Board Committee's deliberations regarding governance considerations (Attachment A).

Attachments: [Attachment A - Ad Hoc Board Composition Committee Guiding Values Presentation](#)

7. **SUBJECT: COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT** [2026-0199](#)

RECOMMENDATION

RECEIVE AND FILE the monthly report on Ad Hoc Board Composition Community Engagement.

- SUBJECT: GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT** [2026-0312](#)

RECEIVE General Public Comment

Consideration of items not on the posted agenda, including: items to be presented and (if requested) referred to staff; items to be placed on the agenda for action at a future meeting of the Committee or Board; and/or items requiring immediate action because of an emergency situation or where the need to take immediate action came to the attention of the Committee subsequent to the posting of the agenda.

COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC ON ITEMS OF PUBLIC INTEREST WITHIN COMMITTEE'S SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

Adjournment



Board Report

File #: 2026-0303, **File Type:** Informational Report

Agenda Number: 5.

**AD HOC BOARD COMPOSITION COMMITTEE
APRIL 27, 2026**

SUBJECT: ROLE OF METRO’S ADVISORY BODIES IN DECISION-MAKING

ACTION: RECEIVE AND FILE

RECOMMENDATION

RECEIVE AND FILE report on the role of Metro’s Advisory Bodies.

ISSUE

As the Ad Hoc Governance Committee evaluates potential changes to Metro’s governance structure, it is important to recognize that advisory bodies already serve as established mechanisms to gather diverse community perspectives and inform Board decision-making.

These bodies provide structured forums to elevate the voices of transit riders alongside a broader range of perspectives, including people with disabilities, youth, business stakeholders, technical experts, as well as to discuss issues specific to geographically diverse subregions and initiatives.

As part of the Ad Hoc Board Composition Committee’s efforts, advisory bodies are hosting community listening sessions to gather feedback on Board composition and governance. This approach offers a scalable, inclusive method for informing the Committee’s work.

BACKGROUND

Advisory bodies expand the agency’s ability to incorporate lived experience together with technical, operational, and economic expertise. Through regular engagement, formal recommendations, and community listening sessions, the feedback provided by these Bodies is shared with the Board.

Advisory bodies fall into several categories, including statutorily required advisory committees, ordinance required independent taxpayer oversight committees, service-based bodies, as well as project-specific or subject-matter- focused bodies. Each plays a distinct role, ranging from technical review to direct community engagement and fiscal oversight as policy input for the Board. Notably, the Metro enabling statute, AB152 (Katz) requires both the Citizens’ Advisory Council (CAC) and the Transit Business Advisory Council (TBAC), underscoring the importance of incorporating rider and local small business perspectives into Board decision-making. Each body plays a distinct role, ranging from technical review and policy input to direct community engagement and fiscal oversight.

Collectively, these bodies provide structured and ongoing opportunities for public participation. For example, advisory committees elevate rider and stakeholder perspectives, service councils influence bus service planning through public hearings and provide recommendations to Metro leadership. Independent taxpayer oversight committees ensure transparency and accountability in the expenditure of voter-approved funds.

Through regular meetings, formal recommendations, and public engagement, advisory bodies create a multi-layered framework for feedback to the Board that strengthens the Board's ability to make informed, transparent, and community-responsive decisions.

Attachment A includes a listing of all Metro Advisory Bodies.

DISCUSSION

Metro undertook a review of its governance and stakeholder engagement practices to better understand how advisory input supports Board deliberations and agency decision-making. As part of this effort, staff examined both internal structures and external practices at comparable agencies to identify common approaches, key differences, and opportunities for alignment with best practices.

A review of stakeholder engagement structures across peer transit agencies, including the New York Metropolitan Transportation Authority (MTA), San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District (BART), Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority (WMATA), Chicago Transit Authority (CTA), New Jersey Transit Corporation (NJ Transit), Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority (MBTA), and Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority (SEPTA).

This review found that while governance structures vary, peer agencies consistently rely on advisory bodies and stakeholder engagement processes to inform decision-making.

Across agencies:

- Rider and stakeholder advisory committees are a standard practice, serving as a primary mechanism for gathering input.
- Most advisory bodies are consultative in nature, with decision-making authority remaining with agency Boards or executive leadership.
- Community engagement is universally conducted, though the level of formalization varies—from project-based outreach to standing, policy-linked advisory councils.
- Technical and policy advisory committees are consistently used to inform planning, operations, and capital investments.
- Project-specific engagement (e.g., corridor-level committees, working groups) is a common and expected practice across all agencies.
- Oversight structures differ significantly, reflecting varying approaches to transparency and accountability.
- Formal structures for youth and targeted stakeholder groups are limited across peers, representing an area of emerging practice.

Overall, some key takeaways from this comparison include:

- Advisory bodies are a standard governance tool across all agencies.
- While engagement is universal, Metro has a more formalized and structured approach than many peer agencies as detailed in Attachment A.
- Metro's model is broader, incorporating policy, technical, community, business, and oversight perspectives.
- Metro stands out in a few ways, particularly with our Service Councils, which have direct decision-making authority on bus service, something not commonly seen at peer agencies.
- The CAC and PSAC present quarterly to the Metro Board.
- In areas like youth engagement, Metro is ahead of many peer agencies in having its own advisory body solely focused on strengthening feedback from young audiences.

Consistent with these findings, Metro maintains a diverse network of advisory bodies that support Board deliberations and agency decision-making. As detailed in Attachment A, these bodies provide input across policy, planning, operational, and oversight functions. As outlined above, Metro's advisory bodies vary in purpose, structure, and authority. Certain bodies are established pursuant to statute or voter-approved measures and include specific requirements related to membership, terms, and responsibilities, particularly those responsible for independent financial oversight of transportation sales tax revenues. Other advisory bodies rely on appointments by Metro Board members or other designated appointing authorities to ensure geographic and stakeholder representation, while some utilize application and interview processes to identify members with relevant lived experience or professional expertise. In many cases, members are required to meet specific qualifications or represent particular constituencies.

Interest in serving on Metro advisory boards is strong, when the recruitment is led by Metro. Most recently, Metro received almost 600 applications for appointment to PSAC. Metro Youth Council received over 200 applications across the nine sub-regions for 27 seats. Sixty-one applicants applied for the second version of East San Fernando Valley Community Leadership Council (CLC), for 14 spots.

While this structure supports broad and inclusive engagement, it can also present challenges. Advisory bodies with specific eligibility requirements or those that rely on external appointing authorities may experience delays in filling vacancies or limitations in the available pool of candidates.

Despite these challenges, advisory bodies remain a critical component of Metro's governance framework. They provide valuable input on issues such as accessibility, public safety, sustainability, business participation, and regional service delivery, and they support transparency and accountability in agency decision-making. Metro's approach reflects the scale and complexity of its service area and builds on practices identified through the peer review.

Staff continues to seek ways to strengthen the role of advisory bodies in informing Board decision-making by enhancing coordination, visibility, and integration of advisory input into Board processes. Key efforts include increasing transparency of advisory body activities, expanding inclusive

participation opportunities, and strengthening feedback loops to demonstrate how input informs decisions. These efforts will reinforce advisory bodies as a critical bridge between the public and the Board and support more informed, transparent, and community-responsive governance.

EQUITY PLATFORM

Advisory bodies advance Metro's commitment to equity by ensuring that voices historically underrepresented in transportation decision-making are meaningfully incorporated into agency policies and programs.

These bodies elevate perspectives from people with disabilities, youth, transit-dependent riders, small and minority-owned businesses, and reflect the geographic diversity of communities across Los Angeles County. By incorporating lived experience and community-based insight, advisory bodies help ensure that Metro's decisions are informed by the perspectives of those most impacted by the transportation system.

Project-specific advisory groups further advance equity by engaging communities directly affected by major capital projects, supporting more inclusive planning processes and equitable outcomes.

Through these structures, Metro strengthens transparency, broadens participation, and advances more equitable and community-informed decision-making.

VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED OUTCOME

VMT and VMT per capita in Los Angeles County are lower than national averages, the lowest in the SCAG region, and on the lower end of VMT per capita statewide, with these declining VMT trends due in part to Metro's significant investment in rail and bus transit.* Metro's Board adopted VMT reduction targets align with California's statewide climate goals, including achieving carbon neutrality by 2045. To ensure continued progress, all Board items are assessed for their potential impact on VMT.

As part of these ongoing efforts, this item is expected to contribute to further reductions in VMT. While this item does not directly encourage transit use, it supports Metro's overall operations by strengthening advisory structures that provide community input on key agency priorities, projects, and programs, which can contribute to an enhanced customer experience. Because the Metro Board has adopted an agency-wide VMT Reduction Target, and this item supports the overall function of the agency and is consistent with the goals of reducing VMT.

*Based on population estimates from the United States Census and VMT estimates from Caltrans' Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) data between 2001-2019.

IMPLEMENTATION OF STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS

Advisory Bodies provide input to Metro that align with the following strategic plan goals:

- Goal 1 - Provide high-quality mobility options that enable people to spend less time traveling.

- Goal 2 - Deliver outstanding trip experiences for all users of the transportation system.
- Goal 3 - Enhance community and lives through mobility and access to opportunity.
- Goal 4 - Transform LA County through regional collaboration and national leadership.
- Goal 5 - Provide responsive, accountable, and trustworthy governance within the Metro organization.

NEXT STEPS

Metro will continue to coordinate and engage with its advisory bodies to solicit feedback on Metro governance and to ensure their perspectives are incorporated into ongoing discussions related to Board structure, representation, and decision-making processes.

ATTACHMENT

Attachment A - Metro Advisory Body Roster

Prepared by: Marisa Perez, Deputy Chief, Community Relations, (213) 922-3808
Lilian De-Loza Gutierrez, Executive Officer, Community Relations, (213) 922-7479


Reviewed by: Nicole Englund, Chief of Staff, (213) 922-7950



Stephanie Wiggins
Chief Executive Officer



ADVISORY BODY ROSTER



Advisory Body	How Body was Created	Description	Meeting Frequency	Appointment Type
Accessibility Advisory Committee (AAC)	Agency created.	Metro’s Accessibility Advisory Committee (AAC) is comprised of Metro riders with disabilities and those who advocate for them in and around Los Angeles County. The committee provides feedback to Metro regarding accessibility issues and serves as a sounding board for new and innovative Metro programs.	Monthly	Application process. Candidates approved by a 3-member Steering Committee.
Community Advisory Council (CAC)	Created by state legislation - Pursuant to Assembly Bill 152.	Per CAC’s bylaws: Subject to the supervision of Metro, the Community Advisory Council's role is to consult, obtain, and collect public input on matters of interest and concern to the community and will communicate the CAC’s recommendations with respect to such issues to Metro. Issues may be assigned to the CAC by Metro for its review, comment, and recommendation. Subject to the direction and concurrence of Metro, the CAC may also engage in such related activities as are appropriate to the discharge of its responsibilities and bring matters of public concern to the attention of Metro. In meeting its responsibilities, the CAC may conduct meetings and appoint committees and subcommittees. Pursuant to Assembly Bill 152 (signed into law on May 19, 1992), Metro was mandated to create a “Citizen Advisory Council” that represents a diverse range of interests in all geographical areas of the County.	Monthly	Per CAC bylaws: Appointed by the Metro Board of Directors. Each current principal voting board member of the Metro Board shall nominate four (4) public members to the CAC to serve at the pleasure of the appointing Board member. There is no limit to the duration that a CAC member may serve. Members of the CAC shall be selected to reflect a broad spectrum of interests and all geographic areas of the County. A maximum of one (1) representative

Advisory Body	How Body was Created	Description	Meeting Frequency	Appointment Type
				per Service Council may be appointed as a CAC member.
East San Fernando Valley Community Leadership Council (CLC)	Agency created.	Project-specific committee that promotes community-based dialogue and opportunities arising from the East San Fernando Valley Light Rail Project. CLC members serve a two-year term as community representatives.	Quarterly	Application and interview process. Candidates selected by Metro Community Relations staff.
Long Beach-East Los Angeles Corridor Mobility Investment Plan (LB-ELA CMIP) Community Working Group (CWG)	Agency created.	The LB-ELA CWG works with Metro to incorporate community priorities and desired outcomes through Metro's multimodal investments that help improve regional mobility and air quality for communities along I-710 South. The Corridor Mobility Investment Plan, adopted by the Metro Board in April 2024, considers economic vitality, social equity, environmental sustainability, and access to opportunity across transportation and mobility projects and programs. The CWG was created to support Metro through its implementation of the CMIP, and is expected to commence once the implementation phase starts.	TBD	Application process. Candidates evaluated and selected based on the criteria approved by the corridor communities to ensure equal and equitable representation.
Measure M Independent Taxpayer Oversight Committee	Created by Measure M Ordinance, Section 8	The Measure M Independent Taxpayers Oversight Committee is responsible for independent oversight of the expenditures of Measure M; the transportation sales tax passed by Los Angeles County voters in November 2016. The committee is comprised of 7 members from diverse professional backgrounds, serving 5-year terms.	Quarterly	Application process and approved by the Metro Board of Directors.
Measure R Independent Taxpayers Oversight Committee	Created by Measure R Ordinance, Section 8.	The Measure R Oversight Committee is responsible for monitoring and ensuring that all tax measure revenue is used solely for transportation purposes in accordance with the ordinance. The committee is comprised of 3 retired Federal or State judges, serving 2-year terms.	Twice each year	Three (3) retired Federal or State judges appointed by the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors, the Mayor of the City of Los Angeles, or the Los Angeles County City Selection Committee.
Policy Advisory Council (PAC)	Agency created.		Ad Hoc	

Advisory Body	How Body was Created	Description	Meeting Frequency	Appointment Type
		<p>The Metro Policy Advisory Council (PAC) was established in early 2017 to review, comment, and provide input on the draft Measure M Master Guidelines (Guidelines), the Long-Range Transportation Plan (LRTP), and other work plans and policy areas that the Metro Board may request. Starting in 2023, the PAC members have decided to meet on an ad-hoc basis and at a touchpoint annual meeting during Metro's budget process. PAC last met in April 2024.</p>		<p>Nominated by the Metro Board of Directors. Nominees are drawn from transportation consumers, transportation providers, and jurisdictions.</p>
<p>Proposition A and C Independent Citizens' Advisory and Oversight Committee</p>	<p>Appointed under the Metropolitan Transportation Authority Reform and Accountability Act of 1998, approved by voters in November 1998.</p>	<p>The purpose of the Act was to provide accountability in the expenditure of Proposition A and Proposition C sales tax revenues through annual independent audits of transportation sales tax expenditures, the creation of an Independent Citizen's Advisory and Oversight Committee, and public hearings on the independent audit reports. The Act requires an annual independent audit of Propositions A and C Sales Taxes Revenues and Expenditures.</p>	<p>Twice each year</p>	<p>Five (5) members: each one member shall be appointed by the Chair of the LA County Board of Supervisors, the Chair of the governing board of Metro, the Mayor of the City of LA, the Mayor of the City of Long Beach and the Mayor of the City of Pasadena.</p>
<p>Public Safety Advisory Committee</p>	<p>Agency created.</p>	<p>The transit Public Safety Advisory Committee (PSAC) was established to support Metro in achieving its mission to safeguard the transit community by taking a holistic, equitable, and welcoming approach to public safety, in recognizing that everyone is entitled to a safe, dignified, and human experience. PSAC comprises a diverse group of individuals who regularly ride the system and have professional or personal experience that meaningfully contribute to the committee. Members have expertise in racial justice, equitable transit, social services, homelessness, mental health, accessibility, public safety, and law enforcement. Metro is committed to ensuring that the perspectives of older adults, youth, women, and girls are represented on the committee.</p>	<p>Monthly</p>	<p>Application and interview process. Selection panel includes Metro Chief Executive Officer, Chief of Police and Chief of Customer Experience.</p>
<p>Service Councils: Gateway Cities, San Fernando Valley, San Gabriel Valley, South Bay and Westside/Central</p>	<p>Agency created.</p>	<p>Metro Service Councils (MSC) were created in 2002 as community-based bodies to improve bus service and promote service coordination with municipal and local transit providers. The MSC bylaws specify that representatives must live in, work in, or</p>	<p>Monthly</p>	<p>Individuals are nominated by the designated nominating authorities of the regions. All nominees</p>

Advisory Body	How Body was Created	Description	Meeting Frequency	Appointment Type
		<p>represent the region, and shall ride transit on at least a monthly basis.</p> <p>The MSC are responsible for convening public hearings to receive community input on proposed major service modifications and rendering decisions on those changes considering staff's recommendations and public comments. They also are the designated bodies to convene public hearings for the Title VI Analysis of any new fixed guideway projects. Metro's service area is organized into five geographic regions; each has a nine-member Service Council appointed by the Metro Board that meets monthly. Service Councils advise on projects, programs, and transit services in their regions: Gateway Cities, San Fernando Valley, San Gabriel Valley, South Bay, and Westside/Central.</p>		are then appointed by the Metro Board of Directors.
Technical Advisory Committee	Created by state legislation - Pursuant to Assembly Bill 152.	The enabling legislation that created Metro requires the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) to provide technical assistance to LACMTA staff by reviewing and evaluating the various transportation proposals and alternatives within Los Angeles County. Transportation issues transmitted to the Committee will include the funding, operation, construction and maintenance of streets and freeways, bus and rail transit, demand and system management, air quality improvements, sustainability and active transportation. The Committee shall review, comment upon, and make recommendations on such matters as are referred to by LACMTA staff. Cooperatively with the modal Subcommittees defined in Section E, LACMTA staff will forward issues, projects or programs to the Committee for review and action.	Monthly	Filled by a qualified member of the respective seat/organization, which may include appointments from public agencies, Metro, or professional organizations.
Sustainability Council	Agency created.	<p>Metro's Sustainability Council's mission is to improve sustainability efforts by developing targets, metrics and strategies to assist the agency in achieving stated sustainability program goals including 1) Climate Change & Resiliency, 2) Energy, 3) Solid Waste & Recycling, and 4) Water.</p> <p>Made up of representatives from various sectors that address environment, sustainability, resiliency, climate change and environmental justice issues.</p>	Every other month	Consists of up to 30 members that have been nominated and endorsed by the groups they represent. Includes City and County of LA and local government representatives, foreign governments, private firms, CBOs and NGOs,

Advisory Body	How Body was Created	Description	Meeting Frequency	Appointment Type
				with open future seats for youth, persons with disabilities, and other groups for whom the Sustainability Council would like to invite.
Transportation Business Advisory Council (TBAC)	Created by state legislation - Pursuant to Assembly Bill 152.	<p>The Transportation Business Advisory Council (TBAC) is comprised of professional business associations representing an array of construction and professional service small businesses. TBAC plays an important role in advocating for small business owners to have increased access to Metro contracting opportunities.</p> <p>TBAC advises on matters regarding the disadvantaged business enterprise program supporting Metro in meeting or exceeding small business enterprise participation goals. Members of the council shall be selected by Metro and include representatives of professional organizations and other groups that advocate for greater participation of small business enterprises in public contracts.</p>	Monthly	Nominated by business organizations, chambers of commerce, and industry groups. The Metro Board of Directors approves all members.
Metro Youth Council (MYC)	Agency created.	<p>Launched in 2022, Metro Youth Council's goal is to develop the capacity of young people to be advocates and participate in Metro's plans, projects, programs, and initiatives. The program offers a space to listen to their concerns, gain insight into their perspectives, encourage active participation, and foster a lasting connection as lifelong riders. Members between the ages of 14 and 17 serve for a one-year term (January to December), meet virtually each month, and represent the nine subregions of LA County. This council is an internal Metro program, and it is not part of Metro's Advisory Body Compensation Policy.</p>	Monthly non-public meetings	Application and interview process. Nominees are selected by a panel evaluation team comprised of Metro staff members.



Ad Hoc Board Composition

Role of Metro's Advisory Bodies in Decision Making

Item 5

April 27, 2026



Metro

Comparing Stakeholder Input Strategies Across Peer Transit Agencies

Stakeholder Type	Metro	NY MTA	BART	WMATA	CTA	NJ Transit	MBTA (Boston)	SEPTA (Phily)
 Rider Advisory Committees	✓ AAC, PSAC, Youth Council	🔦 PCAC (Permanent Citizens Advisory Committee; ~30 members, advises Board)	🔦 Citizen Review Board (focus: police oversight)	✓ Riders' Advisory Council (RAC) (advises GM/Board)	✗ No standing rider council (surveys/outreach only)	✗ No standing rider advisory council	✓ Rider Oversight Committee (ROC) (focus: performance & accountability)	✓ Citizen Advisory Committee (CAC) (federally required; advises Board)
 Regional / Service Councils	✓ 5 Service Councils (decision-making role)	✗ None	✗ None	✗ None	✗ None	✗ None	✗ None	✗ None
 Community Advisory Council (Systemwide)	✓ CAC (countywide, mandated)	🔦 Outreach via borough/community offices (no single standing council)	🔦 Project-based advisory committees	🔦 Jurisdictional coordination groups (DC/MD/VA)	🔦 Public meetings + outreach programs	🔦 Public outreach + stakeholder roundtables	✓ Municipal & community advisory processes (tied to planning reforms)	✓ CAC (standing, federally mandated MPO-linked)
 Technical / Policy Advisory Bodies	✓ PAC, TAC	✓ Technical & planning committees	✓ Technical Advisory Committee	✓ Jurisdiction + technical committees	✓ Planning advisory groups	✓ State/regional planning coordination	✓ Advisory committees tied to capital planning	✓ Planning + technical committees (DVRPC coordination)
 Project-Specific Committees	✓ CLCs, corridor task forces	✓ Major project advisory groups (e.g., congestion pricing)	✓ Project working groups	✓ Project advisory groups	✓ Project outreach committees	✓ Project outreach & community meetings	✓ Project-specific working groups	✓ Project advisory groups
 Oversight Committees	✓ Multiple taxpayer oversight bodies (M, R, Prop A/C)	✓ State oversight (PACB, Comptroller)	✓ Inspector General	✓ Federal + IG oversight	✓ Inspector General	✓ State oversight + NJ Comptroller	✓ Fiscal & Management Control Board (historical/triggered) + IG	✓ State oversight + IG
 Business / Industry Advisory	✓ TBAC	✓ Business councils	✓ Industry engagement	✓ Business engagement	✓ Business advisory groups	✓ Business outreach programs	✓ Business participation programs	✓ Business & DBE advisory structures
 Youth / Targeted Stakeholder Groups	✓ Youth Council	✗ None formal	✗ None formal	✗ None formal	✗ None formal	✗ None formal	🔦 Youth engagement via outreach (not formal council)	✗ None formal

 Yes / Standing
  Yes / Partial or Project-Based
  No / None
  Focus Area / Key Notes

Note: Information reflects publicly available sources and agency practices as of May 2025 and may be subject to change.

How Metro Receives Transit Rider and Stakeholder Feedback



Direct Rider Input

- Accessibility Advisory Committee (AAC)
- Public Safety Advisory Committee (PSAC)
- Metro Youth Council (MYC)
- Community Advisory Council (CAC)



Regional & Service-Based Input

- Service Councils (5 Regions)
- Gateway Cities | SF Valley | SG Valley
- South Bay | Westside/Central



Community, Policy & Technical Input

- Policy Advisory Council (PAC)
- Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)
- Sustainability Council



Project & Corridor Engagement

- Community Leadership Councils (CLCs)
- Corridor Task Forces (LB-ELA)



Oversight & Accountability

- Measure M ITOC
- Measure R ITOC
- Prop A & C ICAOC



Business & Economic Stakeholders

- Transportation Business Advisory Council (TBAC)



Rider perspectives are incorporated through a layered advisory network.

Focus of Advisory Bodies: Expand Metro Board's Reach

- Ensure diverse perspectives and reflect community
- Broaden representation
- Elevate rider voices
- Strengthen transparency
- Advance equity
- Provide continuous input
- Help build a better transportation system for Angelenos



Focus of Advisory Bodies: Strengthen Governance

- Advisory Bodies advance equity
 - Elevate historically underrepresented voices:
 - People with disabilities
 - Youth
 - Transit-dependent riders
 - Small and Minority-owned businesses
- Ensure decisions reflect those most impacted



Advisory Bodies: Active in Governance Discussion



- Hosting Community Listening Sessions
- Providing direct feedback to the Board
- Expanding participation throughout Los Angeles County via their networks

Advisory Bodies Continued



Elevate visibility of advisory bodies to the general public



Strengthen feedback loops to the Board



Better integrate input into Policy Decisions



Thank You



Next PSAC Meeting
Thursday, October 9, 2025



Questions?



Board Report

File #: 2026-0304, File Type: Policy

Agenda Number: 34.

AD HOC BOARD COMPOSITION COMMITTEE APRIL 27, 2026

**SUBJECT: GUIDING VALUES FOR AD HOC BOARD COMPOSITION COMMITTEE
DELIBERATIONS**

ACTION: APPROVE RECOMMENDATION

RECOMMENDATION

ADOPT the guiding values to support and inform the Ad Hoc Board Committee's deliberations regarding governance considerations (Attachment A).

ISSUE

As the Metro Ad Hoc Board Composition Committee considers governance-related issues in the context of Measure G, it is appropriate to identify guiding values that frame and inform Committee deliberations. This report presents a set of potential values aligned with Metro's mission, Strategic Plan, and adopted principles for the Ad Hoc Committee's approval.

BACKGROUND

The passage of Measure G represents the most significant change to Los Angeles County governance. While Measure G does not apply to Metro and does not alter Metro's governance structure, it has prompted broader discussions regarding governance alignment, accountability, and representation within the County.

Metro remains a separate legal entity governed by state statute, with responsibilities that include stewardship of countywide transportation revenues, oversight of long-term capital investments, and delivery of services to a diverse regional population. In this context, staff identified a set of guiding values, first presented to the Committee at its March meeting as a receive and file item, that may assist the Committee in framing its deliberations and are now brought forward for adoption.

DISCUSSION

To frame the Committee's discussion, the following guiding values are intended to help ground deliberations in shared principles that reflect Metro's statutory role, regional responsibilities, and long-term obligations to riders and taxpayers. Together, they provide a values-based lens through which potential governance considerations can be evaluated.

Guiding Values

- **Legal Integrity** - Governance changes shall maintain Metro's status as an independent legal entity governed by state statute.
- **Intergovernmental Balance** - Metro should reflect a regional body that balances the interests of the county, city of Los Angeles, and the other 87 cities, councils of government, and state interests.
- **Electoral Accountability** - Governance should reflect clear accountability to countywide voters.
- **Regional Equity** - Board composition should ~~reflect~~ represent the geographic, demographic, and economic diversity of Los Angeles County, with proportional consideration given to the communities that most rely on and utilize the Metro system.
- **Transparency and Public Trust** - Governance structures and any changes should be understandable, explainable, and defensible to the public.
- **Fiscal Stewardship** - Decision-making authority shall be grounded in responsibility for long-term fiscal risk, including budgets, debt, and capital programs, and shall not impose additional costs or obligations on taxpayers.
- **Functional Effectiveness** - Governance should support stable oversight, institutional memory, and the Board's ability to make timely, informed, and high-quality decisions, particularly for multi-decade investments and programs.
- **Customer Experience** - Governance should support decisions that prioritize customer experience, rider outcomes, and system performance, while recognizing the full range of users, stakeholders and infrastructure overseen by Metro, including transit, active transportation, highways and Metro-owned assets.
- **Adaptability** - Governance structures should be resilient and flexible in response to future changes in county or regional governance.

Last month, the Committee discussed proposed guiding values intended to inform its deliberations. During that discussion, Committee members expressed a desire to more meaningfully incorporate local stakeholder perspectives into the regional equity framework, as well as to broaden the conversation to reflect the full range of roles Metro plays in the transportation system. In response, staff have incorporated these principles into the materials presented here. Specifically, the Intergovernmental Balance section has been revised to reflect all 88 cities and Councils of Governments, and the Regional Equity section has been updated to provide proportional consideration to communities that most rely on and utilize Metro services.

DETERMINATION OF SAFETY IMPACT

This action has no direct impact on safety.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

This action has no financial impact.

EQUITY PLATFORM

This item does not propose changes to Metro governance, policies, or programs. The identification of guiding values that include regional equity, accountability, and customer experience supports Metro's commitment to equitable outcomes by encouraging governance discussions that consider the diverse needs of communities and riders across Los Angeles County.

VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED OUTCOME

VMT and VMT per capita in Los Angeles County are lower than national averages, the lowest in the SCAG region, and on the lower end of VMT per capita statewide, with these declining VMT trends due in part to Metro's significant investment in rail and bus transit.* Metro's Board-adopted VMT reduction targets align with California's statewide climate goals, including achieving carbon neutrality by 2045. To ensure continued progress, all Board items are assessed for their potential impact on VMT.

As part of these ongoing efforts, this item is not expected to contribute to further reductions in VMT. Because the Metro Board has adopted an agency-wide VMT Reduction Target, and this item supports the overall function of the agency, this item is consistent with the goals of reducing VMT.

*Based on population estimates from the United States Census and VMT estimates from Caltrans' Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) data between 2001-2019.

IMPLEMENTATION OF STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS

This recommendation supports Metro Strategic Plan goal 5 related to organizational excellence, customer focus, equity, and transparent governance by establishing shared values for Committee deliberations.

ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

The Ad Hoc Committee may choose to amend the guiding values or to not adopt them.

NEXT STEPS


Upon adoption of the guiding values, staff and the Ad Hoc Board Composition Committee will refer to them in future discussions and recommendations.

ATTACHMENT

Attachment A - Ad Hoc Board Composition Committee Guiding Values

Prepared by: Madeleine Moore, Deputy Executive Officer, Government
Relations, 213 922-4604
Marisa Perez, Deputy Chief, Community Relations, 213-922-3808

Reviewed by: Nicole Englund, Chief of Staff, 213-922-7950



Stephanie Wiggins
Chief Executive Officer

Ad Hoc Board Composition Committee Guiding Values

- **Legal Integrity** – Governance changes shall maintain Metro’s status as an independent legal entity governed by state statute.
- **Intergovernmental Balance** – Metro should reflect a regional body that balances the interests of the county, city of Los Angeles, and the other 87 cities, councils of government, and state interests.
- **Electoral Accountability** – Governance should reflect clear accountability to countywide voters.
- **Regional Equity** – Board composition should ~~reflect~~ represent the geographic, demographic, and economic diversity of Los Angeles County, with proportional consideration given to the communities that most rely on and utilize the Metro system.
- **Transparency and Public Trust** – Governance structures and any changes should be understandable, explainable, and defensible to the public.
- **Fiscal Stewardship** – Decision-making authority shall be grounded in responsibility for long-term fiscal risk, including budgets, debt, and capital programs, and shall not impose additional costs or obligations on taxpayers.
- **Functional Effectiveness** – Governance should support stable oversight, institutional memory, and the Board’s ability to make timely, informed, and high-quality decisions, particularly for multi-decade investments and programs.
- **Customer Experience** – Governance should support decisions that prioritize customer experience, rider outcomes, and system performance, while recognizing the full range of users, stakeholders and infrastructure overseen by Metro, including transit, active transportation, highways and Metro-owned assets.
- **Adaptability** – Governance structures should be resilient and flexible in response to future changes in county or regional governance.









Guiding Values for AD HOC BOARD COMPOSITION COMMITTEE DELIBERATIONS

Ad Hoc Board Composition Committee
April 2026



DEFINING METRO'S GOVERNING VALUES

Value	Definition (Modified Based on March Ad Hoc Discussion)
 Legal Integrity	Governance changes shall maintain Metro's status as an independent legal entity governed by state statute.
 Intergovernmental Balance	Metro should reflect a regional body that balances the interests of the County, City of Los Angeles and other 87 cities, councils of governments and state interests.
 Electoral Accountability	Governance should reflect clear accountability to countywide voters.
 Regional Equity	Board composition should reflect represent the geographic, demographic, and economic diversity of Los Angeles County with proportional consideration given to the communities that most rely on and utilize the Metro system .
 Transparency & Public Trust	Governance structures and any changes should be understandable, explainable, and defensible to the public.



DEFINING METRO'S GOVERNING VALUES

Value

Definition (Modified Based on March Ad Hoc Discussion)

Fiscal Stewardship

Decision-making authority shall be grounded in responsibility for longterm fiscal risk, including budgets, debt, and capital programs, and shall not impose additional costs to and obligations on taxpayers.

Functional Effectiveness

Governance should support stable oversight, institutional memory, and the Board's ability to make timely, informed, and high-quality decisions, particularly for multi-decade investments and programs.

Customer Experience

Governance should support decisions that prioritize customer experience, rider outcomes, system performance **while recognizing the fully range of users, stakeholders and infrastructure overseen by Metro, including transit, active transportation, highways and Metroowned assets.**

Adaptability

Governance structures should be resilient and flexible in response to future changes in county or regional governance.

Allow for future governance evolution as needs change

BASELINING METRO'S CURRENT GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE BASED ON GUIDING VALUES

Value	Assessment
Legal Integrity	✓ The Board's composition is established in state law and fully preserves Metro's legal structure and authority.
Intergovernmental Balance	✓ The current allocation reflects a deliberate balance across County, City of Los Angeles, and other cities within a regional governance model, with State representation.
Electoral Accountability	✓ All voting members are elected officials or appointed by them, maintaining direct accountability to voters.
Regional Equity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Geographic Balance : Includes representation from all major regions of Los Angeles County (urban core, suburbs, and underserved areas) ✓ Population Alignment : Distribution of board seats roughly aligned with where people live across the County ◐ Demographic Representation : The diversity of riders and other stakeholders indirectly achieved through Board representation but not systemically ensured. ◐ Transit Reliance : Many communities with higher transit dependency (e.g., low car ownership, lower-income populations) are meaningfully represented but there is no mechanism to ensure their proportional voice ◐ Ridership Proportionality : Areas that generate the highest Metro ridership have proportionate influence in board decision-making (alignment exists but it is not precise)

✓ Board is currently fully meeting this value

◐ Board is currently partially meeting this value

BASELINING METRO'S CURRENT GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE BASED ON GUIDING VALUES

Value

Assessment

Transparency & Public Trust

- ✓ Governance operates under established public processes and policies
- ✓ Ad Hoc Review Committee established to ensure consideration of any governance changes are made transparently and with public input

Fiscal Stewardship

- ✓ Board members are directly accountable for public funding decisions and longterm capital investments.

Functional Effectiveness

- ✓ Members generally serve multiple terms, which has fostered stability and institutional memory.
- ✓ There is a consistent meeting cadence and briefings for Board members and their staff on topics of significance.

✓ *Board is currently fully meeting this value*





◐ *Board is currently partially meeting this value*

BASELINING METRO'S CURRENT GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE BASED ON GUIDING VALUES



Value


Assessment

Customer Experience


-  **Customer Experience** : Customer feedback and perspectives (via formal advisory groups and informal feedback) inform Board decisions, but this input is not consistently required or highlighted in board actions
-  **Rider Outcomes** : Ridership outcomes are often, but not always, directly measured and explicitly tied to decision-making
-  **System Performance** : Regular reporting to the Board on operational performance supports oversight, but performance outcomes are not consistently tied to decision-making
-  **Multimodal & Systemwide Impact** : The Board's jurisdictional and multimodal oversight structure supports decision-making that reflects Metro's full system, responsibilities and opportunities (transit, active transportation, highways, and assets)

Adaptability

-  Structural flexibility is limited by statute, but current statute has protocols that kick in when there are changes.
-  Governance can evolve through policy or legislation

 *Board is currently fully meeting this value*

 *Board is currently partially meeting this value*



TAKE AWAYS



Metro's current governance model is generally in strong alignment with the proposed values set



Potential opportunities to more explicitly incorporate customer experience, rider outcomes and system performance in Board correspondence



The Board achieves regional equity in terms of geographic inclusion and population alignment and broadly reflects ridership patterns through representation aligned with the system's primary service areas.



NEXT STEPS



These draft values are presented for the Committee's consideration to ensure that future deliberations remain anchored in a clear, consistent, and mission -aligned framework.



Potential Board Structuring Alternatives can be analyzed based on the guiding values ultimately adopted by the Ad Hoc Committee and Metro Board





Board Report

File #: 2026-0199, **File Type:** Oral Report / Presentation

Agenda Number: 7.

**AD HOC BOARD COMPOSITION COMMITTEE
APRIL 27, 2026**

SUBJECT: COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

ACTION: RECEIVE ORAL REPORT

RECOMMENDATION

RECEIVE AND FILE the monthly report on Ad Hoc Board Composition Community Engagement.

ISSUE

The Metro Ad Hoc Board Composition Committee approved an inclusive community and stakeholder engagement plan designed to gather geographically representative input from riders, local governments, and community stakeholders across Los Angeles County.

This monthly oral report will include a recap of completed stakeholder outreach and an update on planned outreach.

To date, Metro has reached more than 1,000 stakeholders at the following meetings:

Organization	Date
Metro SR-14 Coordinating Group	February 25, 2026
AV Edge	February 26, 2026
South Bay Service Council	March 2, 2026
Valley Industry and Commerce Association – Transportation Committee	March 3, 2026
San Fernando Valley Service Council	March 4, 2026
Gateway Cities Council of Governments	March 4, 2026
San Gabriel Valley Service Council	March 9, 2026
Westside/Central Service Council	March 11, 2026
Greater San Fernando Valley Chamber of Commerce	March 11, 2026
Boyle Heights Chamber of Commerce	March 18, 2026
Central City Association – Transportation and Infrastructure Committee	March 18, 2026
Westside Cities COG – Transportation Working Group	March 24, 2026
Los Angeles City Council Transportation Committee	March 25, 2026
San Gabriel Valley Economic Partnership	March 25, 2026
California Contract Cities – Executive Committee	April 1, 2026
San Gabriel Valley COG – Transportation Committee	April 1, 2026
Gateway Cities Council of Governments	April 1, 2026
Greater Los Angeles Realtors Group	April 8, 2026
LADOT Transportation Commission	April 9, 2026
Westside Cities Council of Governments	April 9, 2026
San Fernando Valley Council of Governments	April 13, 2026
North LA County Transportation Coalition	April 13, 2026
South Bay Council of Governments	April 23, 2026
California League of Cities – LA County Division	April 22, 2026

Additionally, seven Community Listening Sessions have been completed, engaging with 151 stakeholders. The following Community Listening Sessions were held:

Community Listening Session Host	Date
San Fernando Valley Service Council	April 1, 2026
South Bay Service Council	April 6, 2026
Westside/Central Service Council	April 8, 2026
Gateway Cities Service Council	April 9, 2026
Public Safety Advisory Committee	April 9, 2026
San Gabriel Valley Service Council	April 13, 2026
Metro Community Advisory Council	April 22, 2026

Ad Hoc Board Composition Committee Chair, Ara Najarian, will host a virtual listening session on May 6, 2026.

Additionally, presentations will be held with the following key organizations:

- Los Angeles Chamber of Commerce Transportation & Goods Movement Council
- Valley Industry & Commerce Association
- Metro Accessibility Advisory Committee
- Independent Cities Association
- Metro Technical Advisory Committee
- Metro Transportation Business Advisory Council
- Metro Youth Council
- CEO Faith Leaders Roundtable
- Los Angeles Youth Climate Commission
- Los Angeles City Youth Council

Transit intercepts are being planned to reach transit riders at various Metro bus and rail stations, Union Station, and Metrolink stations.

EQUITY PLATFORM

Robust community and stakeholder engagement advances Metro's equity objectives by prioritizing outreach to underrepresented communities, riders, and stakeholders across all regions of Los Angeles County. Metro includes multilingual outreach, accessible meeting formats, and partnerships with community-based organizations and faith leaders to reduce participation barriers and ensure diverse perspectives are reflected in Committee deliberations.

VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED OUTCOME

VMT and VMT per capita in Los Angeles County are lower than national averages, the lowest in the SCAG region, and on the lower end of VMT per capita statewide, with these declining VMT trends due in part to Metro's significant investment in rail and bus transit.* Metro's Board adopted VMT reduction targets align with California's statewide climate goals, including achieving carbon neutrality by 2045. To ensure continued progress, all Board items are assessed for their potential impact on VMT.

As part of these ongoing efforts, this item is expected to contribute to further reductions in VMT. While this item does not directly encourage transit, sharing a ride, or using active transportation, it is a vital part of Metro operations, as it is a formal advisory body that provides community input on key agency priorities, projects, and programs, which can lead to enhanced customer experience. Because the Metro Board has adopted an agency-wide VMT Reduction Target, and this item supports the overall function of the agency and is consistent with the goals of reducing VMT.

*Based on population estimates from the United States Census and VMT estimates from Caltrans' Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) data between 2001-2019.

IMPLEMENTATION OF STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS

This overall effort supports the following strategic plan goals:

- Goal 3 - Enhance community and lives through mobility and access to opportunity.
- Goal 4 - Transform LA County through regional collaboration and national leadership.
- Goal 5 - Provide responsive, accountable, and trustworthy governance within the Metro organization.

NEXT STEPS

A follow-up report on the community engagement efforts will be presented to the Ad Hoc Board Composition Committee next month.

Prepared by: Marisa Perez, Deputy Chief, Community Relations, (213) 922-3808
Lilian De-Loza Gutierrez, Executive Officer, Community Relations, (213) 922-7479

Reviewed by: Nicole Englund, Chief of Staff, (213) 922-7950



Stephanie Wiggins
Chief Executive Officer



Ad Hoc Board Composition Community Engagement

Item 7

April 27, 2026

Summary of Engagement – Listening Sessions

- More than 150 people have participated in Community Listening Sessions.
- At each of the Community Listening Sessions, the meeting participants were polled through a live polling website that allowed those in-person and those joining virtually to participate.
- The poll included the guided listening questions that include:
 - Before today, how familiar were you with Metro’s Board structure?
 - How well do you think the current Metro Board reflects your community’s needs?
 - What factors should be prioritized when shaping the future composition of the Metro Board?



Gateway Cities Metro Service Council Meeting

Summary of Engagement – Key Groups

- Metro has presented to over 30 key groups including Councils of Governments, Chambers of Commerce, and city groups including Contract Cities and League of Cities.
- Feedback includes:
 - Stronger regional representation, including the San Fernando Valley and Gateway Cities
 - Broader perspectives, such as business and goods movement.
 - A need for simpler explanations of Measure G, Metro Board responsibilities, and ways the public can engage.
 - Recommendations on how the public can already participate in Metro through Service Councils, advisory bodies, and committees.



Presentation to North Los Angeles County Transportation Coalition (NCTC)

Other Activities

- Pop Up Events at Key Metro Stations to reach transit riders start in May, including Metrolink riders in North LA County
- Tabling at Community Events
 - 4/18 South LA LIFE Open House – 400 contacts
 - 4/18 Santa Clarita Cowboy Festival – 300 contacts
 - 4/18 Metro Pomona North Night Market – 300 contacts
 - 4/19 Touch-a-Truck Sherman Oaks – 150 contacts
 - 4/25 Senator Gonzalez Día del Niño Maywood – 20 contacts
- Engaging Metro CBO Partners to support outreach activities - LIFE, Go Pass, 511, etc



Metro LIFE South LA Open House



Santa Clarita Cowboy Festival



Metro Pomona North Night Market

Key Communication Outreach Tools

➤ Website

Frequently Asked Questions on Ad Hoc Board Composition

➤ Fact Sheet – Translated to 7 languages

➤ Take Ones – designed for Transit Intercepts in May

➤ Community Listening Session Flyer

➤ Social Media Toolkit with QR Code

➤ E-Blasts to Metro CBOs, LIFE, and Go Pass participants

➤ Weekly Updates in Community Relations Newsletter

➤ The Source/El Pasajero



Ad Hoc Board Composition Committee Metro Composition Review in Light of Measure G - Factsheet

Why is Metro Seeking Community Input?

In November 2024, Los Angeles County voters approved Measure G, a charter amendment that changes several aspects of County governance. Measure G provides for a countywide elected CEO beginning in 2028, and expansion of the Board of Supervisors from 5 to 9 members in 2032 following the 2030 Census and redistricting. As County governance evolves, Metro is seeking community input to ensure its governance framework continues to support strong regional oversight, accountability, and effective decision-making.

What Does the Metro Board Do?

The Metro Board provides policy direction and oversight for the region's transportation system. Its responsibilities include:

- Setting agency policy and strategic priorities
- Approving Metro's annual budget
- Authorizing major transportation investments and capital projects
- Overseeing financial stewardship and performance
- Appointing and evaluating the Chief Executive Officer

What is the Current Board Composition?

- Five Los Angeles County Supervisors
- The Mayor of the City of Los Angeles
- Three additional members appointed by the Mayor of Los Angeles (at least one must be a Los Angeles City Councilmember)
- Four members appointed by the Los Angeles County City Selection Committee, representing the other 87 cities in the County
- One non-voting representative appointed by the Governor (traditionally the Caltrans District 7 Director)



How You Can Share Your Feedback?

- Attending an upcoming community listening sessions at regional Metro Service Councils and Advisory Committees
- Submitting comments via email by June 1, 2026
- Share feedback through a survey
- Connecting with Metro at local community events and more



What Happens Next?

An Ad Hoc Board Composition Committee has been established to study governance considerations over the coming months. Community feedback will help inform the Committee's discussions and support thoughtful, transparent decision-making.



Stay Informed

Sign up for updates and check back for meeting materials, summaries, and next steps at metro.net/governance



FOLLOW FOR MORE INFORMATION



213.922.3992



governance@metro.net



gometro.la/governance



Questions?