

# **Metro**

*Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
One Gateway Plaza  
3rd Floor Board Room*



**Metro**<sup>®</sup>

## **Agenda - Final**

**Wednesday, March 4, 2020**

**10:30 AM**

**One Gateway Plaza, Los Angeles, CA 90012,  
3rd Floor, Metro Board Room**

## **Measure M Independent Taxpayer Oversight Committee**

*Carlos Bohorquez – Chair  
Linda Briskman – Vice Chair  
Ryan Campbell  
Emilie H. Elias  
Virginia Tanzmann*

**METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY BOARD RULES**  
**(ALSO APPLIES TO BOARD COMMITTEES)**

**PUBLIC INPUT**

A member of the public may address the Board on agenda items, before or during the Board or Committee's consideration of the item for one (1) minute per item, or at the discretion of the Chair. A request to address the Board must be submitted electronically using the tablets available in the Board Room lobby. Individuals requesting to speak will be allowed to speak for a total of three (3) minutes per meeting on agenda items in one minute increments per item. For individuals requiring translation service, time allowed will be doubled. The Board shall reserve the right to limit redundant or repetitive comment.

The public may also address the Board on non agenda items within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Board during the public comment period, which will be held at the beginning and/or end of each meeting. Each person will be allowed to speak for one (1) minute during this Public Comment period or at the discretion of the Chair. Speakers will be called according to the order in which their requests are submitted. Elected officials, not their staff or deputies, may be called out of order and prior to the Board's consideration of the relevant item.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, and in accordance with the Brown Act, this agenda does not provide an opportunity for members of the public to address the Board on any Consent Calendar agenda item that has already been considered by a Committee, composed exclusively of members of the Board, at a public meeting wherein all interested members of the public were afforded the opportunity to address the Committee on the item, before or during the Committee's consideration of the item, and which has not been substantially changed since the Committee heard the item.

In accordance with State Law (Brown Act), all matters to be acted on by the MTA Board must be posted at least 72 hours prior to the Board meeting. In case of emergency, or when a subject matter arises subsequent to the posting of the agenda, upon making certain findings, the Board may act on an item that is not on the posted agenda.

**CONDUCT IN THE BOARD ROOM** - The following rules pertain to conduct at Metropolitan Transportation Authority meetings:

**REMOVAL FROM THE BOARD ROOM** The Chair shall order removed from the Board Room any person who commits the following acts with respect to any meeting of the MTA Board:

- a. Disorderly behavior toward the Board or any member of the staff thereof, tending to interrupt the due and orderly course of said meeting.
- b. A breach of the peace, boisterous conduct or violent disturbance, tending to interrupt the due and orderly course of said meeting.
- c. Disobedience of any lawful order of the Chair, which shall include an order to be seated or to refrain from addressing the Board; and
- d. Any other unlawful interference with the due and orderly course of said meeting.

**INFORMATION RELATING TO AGENDAS AND ACTIONS OF THE BOARD**

Agendas for the Regular MTA Board meetings are prepared by the Board Secretary and are available prior to the meeting in the MTA Records Management Department and on the Internet. Every meeting of the MTA Board of Directors is recorded and is available at [www.metro.net](http://www.metro.net) or on CD's and as MP3's for a nominal charge.

## DISCLOSURE OF CONTRIBUTIONS

The State Political Reform Act (Government Code Section 84308) requires that a party to a proceeding before an agency involving a license, permit, or other entitlement for use, including all contracts (other than competitively bid, labor, or personal employment contracts), shall disclose on the record of the proceeding any contributions in an amount of more than \$250 made within the preceding 12 months by the party, or his or her agent, to any officer of the agency, additionally PUC Code Sec. 130051.20 requires that no member accept a contribution of over ten dollars (\$10) in value or amount from a construction company, engineering firm, consultant, legal firm, or any company, vendor, or business entity that has contracted with the authority in the preceding four years. Persons required to make this disclosure shall do so by filling out a "Disclosure of Contribution" form which is available at the LACMTA Board and Committee Meetings. Failure to comply with this requirement may result in the assessment of civil or criminal penalties.

## ADA REQUIREMENTS

Upon request, sign language interpretation, materials in alternative formats and other accommodations are available to the public for MTA-sponsored meetings and events. All requests for reasonable accommodations must be made at least three working days (72 hours) in advance of the scheduled meeting date. Please telephone (213) 922-4600 between 8 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday. Our TDD line is (800) 252-9040.

## LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENCY

A Spanish language interpreter is available at all Committee and Board Meetings. All other languages must be requested 72 hours in advance of the meeting by calling (213) 922-4600 or (323) 466-3876.



**323.466.3876 x2**

*Español*

**323.466.3876 x3**

한국어

日本語

中文

русский

ភាសាខ្មែរ

ภาษาไทย

Tiếng Việt

ភាសាខ្មែរ

## HELPFUL PHONE NUMBERS

Copies of Agendas/Record of Board Action/Recordings of Meetings - (213) 922-4880 (Records Management Department)

General Information/Rules of the Board - (213) 922-4600

Internet Access to Agendas - [www.metro.net](http://www.metro.net)

TDD line (800) 252-9040

**NOTE: ACTION MAY BE TAKEN ON ANY ITEM IDENTIFIED ON THE AGENDA**

## CALL TO ORDER

### ROLL CALL

1. **SUBJECT: REMARKS BY THE CHAIR** [2020-0166](#)

**RECOMMENDATION**

RECEIVE remarks by the **Chair**.

2. **SUBJECT: MINUTES** [2020-0167](#)

**RECOMMENDATION**

APPROVE Minutes of the Measure M Independent Taxpayer Oversight Committee Meeting held September 4, 2019.

**Attachments:** [Minutes - September 4, 2019](#)

3. **SUBJECT: RECEIVE Introductory Remarks from Interim Chief Auditor** [2020-0162](#)

**RECOMMENDATION**

Oral Report on Introductory Remarks from Interim Chief Auditor

4. **SUBJECT: INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO MEASURE M ORDINANCE AND MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN GUIDELINES; AND MEASURE M SPECIAL REVENUE FUND AUDIT** [2020-0139](#)

**RECOMMENDATION**

Receive and file the Independent Auditor's Report on:

- A. Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Measure M Ordinance and Measure M Local Return Guidelines for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2019 completed by Vasquez & Company, LLP;
- B. Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Measure M Ordinance and Measure M Local Return Guidelines for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2019 completed by Simpson and Simpson, CPAs; and
- C. Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures for Measure M Special Revenue Fund for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2019 completed by BCA Watson Rice, LLP (BCA).



**SUBJECT: GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT**

[2020-0138](#)

RECEIVE General Public Comment

Consideration of items not on the posted agenda, including: items to be presented and (if requested) referred to staff; items to be placed on the agenda for action at a future meeting of the Committee or Board; and/or items requiring immediate action because of an emergency situation or where the need to take immediate action came to the attention of the Committee subsequent to the posting of the agenda.

COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC ON ITEMS OF PUBLIC INTEREST WITHIN COMMITTEE'S  
SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

**Adjournment**



Metro

Los Angeles County  
Metropolitan Transportation  
Authority  
One Gateway Plaza  
3rd Floor Board Room  
Los Angeles, CA

## Board Report

---

File #: 2020-0167, File Type: Minutes

Agenda Number: 2.

---

### MEASURE M INDEPENDENT TAXPAYER OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MARCH 4, 2020

**SUBJECT: MINUTES**

**RECOMMENDATION**

APPROVE Minutes of the Measure M Independent Taxpayer Oversight Committee Meeting held September 4, 2019.



**Metro**

## Agenda - Minutes

### Measure M Independent Taxpayers Oversight Committee Meeting

Wednesday, September 4, 2019

10:30 a.m.

Metro Board Room, 3<sup>rd</sup> Fl.

Metro Headquarters Building

One Gateway Plaza, Los Angeles, 90012

#### Committee Members Present:

Linda Briskman – Vice Chair

Ryan Campbell

Virginia Tanzmann

#### Public Meeting

1. Chair Person's Introductory Remarks – NO REPORT due to the absence of the Chair.

VT	EE	CB (Chair)	LB	RC
P	A	A	P	P

2. APPROVE March 6, 2019 Workshop Meeting Summary – CARRIED OVER

VT	EE	CB (Chair)	LB	RC
P	A	A	P	P



3. RECEIVED Oral Report on Transit Operations and Maintenance (C. Cheung)

Because of the large closure, had to replace with 60-70 busses and 100 operator assignments. Additionally, 300 buses are reaching 18 years old and we are having to extend their use. Numbers will come up as we receive our new buses.

The Board passed a motion last month to form a working group with LADOT to look at speed and reliability issues. Added a bus lane on Spring Street to improve speed. Replaced all original Blue Line cars so miles between problems is improving.

Member Campbell asked the volume of bus v. rail. Conan Cheung responded that it is about 850,000 vs. 350,000 or 2/3 bus vs. 1/3 rail.

Member Briskman asked about the conversion from bus to rail when a new line opens.

Conan said there is some drop, but when aggregated there is always higher ridership.

VT	EE	CB (Chair)	LB	RC
P	A	A	P	P

4. RECEIVED Oral Report on FY20 Budget (T. Mengle)

Revenues:

- Sales tax and bonds = 70%
- State funding and grants = 20%
- Fares, advertising and express lanes = 7%

Sales taxes provide three quarters of our resources - \$844 million in FY19 and should be the same in FY20

Expenditures:

- Infrastructure developed = 36%
- Metro transit = 25%
- Local Muni and transit partners – 20%

Crenshaw/LAX to be open by the end of FY20. New Blue work is on schedule And should be back in full operation in a few months.

Continue to receive new CNG buses and some ZEB's which will go to the Orange Line.

(Continued on next page)

(Item 4 – continued from previous page)

NextGen study is continuing. Changes from that study will be implemented next year.

Member Ryan inquired about the cause of the passenger fare decrease and was told that ridership was the driver – lots of mode choices.

<b>VT</b>	<b>EE</b>	<b>CB (Chair)</b>	<b>LB</b>	<b>RC</b>
P	A	A	P	P

5. RECEIVED Oral Report on Local Return (S. Richan)

Working to help cities pass their audits.

Projects in Glendale – Train station and safe routes to school

<b>VT</b>	<b>EE</b>	<b>CB (Chair)</b>	<b>LB</b>	<b>RC</b>
P	A	A	P	P

6. RECEIVED Oral Report on Transit and Highway Project Status (B. Boudreau / A. Ansari)

Close to 100 projects in the works. Purple Line Section 3 ending at the VA should be completed by 2027.

Gold Line Foothill extension to Pomona – Claremont/Montclair is uncertain.

Metro Connector construction should start in 2020 and end in 2034.

West Santa Ana Branch downtown to Artesia completing environmental this year.

<b>VT</b>	<b>EE</b>	<b>CB (Chair)</b>	<b>LB</b>	<b>RC</b>
P	A	A	P	P

7. RECEIVED Oral Report on Active Transportation Program (C. Aguirre)

VT	EE	CB (Chair)	LB	RC
P	A	A	P	P

8. RECEIVED Oral Report on State of Good Repair (D. Longley)

State of good repair = able to perform its design function, does not pose a safety risk. Life cycle investment needs or maintenance and rehab. Current backlog of \$2.3 billion.

Member Campbell requested the replacement cost estimate for the current asset list.

Member Ryan requested current estimate for our current asset list.

Member Briskman asked what never gets addressed.

Staff responded that action is driven by safety and overall need, but code and regulation changes are always coming.

VT	EE	CB (Chair)	LB	RC
P	A	A	P	P

9. Public Comment – NONE

VT	EE	CB (Chair)	LB	RC
P	A	A	P	P

10. Meeting Adjourned at 11:40 A.M.

###



**Board Report**

**File #:** 2020-0139, **File Type:** Informational Report

**Agenda Number:** 4.

**MEASURE M INDEPENDENT TAXPAYER  
OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE  
MARCH 4, 2020**

**SUBJECT: INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO MEASURE M ORDINANCE AND MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN GUIDELINES; AND MEASURE M SPECIAL REVENUE FUND AUDIT**

**ACTION: RECEIVE AND FILE**

**RECOMMENDATION**

Receive and file the Independent Auditor’s Report on:

- A. Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Measure M Ordinance and Measure M Local Return Guidelines for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2019 completed by Vasquez & Company, LLP;
- B. Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Measure M Ordinance and Measure M Local Return Guidelines for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2019 completed by Simpson and Simpson, CPAs; and
- C. Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures for Measure M Special Revenue Fund for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2019 completed by BCA Watson Rice, LLP (BCA).

**ISSUE**

On November 9, 2016, Los Angeles County voters approved Measure M that imposed a half-cent transaction and use tax for transportation and the indefinite extension of an existing half-cent sales tax (Measure R) also dedicated to transportation and originally set to expire in 2039. Measure M, also known as the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance (Ordinance) establishes an Independent Taxpayer Oversight Committee and an oversight process to ensure that the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) complies with the terms of the Ordinance. The oversight process requires that an annual audit be completed within six months after the end of the fiscal year to determine compliance with the provisions of the Ordinance related to the receipt and expenditure of sales tax revenues during the fiscal year. The audit must be provided to the Oversight Committee so that the Oversight Committee can determine whether LACMTA and local

subrecipients have complied with the Measure M requirements.

## **DISCUSSION**

The following summarizes the independent auditor's report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Measure M Ordinance and Measure M Local Return Guidelines:

Management Audit Services contracted with two firms, Simpson and Simpson, CPAs (Simpson and Simpson) and Vasquez and Company (Vasquez), to conduct the audits of Measure M sales tax revenues used by the 88 cities (Cities) as well as the County of Los Angeles (County). This report covers the audits of 39 Cities completed by Vasquez as listed in Attachment A; and audits of 49 Cities and the County completed by Simpson and Simpson as listed in Attachment B. The firms conducted the audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that the independent auditors plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the requirements in the Ordinance which could have a direct and material effect on the Measure M Local Return program occurred.

Vasquez concluded that the Cities, except for the City of South El Monte, complied in all material respects, with the requirements in the Ordinance that are applicable to the Measure M Local Return program for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2019. Vasquez found seven instances of noncompliance, which are summarized in Schedule 2 of Attachment C.

Simpson and Simpson concluded that the Cities and County complied, in all material respects, with the requirements in the Ordinance that are applicable to the Measure M Local Return program for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2019. Simpson and Simpson found 13 instances of noncompliance, which are summarized in Schedule 2 of Attachment D.

The following summarizes the independent auditor's report on Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures for Measure M Special Revenue Fund:

Management Audit Services contracted with BCA to perform the independent audit of the LACMTA, as required by the Ordinance. BCA conducted the audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that BCA plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Schedule of Measure M Revenues and Expenditures (Schedule) is free of material misstatement.

The auditors found that the Schedule referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the Measure M Revenues and Expenditures of LACMTA for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2019, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The auditors also found that LACMTA complied, in all material respects, with the requirements of the Ordinance for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2019.

**NEXT STEPS**


A public hearing will be scheduled.

**ATTACHMENT(S)**

- A. List of Entities Audited by Vasquez
- B. List of Entities Audited by Simpson and Simpson
- C. Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Measure M Ordinance and Measure M Local Return Guidelines (Vasquez)
- D. Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Measure M Ordinance and Measure M Local Return Guidelines (Simpson and Simpson)
- E. Independent Auditor's Report on Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures for Measure M Special Revenue Fund for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019

Prepared by: Lauren Choi, Sr. Director (Interim), Audit, (213) 922-3926  
Monica Del Toro, Audit Support Manager, (213) 922-7494

Reviewed by: Shalonda Baldwin, Chief Auditor (Interim), (213) 418-3265



Phillip A. Washington  
Chief Executive Officer

Measure M List of Cities Audited by Vasquez

Agoura Hills	Lawndale
Azusa	Lynwood
Baldwin Park	Malibu
Bell	Maywood
Bell Gardens	Montebello
Beverly Hills	Monterey Park
Calabasas	Pico Rivera
Carson	Pomona
Commerce	Rosemead
Compton	San Fernando
Cudahy	Santa Fe Springs
Culver City	Santa Monica
El Monte	South El Monte
Gardena	South Gate
Hawthorne	Vernon
Hidden Hills	Walnut
Huntington Park	West Hollywood
Industry	Westlake Village
Inglewood	
Irwindale	
La Puente	

Measure M List of Cities Audited by Simpson

Alhambra	Long Beach
Arcadia	Los Angeles City
Artesia	Los Angeles County
Avalon	Manhattan Beach
Bellflower	Monrovia
Bradbury	Norwalk
Burbank	Palmdale
Cerritos	Palos Verdes Estates
Claremont	Paramount
Covina	Pasadena
Diamond Bar	Rancho Palos Verdes
Downey	Redondo Beach
Duarte	Rolling Hills
El Segundo	Rolling Hills Estates
Glendale	San Dimas
Glendora	San Gabriel
Hawaiian Gardens	San Marino
Hermosa Beach	Santa Clarita
La Canada Flintridge	Sierra Madre
La Habra Heights	Signal Hill
La Mirada	South Pasadena
La Verne	Temple City
Lakewood	Torrance
Lancaster	West Covina
Lomita	Whittier





**INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE  
WITH REQUIREMENTS  
APPLICABLE TO MEASURE M ORDINANCE  
AND MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN GUIDELINES**

**TO THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY  
METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY**

**FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2019**

**INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE  
WITH REQUIREMENTS  
APPLICABLE TO MEASURE M ORDINANCE  
AND MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN GUIDELINES**

**TO THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY  
METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY**

**FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2019**

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund Consolidated Audit Report  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
<b>INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO MEASURE M ORDINANCE AND MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN GUIDELINES</b>	1
<b>Summary of Compliance Findings</b>	4
Schedule 1 – Summary of Audit Results	5
Schedule 2 – Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	18

**INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS  
APPLICABLE TO MEASURE M ORDINANCE AND  
MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN GUIDELINES**

**To: Board of Directors of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
and Measure M Independent Taxpayer Oversight Committee**

**Report on Compliance**

We have audited the compliance of the thirty-nine (39) Cities identified in Schedule 1, with the types of compliance requirements described in the Measure M Ordinance enacted through a Los Angeles County voter-approved law in November 2016; Measure M Local Return Guidelines, issued by the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA), approved by its Board of Directors on June 22, 2017 (collectively, the Guidelines); and the respective Assurances and Understandings Regarding Receipt and Use of Measure M Local Return Funds, executed by LACMTA and the respective Cities for the year ended June 30, 2019 (collectively, the Requirements). Compliance with the above noted Guidelines and Requirements by the Cities are identified in the accompanying Summary of Compliance Findings, Schedule 1 and Schedule 2.

***Management's Responsibility***

Compliance with the Guidelines and Requirements is the responsibility of the respective management of the Cities.

***Auditors' Responsibility***

Our responsibility is to express opinions on the Cities' compliance with the Guidelines and Requirements referred to above based on our audits. We conducted our audits of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Measure M Local Return program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about each City's compliance with the Guidelines and Requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our disclaimer and unqualified opinions on compliance. However, our audits do not provide a legal determination of each City's compliance with the Guidelines and Requirements.



***Basis for Disclaimer of Opinion on City of South El Monte***

As described in the accompanying Summary of Compliance Findings (Finding #2019-005), the City of South El Monte was not able to provide accounting records and documents that would support the City's compliance with the Guidelines and Requirements. Accordingly, we were unable to perform any auditing procedures sufficiently to determine the City's compliance.

***Disclaimer of Opinion on City of South El Monte***

Because of the significance of the matter described in the Basis for Disclaimer of Opinion paragraph, we have not been able to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence to provide a basis for an audit opinion on the City of South El Monte's compliance with the Guidelines and Requirements referred to above. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the City of South El Monte's compliance with the Guidelines and Requirements.

***Unqualified Opinion on Compliance of all Cities except City of South El Monte***

In our opinion, as described in Schedule 2, the Cities complied, in all material respects, with the Guidelines and Requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Measure M Local Return program for the year ended June 30, 2019.

***Other Matters***

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance, which are required to be reported in accordance with the Guidelines and Requirements and which are described in the accompanying Summary of Audit Results (Schedule 1) and Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Schedule 2) as Findings #2019-001 through #2019-007. Our opinion is not modified with respect to these matters.

Responses by the Cities to the noncompliance findings identified in our audits are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Schedule 2). The Cities' responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance, and accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

***Report on Internal Control over Compliance***

The management of each City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Guidelines and Requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audits of compliance, we considered each City's internal control over compliance with the Guidelines and Requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the Measure M Local Return program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Guidelines and Requirements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of each City's internal control over compliance.



*A deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified. We did identify deficiencies in internal control over compliance, as described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Schedule 2) as Findings #2019-001 and #2019-005, that we consider to be material weaknesses.

The City's responses to the findings identified in our audits are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Schedule 2). The City's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance, and accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing on internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines and Requirements. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

**Glendale, California**  
**December 31, 2019**

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Summary of Compliance Findings  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019**

The audits of the 39 cities identified in Schedule 1 have resulted in 7 findings. The table below summarizes those findings:

<b>Finding</b>	<b># of Findings</b>	<b>Responsible Cities/ Finding No. Reference</b>	<b>Questioned Costs</b>	<b>Resolved During the Audit</b>
Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	2	Baldwin Park (Finding #2019-001) South El Monte (Finding #2019-005)	\$ 351,493 149,130	\$ - -
Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) was submitted timely.	2	Bell Gardens (Finding #2019-002) South Gate (Finding #2019-006)	None None	None None
Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) was submitted timely.	3	Malibu (Finding #2019-003) Pomona (Finding #2019-004) Vernon (Finding #2019-007)	None None None	None None None
<b>Total Findings and Questioned Costs</b>	<b>7</b>		<b>\$ 500,623</b>	<b>\$ -</b>

Details of the findings are in Schedule 2.

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Summary of Audit Results  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019**

Compliance Area Tested	Agoura Hills	Azusa	Baldwin Park
Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	Compliant	Compliant	See Finding #2019-001
Funds were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Signed Assurances and Understandings on file.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Timely use of funds.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Recreational transit form was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable



**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
**Measure M Local Return Fund**  
**Summary of Audit Results**  
**Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019**  
**(Continued)**

<b>Compliance Area Tested</b>	<b>Bell</b>	<b>Bell Gardens</b>	<b>Beverly Hills</b>
Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	Compliant	Compliant	Not Applicable
Funds were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	Compliant	Compliant	Not Applicable
Signed Assurances and Understandings on file.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	Compliant	Compliant	Not Applicable
Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) was submitted timely.	Compliant	See Finding #2019-002	Compliant
Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Timely use of funds.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	Compliant	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Recreational transit form was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
**Measure M Local Return Fund**  
**Summary of Audit Results**  
**Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019**  
**(Continued)**

<b>Compliance Area Tested</b>	<b>Calabasas</b>	<b>Carson</b>	<b>Commerce</b>
Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	Not Applicable	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	Not Applicable	Compliant	Compliant
Signed Assurances and Understandings on file.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	Not Applicable	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Timely use of funds.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Recreational transit form was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
**Measure M Local Return Fund**  
**Summary of Audit Results**  
**Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019**  
**(Continued)**

<b>Compliance Area Tested</b>	<b>Compton</b>	<b>Cudahy</b>	<b>Culver City</b>
Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	Compliant	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Funds were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	Compliant	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Signed Assurances and Understandings on file.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	Compliant	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Timely use of funds.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Recreational transit form was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
**Measure M Local Return Fund**  
**Summary of Audit Results**  
**Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019**  
**(Continued)**

<b>Compliance Area Tested</b>	<b>EI Monte</b>	<b>Gardena</b>	<b>Hawthorne</b>
Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Signed Assurances and Understandings on file.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Timely use of funds.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Recreational transit form was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Summary of Audit Results  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
(Continued)**

<b>Compliance Area Tested</b>	<b>Hidden Hills</b>	<b>Huntington Park</b>	<b>Industry</b>
Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	Not Applicable	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	Not Applicable	Compliant	Compliant
Signed Assurances and Understandings on file.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	Not Applicable	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Timely use of funds.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Recreational transit form was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
**Measure M Local Return Fund**  
**Summary of Audit Results**  
**Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019**  
**(Continued)**

<b>Compliance Area Tested</b>	<b>Inglewood</b>	<b>Irwindale</b>	<b>La Puente</b>
Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Compliant
Funds were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Compliant
Signed Assurances and Understandings on file.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Compliant
Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Compliant
Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Timely use of funds.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Compliant
Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Recreational transit form was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
**Measure M Local Return Fund**  
**Summary of Audit Results**  
**Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019**  
**(Continued)**

<b>Compliance Area Tested</b>	<b>Lawndale</b>	<b>Lynwood</b>	<b>Malibu</b>
Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Signed Assurances and Understandings on file.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	See Finding #2019-003
Timely use of funds.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	Compliant	Compliant	Not Applicable
Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Recreational transit form was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
**Measure M Local Return Fund**  
**Summary of Audit Results**  
**Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019**  
**(Continued)**

<b>Compliance Area Tested</b>	<b>Maywood</b>	<b>Montebello</b>	<b>Monterey Park</b>
Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Signed Assurances and Understandings on file.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Timely use of funds.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	Not Applicable	Compliant	Not Applicable
Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Recreational transit form was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable



**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
**Measure M Local Return Fund**  
**Summary of Audit Results**  
**Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019**  
**(Continued)**

<b>Compliance Area Tested</b>	<b>Pico Rivera</b>	<b>Pomona</b>	<b>Rosemead</b>
Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	Compliant	Compliant	Not Applicable
Funds were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	Compliant	Compliant	Not Applicable
Signed Assurances and Understandings on file.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	Compliant	Compliant	Not Applicable
Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Not Applicable
Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) was submitted timely.	Compliant	See Finding #2019-004	Compliant
Timely use of funds.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	Not Applicable	Compliant	Not Applicable
Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Recreational transit form was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
**Measure M Local Return Fund**  
**Summary of Audit Results**  
**Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019**  
**(Continued)**

<b>Compliance Area Tested</b>	<b>San Fernando</b>	<b>Santa Fe Springs</b>	<b>Santa Monica</b>
Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	Compliant	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Funds were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	Compliant	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Signed Assurances and Understandings on file.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	Compliant	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Timely use of funds.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Recreational transit form was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
**Measure M Local Return Fund**  
**Summary of Audit Results**  
**Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019**  
**(Continued)**

<b>Compliance Area Tested</b>	<b>South El Monte*</b>	<b>South Gate</b>	<b>Vernon</b>
Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	See Finding #2019-005	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	See Finding #2019-005	Compliant	Compliant
Signed Assurances and Understandings on file.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	See Finding #2019-005	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) was submitted timely.	Compliant	See Finding #2019-006	Compliant
Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	See Finding #2019-007
Timely use of funds.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	See Finding #2019-005	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Recreational transit form was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

\*Auditor was not able to perform procedures due to the condition of the City's accounting records and unavailability of documents supporting the City's compliance with the significant compliance requirements of the Guidelines.

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
**Measure M Local Return Fund**  
**Summary of Audit Results**  
**Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019**  
**(Continued)**

<b>Compliance Area Tested</b>	<b>Walnut</b>	<b>West Hollywood</b>	<b>Westlake Village</b>
Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Signed Assurances and Understandings on file.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Timely use of funds.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Recreational transit form was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019**

<b>Finding #2019-001</b>	<b>City of Baldwin Park</b>
<b>Compliance Reference</b>	<p>Measure M Local Return Guidelines Section (A) (I) states that, "The Measure M Ordinance specifies that LR funds are to be used for transportation purposes. No net revenues distributed to Jurisdictions may be used for purposes other than transportation purposes". Also, Section VII states that, "It is the jurisdictions' responsibility to maintain proper accounting records and documentation to facilitate the performance of the audit as prescribed in these Guidelines".</p> <p>On February 14, 2019, the LACMTA Local Return Program Manager re-affirmed the memo issued on April 29, 2014 addressed to all Jurisdictions to provide clarification for adequate salary and related costs documentations for the audit of the Local Return funds.</p> <p>Below are recommendations to ensure that jurisdictions have adequate evidence to support its compliance with the Local Return Guidelines:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. All hours are required to be documented. Develop and/or maintain a system that will keep track of actual hours worked by employees whose salaries and benefits were charged to the LACMTA project. Expenditures claimed based solely on budgeted amounts is not considered adequate documentation because it does not reflect actual expenditures incurred on the LACMTA project and do not provide adequate evidence that labor hours charged has transit/transportation purpose. The record of hours worked must: a) identify the LACMTA project, b) be authenticated by the employee and approved by his/her immediate supervisor, and c) tie to hours reported in the payroll records.</li> <li>2. Provide adequate support for indirect costs. For indirect expenditures allocated to LACMTA projects, develop and/or maintain a system that distributes allowable expenditures to projects based on causal or beneficial relationships. Expenditures cannot be claimed on LACMTA project if the expenditures are not allowable (i.e., not transportation or transit related) or not allocable to the LACMTA project (i.e., LACMTA project did not cause the incurrence of the expenditure or LACMTA project did not benefit from the expenditure).</li> </ol>

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
**Measure M Local Return Fund**  
**Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs**  
**Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019**  
**(Continued)**

<b>Finding #2019-001 (Continued)</b>	<b>City of Baldwin Park</b>
<b>Condition</b>	<p>The City claimed salaries and benefits expenditures under the following projects:</p> <p>Project code 01-001, Bond for Rail Safety Crossings Improvements, total amount of \$23;  Project code 01-002, Street Rehabilitation Project, total amount of \$47,388;  Project code 05-001, Bus Stop Maintenance, total amount of \$34,696; and  Project code 08-001, Measure M Administration, total amount of \$269,386.</p> <p>The salaries and benefits claimed under MMLRF amounting to \$351,493 are based on budget and are not supported by actual time charges and documented time study or indirect cost allocation plan for administrative charges. The City historically claimed those salaries in the previous years based on actual time charges and those claims were supported by time sheets. However, because of the change in the City's payroll and time reporting system during the fiscal year, auditor was not able to perform procedures to determine reasonableness of those charges.</p>
<b>Cause</b>	<p>The City changed its payroll system during FY 2018-19. Employees started entering their timesheet electronically that replaced the manual timesheet (hard copy). The system automatically allocates the amounts charged by each employee to these funds based on the budgeted percentages.</p>
<b>Effect</b>	<p>If the labor charges are not supported by actual time charges and documented time study or indirect cost allocation plan, LACMTA will require the City to return the money to the Local Return Funds.</p>
<b>Recommendation</b>	<p>We recommend that the City provide documentation to support the salaries and benefits charges to MMLRF prior to FY 2019/20 year end audit. If these documents are not provided, the City is required to reimburse its MMLRF accounts the amount of \$351,493. In addition, we recommend that the City establish controls to ensure that the salaries and benefits charged to the Local Return funds are adequately supported by timesheets, payroll registers, personnel action forms with job descriptions, or similar documentation as required by the Guidelines.</p>

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
**Measure M Local Return Fund**  
**Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs**  
**Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019**  
**(Continued)**

<b>Finding #2019-001 (Continued)</b>	<b>City of Baldwin Park</b>
<b>Management's Response</b>	<p>The City changed its payroll system during FY 2018-19. Employees started entering their timesheet electronically to replace the manual timesheet. The salaries and benefits costs are the actual amounts from the City's payroll which is based on the estimated percentage of work assigned by Public Works for allocated hours per the prior year's projections and related projects in FY 2018-19. The percentage allocation is entered in Tyler Incode 10 financial system. The system allocates the charges for each employee to those funds.</p> <p><u>Corrective Action Plan</u>  The City will implement a new internal control procedure. The electronic time entry will be based on the actual hours worked on each project. We will also prepare reconciliation/adjustments as needed and/or at year-end. The time entries will be submitted by employees electronically and reviewed/approved by their supervisors. The City will also establish controls to ensure that all salaries and benefits charged to the Local Return funds are adequately supported in the future. The City considers the allocations and the charges mentioned above to be reasonable and eligible expenses under the local return guidelines.</p>

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
(Continued)**

<b>Finding #2019-002</b>	<b>City of Bell Gardens</b>
<b>Compliance Reference</b>	<p>Measure M Local Return Program Guideline states that, "To maintain legal eligibility and meet Measure M LR program compliance requirements, Jurisdiction shall submit to LACMTA an Expenditure Plan (Form M-One), annually, by August 1<sup>st</sup> of each year.</p> <p>Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) provides a listing of projects funded with Measure M LR funds along with estimated expenditures for the year. For both operating and capital projects, Part I is to be filled out. Part II is to be filled out for capital projects (projects over \$250,000). LACMTA will provide LR funds to a capital project or program sponsor who submits the required expenditure plan.</p>
<b>Condition</b>	The City submitted its Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) on August 13, 2018, 12 days after the due date of August 1, 2018.
<b>Cause</b>	The Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) was submitted late due to an oversight by City staff assigned to complete the task.
<b>Effect</b>	The City was not in compliance with the reporting requirements of the Local Return Guidelines.
<b>Recommendation</b>	We recommend for the City to establish procedures and controls to ensure that the Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) is submitted by August 1 <sup>st</sup> as required by the Guidelines.
<b>Management's Response</b>	The City is going to reevaluate the processes that are in place to ensure forms are submitted to LACMTA timely.
<b>Finding Corrected During the Audit</b>	The City subsequently submitted the form. No follow up is required.



**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
(Continued)**

<b>Finding #2019-003</b>	<b>City of Malibu</b>
<b>Compliance Reference</b>	Section XXV of the Measure M Program Guidelines states that, "The submittal of an Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) is also required to maintain legal eligibility and meet Measure M LR program compliance requirements. Jurisdiction shall submit a Form M-Two, to Metro annually, by October 15 <sup>th</sup> (following the conclusion of the fiscal year)."
<b>Condition</b>	The City submitted its Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) on October 25, 2019, 10 days after the due date of October 15, 2019.
<b>Cause</b>	The City of Malibu's Finance Manager retired. Due to her absence and the transition of her responsibilities, the City was unaware of the deadline. The report was filed prior to the audit, but not by the October 15 deadline.
<b>Effect</b>	The City's Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) was not submitted timely. The City was not in compliance with the Local Return Guidelines.
<b>Recommendation</b>	We recommend for the City to establish procedures and controls to ensure that Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) is submitted by October 15 as required by the Guidelines.
<b>Management's Response</b>	An Acting Finance Manager has been appointed and will monitor future reporting requirements. A calendar of reporting deadlines has been created.
<b>Finding Corrected During the Audit</b>	The City subsequently submitted the form. No follow up is required.

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
(Continued)**

<b>Finding #2019-004</b>	<b>City of Pomona</b>
<b>Compliance Reference</b>	Section XXV of the Measure M Program Guidelines states that, "The submittal of an Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) is also required to maintain legal eligibility and meet Measure M LR program compliance requirements. Jurisdiction shall submit a Form M-Two, to Metro annually, by October 15 <sup>th</sup> (following the conclusion of the fiscal year)."
<b>Condition</b>	The City submitted its Form M-Two on October 19, 2019, 4 days after the due date of October 15, 2019.
<b>Cause</b>	The Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) was submitted late due to last minute adjustments to ensure accuracy of the report before submission.
<b>Effect</b>	The City's Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) was not submitted timely. The City was not in compliance with the Local Return Guidelines.
<b>Recommendation</b>	We recommend for the City to establish procedures and controls to ensure that Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) is submitted by October 15 as required by the Guidelines.
<b>Management's Response</b>	Internal procedures are in place to ensure timely submission of the reports to LACMTA. The City will continue to work diligently to ensure timeliness of the submissions moving forward.
<b>Finding Corrected During the Audit</b>	The City subsequently submitted the form. No follow up is required.

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
**Measure M Local Return Fund**  
**Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs**  
**Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019**  
**(Continued)**

<b>Finding #2019-005</b>	<b>City of South El Monte</b>
<b>Compliance Reference</b>	Section XXV of the Measure M Program Guidelines states that, "It is each Jurisdiction's responsibility to maintain proper accounting records and documentation to facilitate the performance of the audit prescribed in these guidelines."
<b>Condition</b>	The City was not able to provide accounting records and documents that would support the City's compliance with the Measure M Program Guidelines. The City's general ledger is not updated. Account reconciliations, including bank accounts are behind and the Local Return Funds reports and Forms submitted to LACMTA do not reconcile with the accounting records. Accordingly, we were unable to perform any auditing procedures sufficiently to determine the City's compliance with the significant compliance requirements of the Guidelines.
<b>Cause</b>	We learned that the City lost several key employees in the finance and accounting department during the fiscal year 2019. As such, there was delay in the closing of the City's books for the fiscal year 2019. Currently, the accounting personnel and support do not have the institutional knowledge to ensure the books are updated and transactions are recorded correctly.
<b>Effect</b>	<p>These conditions resulted in delays in producing closing entries, trial balances, schedules, reconciliations, account analysis, and other financial reports needed by management and the auditors to facilitate completion of audit procedures.</p> <p>The guidelines dictate that LACMTA reserves the right to suspend or revoke allocation to the City until the completion of the required audits.</p>
<b>Recommendation</b>	<p>We recommend that the City implement internal control procedures over timely closing of the books. The City should establish and document proper closing and reconciliation procedures and assign responsibility for completing the procedures to specific City personnel. The closing procedures should be documented in a checklist that indicates who will perform each procedure and when completion of each procedure is due and is accomplished. The timing of specific procedures could be coordinated with the timing of management's or the auditor's need for the information.</p> <p>We also recommend that the City implement sufficient controls to ensure compliance with LACMTA guidelines and other regulatory requirements.</p>

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
(Continued)**

<b>Finding #2019-005 (Continued)</b>	<b>City of South El Monte</b>
<b>Management's Response</b>	The City has taken actions to address this finding by hiring an interim finance director to handle the closing process of the City's book of accounts and to make sure that all accounting records will be made available to the auditors.
<b>Subsequent to the Audit Deadline</b>	Metro Program Manager sent out a letter to the City on January 7, 2020 to grant the City requested time extension to complete the audit by March 31, 2020.

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
(Continued)**

<b>Finding #2019-006</b>	<b>City of South Gate</b>
<b>Compliance Reference</b>	<p>Measure M Local Return Program Guideline states that, "To maintain legal eligibility and meet Measure M LR program compliance requirements, Jurisdiction shall submit to LACMTA an Expenditure Plan (Form M-One), annually, by August 1<sup>st</sup> of each year.</p> <p>Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) provides a listing of projects funded with Measure M LR funds along with estimated expenditures for the year. For both operating and capital projects, Part I is to be filled out. Part II is to be filled out for capital projects (projects over \$250,000). LACMTA will provide LR funds to a capital project or program sponsor who submits the required expenditure plan.</p>
<b>Condition</b>	The City submitted its Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) on August 9, 2018, 8 days after the due date of August 1, 2018.
<b>Cause</b>	The Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) report was submitted late due to an oversight by City staff assigned to complete that task.
<b>Effect</b>	The City was not in compliance with the reporting requirements of the Local Return Guidelines.
<b>Recommendation</b>	We recommend for the City to establish procedures and controls to ensure that the Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) is submitted by August 1 <sup>st</sup> as required by the Guidelines.
<b>Management's Response</b>	City staff assigned to complete the Form M-One has been advised of the August 1 <sup>st</sup> deadline to submit the report. In addition, a reminder has been set up on the calendar of the Director to ensure that the report is completed and submitted to the LACMTA in a timely fashion.
<b>Finding Corrected During the Audit</b>	The City subsequently submitted the form. No follow up is required.

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
(Continued)**

<b>Finding #2019-007</b>	<b>City of Vernon</b>
<b>Compliance Reference</b>	Section XXV of the Measure M Final Guidelines states that, "The submittal of an Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) is also required to maintain legal eligibility and meet Measure M LR program compliance requirements. Jurisdiction shall submit a Form M-Two, to Metro annually, by October 15th (following the conclusion of the fiscal year)."
<b>Condition</b>	The City submitted its Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) on October 24, 2019, nine days after the due date of October 15, 2019.
<b>Cause</b>	The City had staffing changes and tasks were reassigned, resulting in the late submission.
<b>Effect</b>	The Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) was not submitted timely as required by the Guidelines.
<b>Recommendation</b>	We recommend for the City to establish procedures and controls to ensure that Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) is submitted by October 15 as required by the Guidelines.
<b>Management's Response</b>	The City will ensure timely submission of Form M-Two to LACMTA moving forward.
<b>Finding Corrected During the Audit</b>	The City subsequently submitted the form. No follow up is required.



[www.vasquezcpa.com](http://www.vasquezcpa.com)

Vasquez & Company LLP has over 50 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Members of RSM US Alliance have access to RSM International resources through RSM US LLP but are not member firms of RSM International. Visit [rsmus.com/about us](http://rsmus.com/about-us) for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. The RSM™ logo is used under license by RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance products and services are proprietary to RSM US LLP.



**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT  
ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS  
APPLICABLE TO MEASURE M ORDINANCE  
AND MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN  
GUIDELINES**

**TO THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY  
METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY**

**FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2019**



Simpson & Simpson, LLP  
Certified Public Accountants



**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Consolidated Audit Report**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO MEASURE M ORDINANCE AND MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN GUIDELINES	1
Summary of Compliance Findings	4
Schedule 1 – Summary of Audit Results	5
Schedule 2 - Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	22



SIMPSON & SIMPSON  
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

FOUNDING PARTNERS  
BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA  
MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

## INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO MEASURE M ORDINANCE AND MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN GUIDELINES

To: Board of Directors of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
and Measure M Independent Taxpayer Oversight Committee

### **Report on Compliance**

We have audited the compliance of the forty-nine (49) Cities and the County of Los Angeles identified in Schedule 1, with the types of compliance requirements described in the Measure M Ordinance enacted through a Los Angeles County (the County) voter approved law in November 2016; Measure M Local Return Guidelines, issued by the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA), approved by its Board of Directors on June 22, 2018 (collectively, the Guidelines); and the respective Assurances and Understandings Regarding Receipt and Use of Measure M Local Return Funds, executed by LACMTA and the respective Cities and the County for the year ended June 30, 2019 (collectively, the Requirements). Compliance with the above noted Guidelines and Requirements by the Cities and the County are identified in the accompanying Summary of Compliance Findings, Schedule 1 and Schedule 2.

### ***Management's Responsibility***

Compliance with the Guidelines and Requirements is the responsibility of the respective Cities' and the County's management.

### ***Auditor's Responsibility***

Our responsibility is to express opinions on the Cities' and the County's compliance with the Guidelines and Requirements referred to above based on our audits. We conducted our audits of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Measure M Local Return program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about each City's and the County's compliance with the Guidelines and Requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions on compliance. However, our audits do not provide a legal determination of each City's and the County's compliance with the Guidelines and Requirements.





### ***Opinion***

In our opinion, the Cities and the County complied, in all material respects, with the Guidelines and Requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Measure M Local Return program for the year ended June 30, 2019.

### ***Other Matters***

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance, which are required to be reported in accordance with the Guidelines and Requirements and which are described in the accompanying Summary of Measure M Audit Results (Schedule 1) and Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Schedule 2) as Findings #2019-001 through #2019-013. Our opinion is not modified with respect to these matters.

Responses by the Cities to the noncompliance findings identified in our audits are described in the accompanying Schedule 2 - Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The Cities' responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance, and accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

### ***Report on Internal Control Over Compliance***

The management of each City and the County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Guidelines and Requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audits of compliance, we considered each City's and the County's internal control over compliance with the Guidelines and Requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the Measure M Local Return program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Guidelines and Requirements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of each City's and the County's internal control over compliance.

*A deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Guidelines will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the Guidelines that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



The responses by the Cities to the internal control over compliance findings identified in our audits are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Schedule 2). The responses by the Cities were not subject to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing on internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines and Requirements. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Simpson &amp; Simpson". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Los Angeles, California  
December 31, 2019

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Summary of Compliance Findings  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019**

The audit of the 49 cities and the County identified in Schedule 1 have resulted in 13 findings. The table below shows a summary of the findings:

<b>Finding</b>	<b># of Findings</b>	<b>Responsible Cities/ Finding Reference</b>	<b>Questioned Costs</b>	<b>Resolved During the Audit</b>
Funds were expended prior to LACMTA's approval	4	Lancaster (#2019-005) Manhattan Beach (#2019-006) Torrance (#2019-011) West Covina (#2019-012)	\$ 149,335 140,000 43,051 23,030	\$ 149,335 140,000 43,051 23,030
Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) was not submitted on time	4	Avalon (#2019-001) Diamond Bar (#2019-002) San Gabriel (#2019-008) Temple City (#2019-010)	None	None
Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) was not submitted on time	5	El Segundo (#2019-003) La Habra Heights (#2019-004) Manhattan Beach (#2019-007) Signal Hill (#2019-009) Whittier (#2019-013)	None	None
<b>Total Findings and Questioned Costs</b>	<b>13</b>		<b>\$ 355,416</b>	<b>\$ 355,416</b>

Details of the findings are in Schedule 2.

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Summary of Audit Results  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019**

<b>Compliance Area Tested</b>	<b>Alhambra</b>	<b>Arcadia</b>	<b>Artesia</b>
Funds were expended for transportation purposes	Compliant	Compliant	Not Applicable
Fund were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	Compliant	Compliant	Not Applicable
Signed Assurances and Understandings was on file.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	Compliant	Compliant	Not Applicable
Expenditure Plan (Form M - One) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Report (Form M - Two) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Timely use of funds	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Administrative expenditures are within the 20% cap.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Recreational transit form was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
**Measure M Local Return Fund**  
**Summary of Audit Results**  
**Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019**  
**(Continued)**

<b>Compliance Area Tested</b>	<b>Avalon</b>	<b>Bellflower</b>	<b>Bradbury</b>
Funds were expended for transportation purposes	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Fund were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Signed Assurances and Understandings was on file.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Plan (Form M - One) was submitted timely.	See Finding #2019-001	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Report (Form M - Two) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Timely use of funds	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Administrative expenditures are within the 20% cap.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.	Compliant	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Recreational transit form was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
**Measure M Local Return Fund**  
**Summary of Audit Results**  
**Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019**  
**(Continued)**

<b>Compliance Area Tested</b>	<b>Burbank</b>	<b>Cerritos</b>	<b>Claremont</b>
Funds were expended for transportation purposes	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Fund were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Signed Assurances and Understandings was on file.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Plan (Form M - One) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Report (Form M - Two) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Timely use of funds	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Administrative expenditures are within the 20% cap.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Recreational transit form was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable



**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Summary of Audit Results  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
(Continued)**

<b>Compliance Area Tested</b>	<b>Covina</b>	<b>Diamond Bar</b>	<b>Downey</b>
Funds were expended for transportation purposes	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Fund were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Signed Assurances and Understandings was on file.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Plan (Form M - One) was submitted timely.	Compliant	See Finding #2019-002	Compliant
Expenditure Report (Form M - Two) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Timely use of funds	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Administrative expenditures are within the 20% cap.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Recreational transit form was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Summary of Audit Results  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
(Continued)**

<b>Compliance Area Tested</b>	<b>Duarte</b>	<b>El Segundo</b>	<b>Glendale</b>
Funds were expended for transportation purposes	Compliant	Not Applicable	Compliant
Fund were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	Compliant	Not Applicable	Compliant
Signed Assurances and Understandings was on file.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	Compliant	Not Applicable	Compliant
Expenditure Plan (Form M - One) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Report (Form M - Two) was submitted timely.	Compliant	See Finding #2019-003	Compliant
Timely use of funds	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Administrative expenditures are within the 20% cap.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Compliant
Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Compliant
Recreational transit form was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Summary of Audit Results  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
(Continued)**

<b>Compliance Area Tested</b>	<b>Glendora</b>	<b>Hawaiian Gardens</b>	<b>Hermosa Beach</b>
Funds were expended for transportation purposes	Compliant	Not Applicable	Compliant
Fund were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	Compliant	Not Applicable	Compliant
Signed Assurances and Understandings was on file.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	Compliant	Not Applicable	Compliant
Expenditure Plan (Form M - One) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Report (Form M - Two) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Timely use of funds	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Administrative expenditures are within the 20% cap.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Recreational transit form was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Summary of Audit Results  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
(Continued)**

<b>Compliance Area Tested</b>	<b>La Cañada Flintridge</b>	<b>La Habra Heights</b>	<b>La Mirada</b>
Funds were expended for transportation purposes	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Fund were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Signed Assurances and Understandings was on file.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Plan (Form M - One) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Report (Form M - Two) was submitted timely.	Compliant	See Finding #2019-004	Compliant
Timely use of funds	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Administrative expenditures are within the 20% cap.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Recreational transit form was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
**Measure M Local Return Fund**  
**Summary of Audit Results**  
**Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019**  
**(Continued)**

<b>Compliance Area Tested</b>	<b>La Verne</b>	<b>Lakewood</b>	<b>Lancaster</b>
Funds were expended for transportation purposes	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Fund were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Signed Assurances and Understandings was on file.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	Compliant	Compliant	See Finding #2019-005
Expenditure Plan (Form M - One) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Report (Form M - Two) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Timely use of funds	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Administrative expenditures are within the 20% cap.	Compliant	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Recreational transit form was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Compliant

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
**Measure M Local Return Fund**  
**Summary of Audit Results**  
**Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019**  
**(Continued)**

<b>Compliance Area Tested</b>	<b>Lomita</b>	<b>Long Beach</b>	<b>Los Angeles City</b>
Funds were expended for transportation purposes	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Fund were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Signed Assurances and Understandings was on file.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Plan (Form M - One) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Report (Form M - Two) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Timely use of funds	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Administrative expenditures are within the 20% cap.	Not Applicable	Compliant	Compliant
Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Recreational transit form was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Summary of Audit Results  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
(Continued)**

<b>Compliance Area Tested</b>	<b>Los Angeles County</b>	<b>Manhattan Beach</b>	<b>Monrovia</b>
Funds were expended for transportation purposes	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Fund were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Signed Assurances and Understandings was on file.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	Compliant	See Finding #2019-006	Compliant
Expenditure Plan (Form M - One) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Report (Form M - Two) was submitted timely.	Compliant	See Finding #2019-007	Compliant
Timely use of funds	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Administrative expenditures are within the 20% cap.	Compliant	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Recreational transit form was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
**Measure M Local Return Fund**  
**Summary of Audit Results**  
**Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019**  
**(Continued)**

<b>Compliance Area Tested</b>	<b>Norwalk</b>	<b>Palmdale</b>	<b>Palos Verdes Estates</b>
Funds were expended for transportation purposes	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Fund were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Signed Assurances and Understandings was on file.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Plan (Form M - One) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Report (Form M - Two) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Timely use of funds	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Administrative expenditures are within the 20% cap.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.	Compliant	Not Applicable	Compliant
Recreational transit form was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable



**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Summary of Audit Results  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
(Continued)**

<b>Compliance Area Tested</b>	<b>Paramount</b>	<b>Pasadena</b>	<b>Rancho Palos Verdes</b>
Funds were expended for transportation purposes	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Fund were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Signed Assurances and Understandings was on file.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Plan (Form M - One) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Report (Form M - Two) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Timely use of funds	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Administrative expenditures are within the 20% cap.	Compliant	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Recreational transit form was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
**Measure M Local Return Fund**  
**Summary of Audit Results**  
**Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019**  
**(Continued)**

<b>Compliance Area Tested</b>	<b>Redondo Beach</b>	<b>Rolling Hills</b>	<b>Rolling Hills Estates</b>
Funds were expended for transportation purposes	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Compliant
Fund were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Compliant
Signed Assurances and Understandings was on file.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	Not Applicable	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Plan (Form M - One) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Report (Form M - Two) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Timely use of funds	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Administrative expenditures are within the 20% cap.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Compliant
Recreational transit form was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Summary of Audit Results  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
(Continued)**

<b>Compliance Area Tested</b>	<b>San Dimas</b>	<b>San Gabriel</b>	<b>San Marino</b>
Funds were expended for transportation purposes	Compliant	Compliant	Not Applicable
Fund were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Signed Assurances and Understandings was on file.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	Compliant	Compliant	Not Applicable
Expenditure Plan (Form M - One) was submitted timely.	Compliant	See Finding # 2019-008	Compliant
Expenditure Report (Form M - Two) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Timely use of funds	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Administrative expenditures are within the 20% cap.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Recreational transit form was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Summary of Audit Results  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
(Continued)**

<b>Compliance Area Tested</b>	<b>Santa Clarita</b>	<b>Sierra Madre</b>	<b>Signal Hill</b>
Funds were expended for transportation purposes	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Fund were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Signed Assurances and Understandings was on file.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	Compliant	Compliant	Not Applicable
Expenditure Plan (Form M - One) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Report (Form M - Two) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	See Finding #2019-009
Timely use of funds	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Administrative expenditures are within the 20% cap.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Recreational transit form was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Summary of Audit Results  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
(Continued)**

<b>Compliance Area Tested</b>	<b>South Pasadena</b>	<b>Temple City</b>	<b>Torrance</b>
Funds were expended for transportation purposes	Not Applicable	Compliant	Compliant
Fund were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	Not Applicable	Compliant	Compliant
Signed Assurances and Understandings was on file.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	Not Applicable	Compliant	See Finding # 2019-011
Expenditure Plan (Form M - One) was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	See Finding # 2019-010	Compliant
Expenditure Report (Form M - Two) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Timely use of funds	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Administrative expenditures are within the 20% cap.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Recreational transit form was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Summary of Audit Results  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
(Continued)**

<b>Compliance Area Tested</b>	<b>West Covina</b>	<b>Whittier</b>
Funds were expended for transportation purposes	Compliant	Compliant
Fund were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	Compliant	Compliant
Signed Assurances and Understandings was on file.	Compliant	Compliant
Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	Compliant	Compliant
Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	Compliant	Compliant
Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	See Finding # 2019-012	Compliant
Expenditure Plan (Form M - One) was submitted timely.	Compliant	Compliant
Expenditure Report (Form M - Two) was submitted timely.	Compliant	See Finding # 2019-013
Timely use of funds	Compliant	Compliant
Administrative expenditures are within the 20% cap.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Recreational transit form was submitted timely.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019**

<b>Finding #2019-001</b>	<b>City of Avalon</b>
Compliance Reference	According to Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Section XXV Administrative: Reporting Requirements – Expenditure Plan (Form M-One), “To maintain legal eligibility and meet Measure M LR program compliance requirements, Jurisdictions shall submit to Metro an Expenditure Plan (Form M-One), annually, by August 1 of each year.”
Condition	The City did not submit the Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) to LACMTA by August 1, 2018. However, the City submitted the Form M-One late to LACMTA on August 7, 2018.
Cause	The late submission was due to an oversight.
Effect	The City’s Form M-One was not submitted to LACMTA by August 1st, as required by Measure M Local Return Guidelines.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City establish internal control procedures to ensure that the Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) is properly prepared and submitted prior to the August 1st deadline, and that the City retain a confirmation of receipt by LACMTA to comply with the Guidelines.
Management’s Response	Management will submit the budget form by the due date going forward.
Finding Corrected During the Audit	The City submitted the Form M-One on August 7, 2018. No follow-up is required.

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
(Continued)**

<b>Finding #2019-002</b>	<b>City of Diamond Bar</b>
Compliance Reference	According to Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Section XXV Administrative: Reporting Requirements – Expenditure Plan (Form M-One), “To maintain legal eligibility and meet Measure M LR program compliance requirements, Jurisdictions shall submit to Metro an Expenditure Plan (Form M-One), annually, by August 1 of each year.”
Condition	The City did not meet the August 1, 2018 deadline for submission of Form M-One. However, the City submitted the Form M-One on August 14, 2018.
Cause	Due to position vacancies in the Public Works Department, the Form M-One was not submitted to LACMTA by the due date.
Effect	The City’s Form M-One was not submitted timely as required by the Guidelines.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City establish procedures to ensure that the Form M-One (Expenditure Plan) is properly prepared and submitted before the due date of August 1st so that the City's expenditures of the Measure M Local Return Funds will be in accordance with LACMTA's approval and the guidelines. Furthermore, we recommend that the City retain a confirmation of receipt by LACMTA to indicate the form was submitted in a timely manner.
Management’s Response	The City personnel is now aware of the reporting deadlines for the Measure M's Form M-One and will be submitting all future forms in a timely fashion to meet the required deadlines.
Finding Corrected During the Audit	The City subsequently submitted Form M-One on August 14, 2018. No follow-up is required.



**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
**Measure M Local Return Fund**  
**Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs**  
**Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019**  
**(Continued)**

<b>Finding #2019-003</b>	<b>City of El Segundo</b>
Compliance Reference	According to Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Section XXV Administrative: Reporting Requirements – Expenditure Report (Form M-Two), “The submittal of an Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) is also required to maintain legal eligibility and meet Measure M Local Return program compliance requirements. Jurisdictions shall submit a Form M-Two, to LACMTA annually, by October 15th (following the conclusion of the fiscal year).
Condition	The City did not meet the October 15, 2019 deadline for submission of Form M-Two. However, the City submitted the Form M-Two on October 28, 2019.
Cause	The City failed to submit Form M-Two before the deadline. This was an oversight by the City.
Effect	The City did not comply with Measure M Local Return Guidelines.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City strengthen internal control procedures to ensure that the Form M-Two is properly prepared and submitted before the due date of October 15 to meet Measure M Local Return Guidelines.
Management’s Response	The City’s fiscal year ends on September 30, 2019, and the reports were not finalized as of October 15, 2019. City staff submitted Form M-Two on October 28, 2019 when the reports were more accurate. In the future the City will make sure to submit the Form M-Two by the October 15th deadline to ensure compliance with the regulations.
Finding Corrected During the Audit	The City’s Form M-Two was submitted on October 28, 2019. No follow-up is required.

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
**Measure M Local Return Fund**  
**Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs**  
**Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019**  
**(Continued)**

<b>Finding #2019-004</b>	<b>City of La Habra Heights</b>
Compliance Requirement	According to Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Section XXV Administrative: Reporting Requirements – Expenditure Report (Form M-Two), “The submittal of an Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) is also required to maintain legal eligibility and meet Measure M Local Return program compliance requirements. Jurisdictions shall submit a Form M-Two, to LACMTA annually, by October 15th (following the conclusion of the fiscal year).
Condition	The City did not meet the October 15, 2019 deadline for submission of the Form M -Two. However, the City submitted the Form M-Two on October 17, 2019.
Cause	It was due to the staff’s oversight.
Effect	The City did not comply with the Measure M Local Return Guidelines.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City establish procedures to ensure that the Form M-Two is submitted before the due date of October 15th in accordance with the Measure M Local Return Guidelines. Furthermore, we recommend that the City retain a confirmation of receipt by LACMTA to indicate the Form M-Two was submitted in a timely manner.
Management’s Response	The City will establish procedures to ensure the timely filing of all required listings. In addition, the City will retain a confirmation of receipt by LACMTA to indicate the Form M-Two was submitted in a timely manner.
Findings Corrected During the Audit	The City subsequently submitted the Form M-Two on October 17, 2019. No follow-up is required.

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
(Continued)**

<b>Finding #2019-005</b>	<b>City of Lancaster</b>
Compliance Requirement	According to Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Section B.VII.A, Financial and Compliance Provisions, “The Measure M LR Audits shall include, but not limited to, verification of adherence to the following financial and compliance provisions of these guidelines: Verification that funds were expended with Metro’s approval.”
Condition	During FY 2018-19, the City used Measure M Local Return funds totaling \$149,335 for the following three projects: (1) 1.20 15th St West/Lancaster Blvd Roundabout in the amount of \$55,375; (2) 2.03 LED Light Signals in the amount of \$34,498; and (3) 2.09 REPL-Equipment & Machinery in the amount of \$59,462 prior to LACMTA’s approval as the Project was not reported on the Expenditure Plan (Form M – One).
Cause	The City did not submit an accurate and complete Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) with a listing of projects to LACMTA due to an oversight.
Effect	The City was not in compliance with Measure M Local Return Guidelines in obtaining an approval from LACMTA prior to expenditure of funds.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City strengthen internal control procedures to ensure all expenditures are approved by LACMTA prior to expending the funds by submitting a complete and accurate Form M-One to LACMTA.
Management’s Response	Staff did not submit corrected form on time with the updated information due to staff turnover.
Findings Corrected During the Audit	The City’s revised Form M-One was submitted and retroactively approved by LACMTA on October 29, 2019. No follow-up is required.

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
(Continued)**

<b>Finding #2019-006</b>	<b>City of Manhattan Beach</b>
Compliance Requirement	According to Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Section B.VII.A, Financial and Compliance Provisions, "The Measure M LR Audits shall include, but not limited to, verification of adherence to the following financial and compliance provisions of these guidelines: Verification that funds were expended with Metro's approval."
Condition	The City used Measure M Local Return funds for Ped Safety Improvement Highland/34th project in the amount of \$140,000 prior to LACMTA's approval.
Cause	The City did not submit the complete Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) to LACMTA due to an oversight.
Effect	The City did not comply with the Measure M Local Return Funds Guidelines.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City strengthen internal control procedures by obtaining prior approval from LACMTA for all projects that are funded by Measure M Local Return Funds before incurring expenditures. We also recommend that the City obtain LACMTA's retroactive approval for any new projects that are not reported on the original Form M-One.
Management's Response	The City did not submit an amended Form M-One with updated information on time, since the guideline was not clear regarding submissions after the August 1 deadline.
Findings Corrected During the Audit	The City's revised Form M-One was submitted and retroactively approved by LACMTA on November 7, 2019. No follow-up is required.

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
(Continued)**

<b>Finding #2019-007</b>	<b>City of Manhattan Beach</b>
Compliance Requirement	According to Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Section XXV Administrative: Reporting Requirements – Expenditure Report (Form M-Two), “The submittal of an Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) is also required to maintain legal eligibility and meet Measure M Local Return program compliance requirements. Jurisdictions shall submit a Form M-Two, to LACMTA annually, by October 15th (following the conclusion of the fiscal year).
Condition	The City did not meet the October 15, 2019 deadline for submission of Form M-Two. However, the City submitted the Form M-Two on October 18, 2019.
Cause	This was an oversight by the City due to onsite ERP implementation training.
Effect	The City did not comply with the Measure M Local Return Guidelines.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City strengthen internal control procedures to ensure that the Form M-Two is properly prepared and submitted before the due date of October 15 to meet the Measure M Local Return Guidelines.
Management’s Response	The City was delayed in submitting the Form M-Two on or before the deadline due to onsite ERP implementation training. The City will endeavor to submit it on or before the deadline in the future.
Findings Corrected During the Audit	The City’s Form M-Two was submitted on October 18, 2019. No follow-up is required.

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
(Continued)**

<b>Finding #2019-008</b>	<b>City of San Gabriel</b>
Compliance Requirement	According to Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Section XXV Administrative: Reporting Requirements – Expenditure Plan (Form M-One), “To maintain legal eligibility and meet Measure M LR program compliance requirements, Jurisdictions shall submit to Metro an Expenditure Plan (Form M-One), annually, by August 1 of each year.”
Condition	The City did not meet the August 1, 2018 deadline for submission of Form M-One. However, the City submitted the Form M-One on August 21, 2018.
Cause	The person responsible for the submission of the reports has since retired from the City. As a result, the City was not able to determine the reason for the late filing.
Effect	The City’s Form M-One was not submitted timely.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City establish procedures to ensure that the Form M-One (Expenditure Plan) is properly prepared and submitted before the due date of August 1st so that the City's expenditures of the Measure M Local Return Funds will be in accordance with LACMTA's approval and the Guidelines. Furthermore, we recommend the City to retain a confirmation of receipt by LACMTA to indicate the form was submitted in a timely manner.
Management’s Response	To ensure that all future filings will be submitted timely, the reporting responsibility has been reassigned and calendared.
Findings Corrected During the Audit	The City subsequently submitted the Farm M-One on August 21, 2018. No follow- up is required.

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
(Continued)**

<b>Finding #2019-009</b>	<b>City of Signal Hill</b>
Compliance Requirement	According to Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Section XXV Administrative: Reporting Requirements – Expenditure Report (Form M-Two), “The submittal of an Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) is also required to maintain legal eligibility and meet Measure M Local Return program compliance requirements. Jurisdictions shall submit a Form M-Two, to LACMTA annually, by October 15th (following the conclusion of the fiscal year).
Condition	The City did not meet the October 15, 2019 deadline for submission of the Expenditure Report (Form M-Two). However, the City submitted the Form M-Two on October 23, 2019.
Cause	It was due to an oversight.
Effect	The City’s Form M-Two was not submitted timely.
Recommendation	We recommend the City strengthen internal control procedures to ensure that the Form M-Two is properly prepared and submitted before the due date of October 15th in accordance with Measure M Local Return Guidelines. Furthermore, we recommend the City retain a confirmation of receipt from LACMTA to indicate the form was submitted in a timely manner.
Management’s Response	This was due to staff turnover in the Public Works Department. As soon as the Finance Department became aware, Form M-Two was submitted to LACMTA.
Findings Corrected During the Audit	The City’s Form M-Two was submitted and retroactively approved by LACMTA on October 23, 2019. No follow-up is required.

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
**Measure M Local Return Fund**  
**Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs**  
**Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019**  
**(Continued)**

<b>Finding #2019-010</b>	<b>City of Temple City</b>
Compliance Requirement	According to Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Section XXV Administrative: Reporting Requirements – Expenditure Plan (Form M-One), “To maintain legal eligibility and meet Measure M LR program compliance requirements, Jurisdictions shall submit to Metro an Expenditure Plan (Form M-One), annually, by August 1 of each year.”
Condition	The City did not meet the August 1, 2018 deadline for submission of Form M-One. However, the City submitted the Form M-One on August 7, 2018.
Cause	The preparation and submission of the form was assigned to a new employee who was not aware of the deadline.
Effect	The City’s Form M-One was not submitted timely as required by Measure M Local Return Guidelines.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City establish procedures to ensure that the Form M-One is properly prepared and submitted before the due date of August 1st so that the City's expenditures of the Measure M Local Return Funds will be in accordance with LACMTA' s approval and the Guidelines. Furthermore, we recommend that the City retain a confirmation of receipt by MTA to indicate the form was submitted in a timely manner.
Management’s Response	In FY 2019-20, the newly assigned employee has been made aware of the reporting deadline and has attended the necessary LACMTA training workshops.
Findings Corrected During the Audit	In FY 2019-20, the newly assigned employee has been made aware of the reporting deadline and has attended the necessary LACMTA training workshops.



**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
 Measure M Local Return Fund  
 Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs  
 Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
 (Continued)**

<b>Finding #2019-011</b>	<b>City of Torrance</b>
Compliance Requirement	According to Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Section B.VII.A, Financial and Compliance Provisions, “The Measure M LR Audits shall include, but not limited to, verification of adherence to the following financial and compliance provisions of these guidelines: Verification that funds were expended with Metro’s approval.”
Condition	<p>During FY 2018-19, the City used Measure M Local Return funds for an eligible Project Code 1.10, T 177, Plaza del Amo at Western Ave. (Project) in the amount of \$43,051; however, the funds for the Project were expended without Metro’s prior approval as an Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) was not submitted to LACMTA.</p> <p>On December 13, 2019, the City submitted the Form M-One to obtain LACMTA’s retroactive approval and received subsequent approval on December 13, 2019.</p>
Cause	The City represented that a Form M-One was not submitted because the Project was not budgeted by the City prior to August 1, 2018. Subsequently, the City budgeted for the Project and incurred expenditures which were reported on the Form M-Two. Although the City budgeted funds for the Project and reported these expenditures on the Form M-Two, the City did not obtain LACMTA’s prior approval before incurring expenditures (Form M-One).
Effect	The City did not comply with the Measure M Local Return Guidelines.
Recommendation	<p>We recommend that the City strengthen its internal control procedures by obtaining prior approval from LACMTA for all projects that are funded by Measure M Local Return Funds before incurring expenditures.</p> <p>We also recommend that the City work closely with the City Council to obtain timely approval for all Measure M LR projects prior to the expenditures of funds, and the Form M-One is properly prepared and submitted to LACMTA before the due date of August 1.</p>

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
(Continued)**

<p><b>Finding #2019-011 (Continued)</b></p>	<p><b>City of Torrance</b></p>
<p>Management’s Response</p>	<p>The Plaza del Amo at Western Ave, T-177 (“T-177 Project”) is an eligible Measure M project conforming to the requirements in MM-Guidelines Section XXV Local Return, Subsection Eligible Uses beginning on page 75. On September 18, 2018, the Torrance City Council approved \$100,000 of FY2018-19 Measure M-LR funds for the T-177 Project. This approval occurred AFTER the August 1 annual deadline for submitting the Form M-One. Therefore, the City was correct and accurate not to include (budget) the T-177 Project on the Form M-One submitted by August 1, 2018, as the budget for use of these Measure M-LR funds was not yet approved by the City.</p> <p>MM-Guidelines Section XXV Local Return, Subsection Administrative-Reporting Requirements on pages 85 through 87 indicate repeatedly that the submittal of the Form M-One is only required ANNUALLY and by August 1. There is no requirement in the MM Guidelines for a Jurisdiction to submit a “revised” Form M- One, nor a Form M-One, more frequently than annually. The MM-LR Guidelines are, in fact, explicitly clear in this same Subsection on page 86 that a Form M-One for “New, amended, ongoing and carryover projects; Capital projects require additional information” is due ANNUALLY and on August 1.</p> <p>Additionally, MM-Guidelines Section XXV Local Return, Subsection Administrative-Reporting Requirements on pages 85 through 87 do not prohibit a Jurisdiction from obtaining approval from Metro AFTER incurring eligible expenditures. Furthermore, MM-Guidelines Section XXV Local Return, Subsection Administrative-Audit Requirements; Financial and Compliance Provisions on pages 91 and 92 do not prohibit Metro from approving eligible expenditures AFTER they are incurred. The Auditor’s role is to verify if funds were expended with Metro’s approval. The City reported the T-177 Project’s eligible expenditures on the FY2018-19 Expenditure Report submitted to Metro on October 15, 2019, as required by the MM Guidelines. On October 17, 2019, Metro acknowledged by email the City’s submittal of the FY2018-19 Expenditure Report and did not indicate any concerns or non-approval.</p> <p>In our opinion, the City fully complied with the requirements in the MM Guidelines to maintain legal eligibility of the use of Measure M-LR funds, including accurate and timely reporting. The City spent the funds on an eligible T-177 Project. Metro approved of the eligible expenditures. Therefore, the City objects to this finding.</p>
<p>Findings Corrected During the Audit</p>	<p>On December 13, 2019, the City received retroactive approval from LACMTA Program Manager to expend Measure M funds for project entitled 1.10, T 177, Plaza del Amo at Western Ave. No follow-up is required.</p>

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
 Measure M Local Return Fund  
 Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs  
 Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
 (Continued)**

<p><b>Finding #2019-011 (Continued)</b></p>	<p><b>City of Torrance</b></p>
<p>Auditor’s Rejoinder</p>	<p>City’s management responded to Finding No. 2019-011 by stating that the Measure M Local Return Guidelines do not require the submission of a “revised” Form-One.</p> <p>However, Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Section XXV, Reporting Requirements, states “Form M-One provides a listing of projects funded with Measure M LR funds along with estimated expenditures for the year.” The City was not in compliance with the requirement to submit a Form M-One which provides a listing of projects funded with Measure M LR funds with estimated expenditures for the fiscal year 2019, which is also the means by which the City obtains Metro’s approval of Measure M LR funded projects. Additionally, it is the jurisdiction’s responsibility to obtain its City Council’s approval of a project’s budget promptly and to properly prepare its Form M-One with a complete list of projects funded with Measure M LR funds to Metro. The City’s management also stated in their response that the Measure M Local Return Guidelines require jurisdictions to submit a Form M-One annually. However, the Guidelines do not prohibit a City from submitting an amended Form M-One or a separate request to Metro to obtain project approval prior to expending the funds during the fiscal year. The intent of the Guidelines, XXV Local Return, Form M-One DETERMINATION is for jurisdictions to obtain Metro’s approval of new, amended, ongoing, and carryover projects annually and prior to expending the funds.</p> <p>Additionally, the City’s management also stated in their response that the Measure M Local Return Guidelines “<i>do not prohibit Metro from approving eligible expenditures AFTER they are incurred.</i>” We disagree with the City’s statement on the basis that Section XXV, Financial and Compliance Provisions, of the Measure M Local Return Guidelines clearly state that expenditures require “Verification that funds were expended <u>with</u> Metro’s approval” (Auditors have added the underscore for emphasis). In our opinion, the preposition “with” can only be interpreted as occurring “temporally before” or “concomitantly with” Metro’s approval, and that funds should not be expended <u>without</u> said approval. Because the City expended funds without Metro’s approval, we stand by our compliance finding and recommendation.</p>

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
(Continued)**

<b>Finding #2019-012</b>	<b>City of West Covina</b>
Compliance Requirement	According to Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Section B.VII.A, Financial and Compliance Provisions, "The Measure M LR Audits shall include, but not limited to, verification of adherence to the following financial and compliance provisions of these guidelines: Verification that funds were expended with Metro's approval."
Condition	The City incurred expenditures prior to receiving approval from LACMTA for MMLRF's Project Code 1.05 18033 - Purchase of Aerial Boom 1 Ton Truck in the amount of \$23,030. However, the project was subsequently approved on November 6, 2019.
Cause	The MMLRF Project Code 1.05, 18033 – Purchase of Aerial Boom 1 Ton Truck, was originally approved by LACMTA in fiscal year 2017-18, the City mistakenly did not carry over the unexpended balance of the previously approved project into fiscal year 2018-19.
Effect	The City did not comply with the Guidelines when expenditures for MMLRF project are incurred before LACMTA's approval.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City establish procedures to ensure that it obtains approval from LACMTA prior to implementing any Measure M Local Return projects. Form M-One (Expenditure Plan) should be properly prepared and submitted before the due date of August 1st so that the City's expenditures of Measure M Local Return Funds are in accordance with LACMTA's approval and the Guidelines.
Management's Response	MMLRF Project Code 1.05 - Purchase of Aerial 1 Ton Boom Truck, was originally approved by LACMTA in the previous year. However, the City did not, within the program year, request the subsequent approval needed to carry the unexpended balance forward due to administrative error. The City received retroactive LACMTA approval on November 6, 2019. In the future, the City will incorporate a second level of review of submittals and request a project listing from LACMTA at mid-year to identify and correct any discrepancies of the projects approved.
Findings Corrected During the Audit	LACMTA Program Manager granted retroactive approval of the said expenditures on November 6, 2019. No follow-up is required.

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Measure M Local Return Fund  
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs  
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
(Continued)**

<b>Finding #2019-013</b>	<b>City of Whittier</b>
Compliance Requirement	According to Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Section XXV Administrative: Reporting Requirements – Expenditure Report (Form M-Two), “The submittal of an Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) is also required to maintain legal eligibility and meet Measure M Local Return program compliance requirements. Jurisdictions shall submit a Form M-Two, to LACMTA annually, by October 15th (following the conclusion of the fiscal year).
Condition	The City did not meet the October 15, 2019 deadline for submission of Expenditure Report Form M-Two to LACMTA. The City subsequently submitted the Form M- Two on October 30, 2019.
Cause	The late submission of Form M-Two was caused by the transition of City staff. The employee responsible for the submission of the form has since left the City due to retirement.
Effect	The City’s Form M-Two was not submitted timely as required by Measure M Local Return Guidelines.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City establish procedures to ensure that the Form M-Two (Expenditure Report) is properly prepared and submitted before the due date of October 15th so that the City's expenditures of the MMLRF will be in accordance with LACMTA's approval and the guidelines. Furthermore, we recommend that the City retain a confirmation of receipt by LACMTA to indicate the form was submitted in a timely manner.
Management’s Response	In the future, the City management will ensure timely submission of Form M-Two.
Findings Corrected During the Audit	The City subsequently submitted the Form M-Two on October 30, 2019. No follow-up is required.

*Los Angeles County*  
**Metropolitan Transportation Authority**

---

**Independent Auditor's Report  
On Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures  
For  
Measure M Special Revenue Fund**

**For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
(With Comparative Totals For 2018)**



---

2355 Crenshaw Blvd. Suite 150 Torrance, CA 90501  
t: (310) 792-4640 f: (310) 792-4140

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
Independent Auditor’s Report on  
Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures  
For  
Measure M Special Revenue Fund

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
(With Comparative Totals for 2018)

---

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Independent Auditor’s Report.....	1
Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures .....	3
Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures - Budget and Actual For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2019 .....	4
Notes to the Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures for Measure M Special Revenue Fund.....	5
Independent Auditor’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> .....	9
Independent Auditor’s Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Measure M Revenues and Expenditures in Accordance with the <i>Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan</i> .....	11
Summary of Current Year Audit Findings.....	13
Status of Prior Year Audit Findings .....	14

## **Independent Auditor's Report**

Measure M Independent Taxpayer Oversight Committee  
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

### **Report on the Schedule of Measure M Revenues and Expenditures**

We have audited the accompanying Schedule of Measure M Revenues and Expenditures (the Schedule) of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2019, and the related notes to the Schedule, which collectively comprise LACMTA's basic Schedule as listed in the table of contents.

#### ***Management's Responsibility for the Schedule of Measure M Revenues and Expenditures***

LACMTA's management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the Schedule in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the Schedule that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### ***Auditor's Responsibility***

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Schedule based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Schedule is free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the Schedule. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the Schedule, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the Schedule in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the Schedule.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

#### ***Opinion***

In our opinion, the Schedule referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the Measure M



Revenues and Expenditures of LACMTA for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2019, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

***Other Matter***

***Required Supplementary Information***

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the budgetary comparison information on page 4 be presented to supplement the Schedule. Such information, although not a part of the basic Schedule, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of the financial reporting for placing the basic Schedule in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic Schedule, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic Schedule. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

***Other Information***

As discussed in Note 3 to the Schedule, the accompanying Schedule of the Measure M Fund is intended to present the revenues and expenditures attributable to the Fund. They do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the LACMTA, as of June 30, 2019, and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

***Prior-Year Comparative Information***

We have previously audited the Schedule of Measure M Revenues and Expenditures of LACMTA, and we expressed an unmodified audit opinion in our report dated November 5, 2018. In our opinion, the summarized comparative information presented herein for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2019, is consistent, in all material respects, with the audited financial statements from which it has been derived.

***Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards***

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated November 7, 2019, on our consideration of LACMTA's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering LACMTA's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.



Torrance, CA  
November 7, 2019

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
Measure M Special Revenue Fund  
Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures  
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
(With Comparative Totals for 2018)  
(Amounts expressed in thousands)

	<b>2019</b>	<b>2018</b>
Revenues		
Sales tax	\$ 836,173	\$ 826,969
Investment income	10,160	1,887
Net appreciation (decline) in fair value of investments	4,706	(2,171)
	851,039	826,685
Total revenues		
Expenditures		
Administration and other	20,682	4,996
Transportation subsidies	198,481	182,415
	219,163	187,411
Total expenditures		
Excess of revenues over expenditures	631,876	639,274
Other financing sources (uses)		
Transfers out	(382,763)	(209,707)
	(382,763)	(209,707)
Total other financing sources (uses)		
Excess (deficiency) of revenues and other financing sources over expenditures and other financing uses	\$ 249,113	\$ 429,567

The Notes to the Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures are an integral part of this Schedule.

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
Measure M Special Revenue Fund  
Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures – Budget and Actual  
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019  
(Amounts expressed in thousands)

	<b>Budgeted Amounts</b>		<b>Actual</b>	<b>Variance with Final Budget</b>
	<b>Original</b>	<b>Final</b>		
Revenues				
Sales tax	\$ 844,000	\$ 844,000	\$ 836,173	\$ (7,827)
Investment income	-	-	10,160	10,160
Net appreciation in fair value of investments	-	-	4,706	4,706
<b>Total revenues</b>	<b>844,000</b>	<b>844,000</b>	<b>851,039</b>	<b>7,039</b>
Expenditures				
Administration and other	27,768	32,843	20,682	12,161
Transportation subsidies	197,188	198,618	198,481	137
<b>Total expenditures</b>	<b>224,956</b>	<b>231,461</b>	<b>219,163</b>	<b>12,298</b>
<b>Excess of revenues over expenditures</b>	<b>619,044</b>	<b>612,539</b>	<b>631,876</b>	<b>19,337</b>
Other financing sources (uses)				
Transfers in	2,403	2,403	-	(2,403)
Transfers out	(549,095)	(549,095)	(382,763)	166,332
<b>Total other financing sources (uses)</b>	<b>(546,692)</b>	<b>(546,692)</b>	<b>(382,763)</b>	<b>163,929</b>
<b>Excess (deficiency) of revenues and other financing sources over expenditures and other financing uses</b>	<b>\$ 72,352</b>	<b>\$ 65,847</b>	<b>\$ 249,113</b>	<b>\$ 183,266</b>

The Notes to the Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures are an integral part of this Schedule.

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
Measure M Special Revenue Fund  
Notes to the Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures  
June 30, 2019

---

The Notes to the Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures are summaries of significant accounting policies and other disclosures considered necessary for a clear understanding of the accompanying schedule of revenues and expenditures.

Unless otherwise stated, all dollar amounts are expressed in thousands.

**1. Organization**

**General**

The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) is governed by a Board of Directors composed of the five members of the County Board of Supervisors, the Mayor of the City of Los Angeles, three members appointed by the Mayor, and four members who are either mayors or members of a city council and have been appointed by the Los Angeles County City Selection Committee to represent the other cities in the County, and a non-voting member appointed by the Governor of the State of California.

LACMTA is unique among the nation's transportation agencies. It serves as transportation planner and coordinator, designer, builder and operator for one of the country's largest and most populous counties. More than 10 million people, about one third of California's residents, live, work, and play within its 1,433-square-mile service area.

**Measure M**

Measure M, also known as Ordinance No. 16-01, the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan, is a special revenue fund used to account for the proceeds of the voter-approved one-half percent sales tax that became effective on November 8, 2016 and the rate of the tax shall increase to one percent on July 1, 2039, immediately upon expiration of the one-half percent sales tax imposed by Traffic Relief and Rail Expansion Ordinance (Measure R).

Revenues collected are required to be allocated in the following manner: 1) 5% for Metro rail operations; 2) 20% for transit operations (Metro and Municipal Providers); 3) 2% for ADA Paratransit for the disabled and Metro discounts for seniors and students 4) 35% for transit construction; 5) 2% for Metro State of Good Repair projects; 6) 17% for highway construction; 7) 2% for Metro active transportation program; 8) 16% for local return - base for local projects and transit services; and 9) 1% for local return for regional rail.

**2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

The Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures for the Measure M Special Revenue Fund was prepared in conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) in the United States of America as applied to governmental units. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the recognized standard-setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles for governments.

The most significant of LACMTA's accounting policies with regard to the special revenue fund type are described below:

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
Measure M Special Revenue Fund  
Notes to the Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures  
June 30, 2019

---

**2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

**Fund Accounting**

LACMTA utilizes fund accounting to report its financial position and the results of its operations. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain governmental functions or activities. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Funds are classified into three categories: governmental, proprietary, and fiduciary. Governmental Funds are used to account for most of LACMTA's governmental activities. The measurement focus is a determination of changes in financial position, rather than a net income determination. LACMTA uses governmental fund type Special Revenue Fund to account for Measure M sales tax revenues and expenditures. Special Revenue Funds are used to account for proceeds of specific revenue sources that are legally restricted to expenditures for specified purposes.

**Basis of Accounting**

The modified accrual basis of accounting is used for the special revenue fund type. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual, which means measurable (amount can be determined) and available (collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period).

**Budgetary Accounting**

The established legislation and adopted policies and procedures provide that the LACMTA's Board approves an annual budget. Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States of America for all governmental funds.

Prior to the adoption of the budget, the Board conducts public hearings for discussion of the proposed annual budget and at the conclusion of the hearings, but no later than June 30, adopts the final budget. All appropriations lapse at fiscal year-end. The budget is prepared by fund, project, expense type, and department. The legal level of control is at the fund level and the Board must approve additional appropriations.

By policy, the Board has provided procedures for management to make revisions within operational or project budgets only when there is no net dollar impact to the total appropriations at the fund level. Budget amendments are made when needed.

Annual budgets are adopted by LACMTA on the modified accrual basis of accounting for the special revenue fund types, on a basis consistent with GAAP as reflected in the Schedule.

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
Measure M Special Revenue Fund  
Notes to the Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures  
June 30, 2019

---

**2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

**Investment Income and Net Appreciation (Decline) in Fair Value of Investments**

Investment income and net appreciation (decline) in fair value of investments are shown on the Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures. LACMTA maintains a pooled cash and investments account that is available for use by all funds, except those restricted by state statutes. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2019, the Measure M fund had investment income of \$10,160 and net appreciation in fair value of investments of \$4,706. The net appreciation in investments was mainly due to an increase in fair market value of the investment portfolios mostly invested in bonds, which are sensitive to changes in interest rates.

**Use of Estimates**

The preparation of the Schedule in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

**Comparative Financial Data**

The amounts shown for 2018 in the accompanying Schedule are included only to provide a basis for comparison with 2019 and are not intended to present all information necessary for a fair presentation in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.

**3. Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures for Measure M Special Revenue Fund**

The Schedule is intended to reflect the revenues and expenditures of the Measure M fund only. Accordingly, the Schedule does not purport to, and does not, present fairly the financial position of the LACMTA and changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States of America.

**4. Operating Transfers**

Amounts reflected as operating transfers represent permanent, legally authorized transfers from a fund receiving revenue to the fund through which the resources are to be expended. All operating transfers in/out of the Measure M Special Revenue Fund have been made in accordance with all expenditure requirements of the Measure M Ordinance.

**5. Excess of Revenues and Other Financing Sources Over Expenditures and Other Financing Uses**

The Measure M fund at June 30, 2019 had an excess of revenues and other financing sources over expenditures and other financing uses of \$249,113 due to lower expenditures incurred for its planning activities and capital projects notably to the Westside Subway Extension Section 3, Airport Metro Connector, Goldline Foothill Extension Phase 2B, and Bikeshare Program expansion costs. Measure M fund balance at June 30, 2019 is \$678,681.

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
Measure M Special Revenue Fund  
Notes to the Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures  
June 30, 2019

---

**6. Audited Financial Statements**

The audited financial statements for the Measure M Special Revenue Fund for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2019 are included in LACMTA's Audited Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR).

**7. Contingent Liabilities**

LACMTA is aware of potential claims that may be filed against them. The outcome of these matters is not presently determinable, but the resolution of these matters is not expected to have a significant impact on the financial condition of LACMTA.

**8. Subsequent Events**

In preparing the Schedule of Measure M Revenues and Expenditures, LACMTA has evaluated events and transactions for potential recognition or disclosure through November 7, 2019, the date the schedule was issued. No subsequent events occurred that require recognition or additional disclosure in the schedule.

**Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance  
and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in  
Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards***

Measure M Independent Taxpayer Oversight Committee  
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to the financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures (the Schedule) for Measure M Special Revenue Fund of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2019, and the related notes to the Schedule, which collectively comprised LACMTA's basic Schedule, and have issued our report thereon dated November 7, 2019.

***Internal Control over Financial Reporting***

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the LACMTA's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the LACMTA's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the LACMTA's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the LACMTA's Schedule will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



### ***Compliance and Other Matters***

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the LACMTA's Schedule is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of the amounts on the Schedule. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

*BCA Watson Rice, LLP*

Torrance, California  
November 7, 2019

**Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to  
Measure M Revenues and Expenditures in Accordance with the  
*Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan  
Ordinance No. 16-01***

Measure M Independent Taxpayer Oversight Committee  
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

**Report on Compliance**

We have audited the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) compliance of the Measure M Revenues and Expenditures with the types of compliance requirements described in the *Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan* (the Ordinance) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2019.

***Management's Responsibility***

LACMTA's management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws and regulations applicable to the Measure M Revenues and Expenditures.

***Auditor's Responsibility***

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on LACMTA's compliance with the Measure M Revenues and Expenditures based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on Measure M Revenues and Expenditures occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the LACMTA's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures, as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on the Measure M Revenues and Expenditures. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of LACMTA's compliance.

***Opinion on Measure M Revenues and Expenditures***

In our opinion, LACMTA complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Measure M Revenues and Expenditures for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2019.

## Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the LACMTA is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the LACMTA's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the Measure M Revenues and Expenditures as a basis for designing auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the *Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan*, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the LACMTA's internal control over compliance.

*A deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement on a timely basis. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of the Measure M Revenues and Expenditures that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

*BCA Watson Rice, LLP*

Torrance, California  
November 7, 2019

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
Measure M Special Revenue Fund  
Summary of Current Year Audit Findings  
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019

---

None noted.

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**  
Measure M Special Revenue Fund  
Status of Prior Year Audit Findings

---

None noted.



Metro

Los Angeles County  
Metropolitan Transportation  
Authority  
One Gateway Plaza  
3rd Floor Board Room  
Los Angeles, CA

## Board Report

---

**File #:** 2020-0144, **File Type:** Oral Report / Presentation

**Agenda Number:** 6.

---

**MEASURE M INDEPENDENT TAXPAYERS OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE  
MARCH 4, 2020**

**SUBJECT: RECEIVE Oral Report on Local Return**

**ACTION: ORAL REPORT**

**RECOMMENDATION**

Oral Report on Local Return

# Measure M Local Return

March 2020 update



Susan Richan, Metro Senior Manager,  
Local Programming




# Local Return (LR) – Measure M

- Measure M (approved in 2016 – funding started FY18)
  - 17% LR share (16% share plus 1% of the 1.5% off the top)
- Requires Assurances and Understanding agreement
- Jurisdictions are audited annually for compliance to [Measure M](#) (Form M-One and Form M-Two)

Due dates are the same for all LR:  
August 1 (budget) and  
October 15th (expenditures)

## MEASURE M



\*Local Return receives 1% from the 1.5% of the "off the top" Administration





## Measure M - FY19 Audit Review

- The Measure M Local Return audits for all Local Jurisdictions were finalized December 2019. There were a total of 19 Local Jurisdictions with audit compliance issues
- Regarding audit issues and resolutions to the issues, LACMTA sent audit notifications to each jurisdiction with an audit finding in February 2020
- LACMTA will continue to assist Local Jurisdictions in achieving current and future compliance with the Measure M Local Return Guidelines by monitoring the issues presented by the auditors

## Measure M – FY 19 Audit

- The FY19 Consolidated audit Workshop was held Tuesday, July 30, 2019, at the Metro Board Room
- All Cities were invited to attend
- In the Workshop, Metro Staff and the Auditors (Simpson & Simpson and Vasquez) gave presentations on audit procedures and other pertinent reporting requirements
- The audits were completed by end of December 2019 with one exception. One City was granted an extension to March 2020.

# Measure M Local Return Projects



Transit Operations  
Avalon (pictured)  
Beverly Hills  
Commerce  
Glendale  
Pasadena (pictured)



# Measure M Local Return Projects



Transit Capital  
(Transit Facility,  
Train Station, Bus  
Stop)

Baldwin Park  
Calabasas  
Downey  
El Monte  
(pictured)

# Measure M Local Return Projects



Transit Capital  
(Continued)

Glendale  
(pictured)  
Redondo Beach

# Measure M Local Return Projects

## Bike and Pedestrian



Bell  
Culver City (pictured)  
Downey  
Glendale  
La Canada Flintridge  
Long Beach  
Los Angeles City  
Los Angeles County  
Manhattan Beach  
Pico Rivera  
San Fernando

# Measure M Local Return Projects



## Bike and Pedestrian

Santa Clarita (pictured)

Santa Monica

Vernon

West Covina

Whittier

# Measure M Local Return Projects



## Streets and Roads

Agoura Hills  
Alhambra  
Arcadia  
Azusa  
Baldwin Park  
Bell  
Bell Gardens (pictured)  
Bellflower  
Bradbury  
Burbank  
Calabasas



# Measure M Local Return Projects

## Streets and Roads



Carson (pictured)

Cerritos

Claremont

Compton

Covina

Cudahy

Diamond Bar

Downey

El Monte

Gardena

Glendora

Hawthorne

Hermosa Beach

Huntington Park

Industry

La Canada Flintridge

# Measure M Local Return Projects

## Streets and Roads



La Habra Heights  
La Mirada  
La Puente  
La Verne  
Lakewood  
Lancaster  
Lawndale  
Lomita  
Long Beach  
Los Angeles City  
Los Angeles County  
Lynwood  
Malibu  
Manhattan Beach  
Maywood (pictured)

# Measure M Local Return Projects

## Streets and Roads



Monrovia  
Montebello  
Norwalk  
Palmdale  
Palos Verdes Estates  
Paramount  
Pico Rivera  
Pomona  
Rancho Palos Verdes  
Redondo Beach  
Rolling Hills Estates  
Rosemead  
San Dimas  
San Fernando  
San Gabriel

# Measure M Local Return Projects

## Streets and Roads



San Gabriel  
Santa Clarita  
Santa Monita  
Sierra Madre  
South El Monte  
South Gate  
Temple City (pictured)  
Torrance  
Walnut  
West Covina  
Westlake Village

THANK YOU!

## Questions?

Susan Richan

[richans@metro.net](mailto:richans@metro.net)

(213) 922-3017





Metro

Los Angeles County  
Metropolitan Transportation  
Authority  
One Gateway Plaza  
3rd Floor Board Room  
Los Angeles, CA

## Board Report

---

**File #:** 2020-0145, **File Type:** Oral Report / Presentation

**Agenda Number:** 7.

---

**MEASURE M INDEPENDENT TAXPAYERS OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE  
MARCH 4, 2020**

**SUBJECT: RECEIVE Oral Report on Transit and Highway Project Status**

**ACTION: ORAL REPORT**

**RECOMMENDATION**

Oral Report on Transit and Highway Project Status

# Measure M Independent Taxpayer Oversight Committee

## Program Management Status Report



**Brian Boudreau**  
Senior Executive Officer,  
Program Control

**Abdollah Ansari**  
Senior Executive Officer,  
Highway Programs

March 4, 2020



# Transit

Brian Boudreau  
Sr. Executive Officer



Photo by Gayle Anderson/Metro



Metro



# Westside Purple Line Extension Section 3 (Century City Constellation to Westwood/VA Hospital)



- Twin-bored tunnels and two new subway stations:
  - Westwood/UCLA
  - Westwood/VA Hospital
- \$3.61 billion budget
- Tunnel, Stations, Trackwork and Systems contracts have been awarded
- FFGA anticipated in March 2020: \$1.3 billion
- Forecast Revenue Service Date 2027

# Gold Line Foothill Extension Phase 2B


## Gold Line Foothill Construction Authority

Design Build Contract for Main Line, Stations, Systems - Awarded Oct. 2019  
Complete First Phase to Pomona 2025



# Airport Metro Connector

Design 2018 – Bid & Award 2020 – Construction 2021 – Complete 2024



- |   |   |  |   |
|---|---|--|---|
|  Passenger Amenities (Enclosed)     |  Station Staff Areas   |  Vertical Circulation                             |  Multi-use Path (Proposed) |
|  Passenger Waiting Areas (Enclosed) |  Station Support Areas |  Planters / Vegetation / Site Perimeter Landscape |   |



# East San Fernando Valley Transit Corridor

Award P.E. Contract – Summer 2019  
RFP Design Build Contract – Late 2020  
Begin Construction – Early 2022  
Complete – 2028



# West Santa Ana Transit Corridor

20 Mile Light Rail Line  
Union Station to Terminus in Artesia


## Phase I

Long Range Transportation Plan  
Cost Estimate \$1.04B  
Environmental Complete -2021  
Engineering 2019 to 2022

## Phase 2


Long Range Transportation Plan  
Cost Estimate \$2.97B  
Update Environmental 2029

Metro Developing a P3 Package



# Orange Line BRT Improvements


- Railroad-type gates at up to 35 intersections
- Aerial Stations at Sepulveda & Van Nuys
- Provisions for connections to ESFV LRT Terminal Station on Van Nuys
- Designed for future conversion to LRT
- Schedule: 30% Design for Sepulveda and Gate completed in 2019, Major construction to commence in 2021 and complete in 2025.



# Gold Line Eastside Extension Phase 2

Board is anticipated to select  
Washington Boulevard  
alignment

Engineering 2025  
Construction One Alignment  
2029



# Green Line Extension to Torrance

- Redondo Station to Regional Transit Center in Torrance
- EIR 2019 - 2022, awarding Environmental with an option to do Advanced Conceptual Engineering and Preliminary Engineering by early 2020
- Engineering 2022 - 2025
- Construction 2026 - 2030





# Sepulveda Pass Phases 1 & 2

- Feasibility Study 2018
- Environmental 2019 - 2021
- Engineering 2021 - 2024
- Pursuing P3 with Project Development Agreement



# Measure M - Highways

Abdollah Ansari  
Sr. Executive Officer




# Measures M Major Highway Projects

For Reference Only	Project (Final Project to be Defined by the Environmental Process)	Notes	Schedule of Funds Available		Subregion*	2016 - 2067 Local, State, Federal, Other Funding 2015\$	Measure — Funding 2015\$	Most Recent Cost Estimate 2015\$**	Modal Code
			Ground-breaking Start Date†	Expected Opening Date (3 year range)					
	<b>Expenditure Plan Major Projects</b>			1 <sup>st</sup> yr of Range					
1	Airport Metro Connect 96th St. Station/Green Line Ext LAX ®	a,p	FY 2018	CY 2021	sc	\$233,984	\$347,016	\$581,000	T
2	Westside Purple Line Extension Section 3 ®	b	FY 2018	FY 2024	w	\$986,139	\$994,251	\$1,980,390	T
3	High Desert Multi-Purpose Corridor (HDMC)®	q	FY 2019	FY 2021	nc	\$100,000	\$170,000	\$270,000	H
4	I-5 N Cap. Enhancements (SR-14 to Lake Hughes Rd) ®		FY 2019	FY 2023	nc	\$544,080	\$240,000	\$784,080	H
5	Gold Line Foothill Extension to Claremont ®	c	FY 2019	FY 2025	sg	\$78,000	\$1,019,000	\$1,097,000	T
6	Orange Line BRT Improvements	n	FY 2019	FY 2025	sf	\$0	\$286,000	\$286,000	T
7	BRT Connector Orange/Red Line to Gold Line	o	FY 2020	FY 2022	av	\$0	\$240,300	\$240,300	T
8	BRT Connector Orange/Red Line to Gold Line	o	FY 2020	FY 2022	sf	\$0	\$26,700	\$26,700	T
9	East SF Valley Transit Corridor Project ®	d	FY 2021	FY 2027	sf	\$520,500	\$810,500	\$1,331,000	T
10	West Santa Ana Transit Corridor LRT ®	b,d	FY 2022	FY 2028	gc	\$500,000	\$535,000	\$1,035,000	T
11	Crenshaw/LAX Track Enhancement Project	e,p	FY 2022	FY 2026	sc	\$0	\$49,599	\$49,599	T
12	SR-71 Gap from I-10 to Rio Rancho Rd.		FY 2022	FY 2026	sg	\$26,443	\$248,557	\$275,000	H
13	LA River Waterway & System Bikepath		FY 2023	FY 2025	cc	\$0	\$365,000	\$365,000	H
14	Complete LA River Bikepath		FY 2023	FY 2025	sf	\$0	\$60,000	\$60,000	H
15	Sepulveda Pass Transit Corridor (Ph 1) ®	b,f	FY 2024	FY 2026	sf	\$0	\$130,000	\$130,000	H
16	Sepulveda Pass Transit Corridor (Ph 1) ®	b,f	FY 2024	FY 2026	w	\$0	\$130,000	\$130,000	H
17	Vermont Transit Corridor	o	FY 2024	FY 2028	cc	\$400,000	\$25,000	\$425,000	T
18	SR-57/SR-60 Interchange Improvements	d	FY 2025	FY 2031	sg	\$565,000	\$205,000	\$770,000	H
19	Green Line Extension to Crenshaw Blvd in Torrance ®	d,g	FY 2026	FY 2030	sb	\$272,000	\$619,000	\$891,000	T
20	I-710 South Corridor Project (Ph 1) ®	d,h	FY 2026	FY 2032	gc	\$150,000	\$250,000	\$400,000	H
21	I-105 Express Lane from I-405 to I-605	p	FY 2027	FY 2029	sc	\$0	\$175,000	\$175,000	H
22	Sepulveda Pass Transit Corridor (Ph 2) ®	b	FY 2024	FY 2033	sf	\$1,567,000	\$1,270,000	\$2,837,000	T
23	Sepulveda Pass Transit Corridor (Ph 2) ®	b	FY 2024	FY 2033	w	\$1,567,000	\$1,270,000	\$2,837,000	T
24	Gold Line Eastside Extension (One Alignment) ®	d	FY 2029	FY 2035	gc	\$957,000	\$543,000	\$1,500,000	T
25	Gold Line Eastside Extension (One Alignment) ®	d	FY 2029	FY 2035	sg	\$957,000	\$543,000	\$1,500,000	T
26	West Santa Ana Transit Corridor LRT ®	r	FY 2022	FY 2041	cc	\$1,082,500	\$400,000	\$1,482,500	T
27	West Santa Ana Transit Corridor LRT ®	r	FY 2022	FY 2041	cc	\$982,500	\$500,000	\$1,482,500	T
28	I-710 South Corridor Project (Ph 2) ®		FY 2032	FY 2041	qc	\$658,500	\$250,000	\$908,500	H
29	I-5 Corridor Improvements (I-605 to I-710)		FY 2036	FY 2042	gc	\$46,060	\$1,059,000	\$1,105,060	H
30	Crenshaw Northern Extension	i	FY 2041	FY 2047	cc	\$495,000	\$1,185,000	\$1,680,000	T
31	Crenshaw Northern Extension	i	FY 2041	FY 2047	w	\$0	\$560,000	\$560,000	T
32	I-405/I-110 Int. HOV Connect Ramps & Intrchnq Improv ®		FY 2042	FY 2044	sb	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000	H
33	I-605/I-10 Interchange		FY 2043	FY 2047	sg	\$472,400	\$126,000	\$598,400	H
34	SR 60/I-605 Interchange HOV Direct Connectors		FY 2043	FY 2047	sg	\$360,600	\$130,000	\$490,600	H
35	Lincoln Blvd BRT	l,o	FY 2043	FY 2047	w	\$0	\$102,000	\$102,000	T
36	I-110 Express Lane Ext South to I-405/I-110 Interchange		FY 2044	FY 2046	sb	\$228,500	\$51,500	\$280,000	H
37	I-405 South Bay Curve Improvements		FY 2045	FY 2047	sb	\$250,840	\$150,000	\$400,840	H
38	Green Line Eastern Extension (Norwalk)	p	FY 2046	FY 2052	sc	\$570,000	\$200,000	\$770,000	T
39	SF Valley Transportation Improvements	m	FY 2048	FY 2050	sf	\$0	\$106,800	\$106,800	T
40	Sepulveda Pass Westwood to LAX (Ph 3)	p	FY 2048	FY 2057	sc	\$3,800,000	\$65,000	\$3,865,000	T
41	Orange Line Conversion to Light Rail	p	FY 2051	FY 2057	sf	\$1,067,000	\$362,000	\$1,429,000	T
42	City of San Fernando Bike Master Plan		FY 2052	FY 2054	sf	\$0	\$5,000	\$5,000	H
43	Historic Downtown Streetcar		FY 2053	FY 2057	cc	\$0	\$200,000	\$200,000	T
44	Gold Line Eastside Ext. Second Alignment	p	FY 2053	FY 2057	sc	\$110,000	\$2,890,000	\$3,000,000	T
45	High Desert Multi-Purpose Corridor - LA County Segment	p	FY 2063	FY 2067	sc	\$32,982	\$1,845,718	\$1,878,700	H
46	<b>Expenditure Plan Major Projects Subtotal</b>					<b>\$19,581,027</b>	<b>\$20,989,941</b>	<b>\$40,570,969</b>	

# I-5 North HOV and Truck Lanes



- 14 miles of HOV lanes in both directions
- 2 miles of truck climbing lanes at the south end
- 7 bridge modifications at:
  - Gavin Canyon
  - Calgrove Blvd.
  - Butte Canyon
  - Magic Mountain Pkwy.
  - Santa Clara OH
  - Rye Canyon
  - Castaic Creek Bridge
- 1 bridge replacement at Weldon Canyon
- Soundwalls and retaining walls
- Final Design approved in July 2019
- Construction: Summer 2020



# SR-71



## Project Summary

- In Final Design phase
- 2 segments:
  - Mission to SR-60 – upgrade from expressway to freeway
  - Mission to I-10 – upgrade including raising 2 bridges over the RR tracks



## Status Update

- Construction of Mission to SR-60: Summer 2020
- Construction of Mission to I-10: 2023




Metro



# SR-57/SR-60 Interchange

- Metro Measure M Project
- Metro Call For Projects – In Progress
- Metro Call For Projects – In Progress
- Future Project



Construction: 2022



# I-710 (South)




## Project Summary

- In PAED phase 18 miles between the Ports of Long Beach and Los Angeles and the SR-60
- Locally-Preferred Alternative Selected:
  - 5C: One lane + upgrading
- Estimated Cost: \$6-\$11 billion
- Includes “Early Action” Projects
- \$420 mil in Measure R and \$500 mil. in Measure M


## Status Update

- Final EIR/EIS: TBD
- Early Action projects final design starting upon approval of the final corridor ED or individual EDs and contingent upon no legal action preventing progress



**Metro**

# I-710 Early Action Freeway Projects



- 1. Shoemaker Bridge
- 2. Anaheim St./PCH
- 3. Willow Street
- 4. Del Amo Blvd.
- 5. Long Beach Blvd.
- 6. Alondra Blvd./91
- 7. Imperial Highway
- 8. Firestone Blvd.
- 9. Florence Avenue

**DRAFT**



# I-605 Corridor




## Project Summary

- Freeway widening/additional lanes, ExpressLanes, ramp reconfigurations, arterial intersection enhancements, signage, and safety features
- Estimated total cost of projects in the I-605 corridor between I-105 and I-10: \$5+ billion

## Status Update

- Corridor environmental studies in progress – completion in 2021
- “Early Action” projects - PIDs, environmental clearance, and final design in progress



# “Hot Spots” Program



I-605/Valley Blvd.  
Construction in 2020

SR-60/7th Ave.

I-605/Beverly Blvd.  
Construction in 2021

WB-91 Alondra to  
Shoemaker

SR-91 Atlantic to  
Cherry

SR-91 Central to  
Acacia


I-605/South St.  
Construction in 2020

I-605/I-10

I-605/SR-60

I-605/I-5

I-605/I-105



+ Over 30 Arterial  
Intersection  
Improvements



**\$5+ Billion**

Subject to Change 18-0452 ©2017 LACMA

# I-405 South Bay




## Project Summary

- Add auxiliary lanes NB & SB from I-105/I-405 to Artesia Blvd off-ramp

## Status Update

- PSR completed Nov. 2018
- PAED commenced in March 2019
- Construction in 2023



# High Desert Corridor




## Project Summary

- 63 miles - Highway, Expressway, Tollway, High Speed Rail, Bikeway, and energy production/transmission corridor concepts
- Connecting North Los Angeles County and San Bernardino County (Victorville)
- Transit connection between the CHSR and the XpressWest
- In Measure M for ROW and related services in 2019
- More funds in later years
- Final EIR/EIS completed in 2016

## Status Update


- Multi-agency efforts in assessing other feasible alternatives



# SR-138, SR-14 to LA/SB County Line



## CALTRANS PROJECTS ON ROUTES 14 AND 138 IN LOS ANGELES AND SAN BERNARDINO COUNTIES



Ref. NO.	Location	Type of Work	Estimated Completion	Total Cost
1	5/14 Interchange	HOV Connector	Construction Completed	\$178,000,000
1A	J-5 to San Fernando Rd	HOV Lanes	Open to Traffic August 2002	\$8,735,000
2	San Fernando Rd to Sand Canyon Rd	HOV Lanes	Construction Completed	Construction Completed
3	Near Sand Canyon Rd	Soundwalls	Construction Completed	\$5,400,000
4	Sand Canyon Rd to Escondido Canyon	HOV Lanes	Construction Completed	Construction Completed
5	Escondido Canyon Rd to Pear Blossom	HOV Lanes	Open to Traffic July 2002	\$38,622,000
6	Pear Blossom to Avenue P-S	HOV Lanes	Const. Completed	\$40,000,000
7	Avenue S to Avenue I	Median Barrier	Const. Completed	\$2,347,000
8A	Santa Clara River Bridge to Sierra Hwy	Slab Replacement	Const. Completed	\$40,000,000
8B	Sierra Hwy to Ana Verde Creek	Slab Replacement + AC Pavement rehab	Const. Completed	\$16,000,000
10	Rancho Vista Blvd to Palmdale Blvd	Operational Improvement	Summer 2019	\$20,000,000
11	Lancaster Avenue L to Avenue I	Median Barrier	Const. Completed	\$1,711,000

Ref. NO.	Location	Type of Work	Completion Status	Total Cost \$ x 1000
12	LA-14 to SB-18 High Desert Corridor	Multi-purpose	In Progress	\$4.5 to Billion
13	From Ave R to 60th St (Extended Seg-1)	Pavement Rehabilitation	Completed	\$1,000
14	From 60th St. to E of Ave T (Seg. 2)	Widen to 4 lanes	Completed	\$10,000
15	From 0.3 mile West of Little Rock Wash to 77th St. Combine Seg. 3 & 4	Widen to 4 lanes	Not funded	\$24,500
16				
17	From 77th St to 87th St (Seg. 5)	Widen to 3 lanes	Completed	\$11,500
18	87th St. to 96th St (Seg. 6)	Widen to 4 lanes	Not funded	\$32,000
19	From 96th St to 106th St (Seg. 7)	Widen to 4 lanes	Summer 2009	\$10,000
20	from 0.7 mile West of 121st St. to Longview Rd Combine Segs 8&9	Widen to 4 lanes	Fall 2018	\$20,200
21				
22	From Longview Rd to 145th St (Seg. 10)	Replace Bridge and Widen Highway to 4 lanes	Completed	\$9,000
23	Twin Bridge (Seg. 11)	Widen to 4 lanes	Completed	\$24,000
24	From 165th to 190th St. (Seg. 12)	Widen to 4 lanes	Completed	\$39,811
25	From 185th St. to SR-18 Junction (Seg. 13)	Widen to 4 lanes	Not funded	\$62,000
26	Jet 18 to SB County Line	Widen roadway and Pave Boulder	Summer 2017	\$30,300
27	I-5 to LA 14	Widen to 6 lanes	PAID Summer 2017	\$1,100,000
28	LA-138 from 30th St E to 185th St E	Cold plane and overlay	Completed	\$19,200
29	LA-138 from 30th St E to 155th St E	ADA compliance	Winter 2019	\$12,000

- PRECONSTRUCTION PHASE
- FUNDED FOR ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES
- PROJECTS COMPLETED
- PROJECTS IN CONSTRUCTION
- Funding in progress as of March 2018. Construction by Caltrans

Funding in progress as of March 2018. Construction by Caltrans



# Measure M - 1<sup>st</sup> 5 Years Subregional Projects

## South Bay Subregion

### Measure M Multi-Year Subregional Plan - Transportation System & Mobility Improvements Program (Expenditure Line 50)

	Agency	Project ID No.	Project/Location	Funding Phases	FY2019-20	FY2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23	Total Program
1	INGLEWOOD	MM5502.02	ITS (GAP) CLOSURE IMPROVEMENTS	CONSTRUCTION	\$6,000,000	\$7,500,000			\$ 13,500,000
2	INGLEWOOD	MM5502.03	INGLEWOOD INTERMODAL TRANSIT/PARK AND RIDE FACILITY**	PAED, PS&E, CONSTRUCTION	\$4,596,541	\$4,596,541			\$9,193,082
3	LA COUNTY	MM5502.04	182ND ST/ ALBERTONI ST. TRAFFIC SIGNAL SYNCH PROGRAM*	PAED, PS&E, CONSTRUCTION				\$ 4,228,500	\$ 4,228,500
4	LA COUNTY	MM5502.07	DEL AMO BLVD (EAST) TRAFFIC SIGNAL SYCH PROGRAM*	PAED, PS&E, CONSTRUCTION				\$ 1,324,500	\$ 1,324,500
5	LA COUNTY	MM5502.06	VAN NESS TRAFFIC SIGNAL SYCH PROGRAM*	PAED, PS&E, CONSTRUCTION				\$ 1,702,000	\$ 1,702,000
6	SBCCOG	MM5502.05	SOUTH BAY FIBER NETWORK	CONSTRUCTION	\$ 4,165,114	\$ 224,251			\$ 4,389,365
7	ROLLING HILLS ESTATES	MM5502.08	PALOS VERDES DRIVE NORTH AT DAPPLEYGRAY SCHOOL	PAED, PS&E, ROW, CONSTRUCTION	\$ 51,300	\$ 63,000	\$ 1,440,000		\$ 1,554,300
8	INGLEWOOD	MM5502.09	PRAIRIE AVE DYNAMIC LANE CONTROL SYSTEM**	PS&E, CONSTRUCTION	\$ 6,560,000	\$ 6,560,000			\$ 13,120,000
<b>TOTAL PROGRAMMING AMOUNT</b>					<b>\$21,372,955</b>	<b>\$18,943,792</b>	<b>\$1,440,000</b>	<b>\$7,255,000</b>	<b>\$49,011,747</b>

\* Conditional programming approval as only high level scope of work was developed and reviewed. Future annual update process will reconfirm the programming.

\*\* Final itemized project cost estimate shall be prepared by the City and submitted to Metro for review and approval prior to issuance of a Funding Agreement.

Only those costs deemed eligible by Metro will be reimbursed.



# Measure M - 1<sup>st</sup> 5 Years Subregional Projects

## South Bay Subregion

### Measure M Multi-Year Subregional Plan - Transportation System & Mobility Improvements Program (Expenditure Line 66)

	Agency	Project ID No.	Project/Location	Funding Phases	FY2019-20	FY2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23	Total Program
1	LA CITY	MM5508.01	FIVE SIGNAL MODIFICATION AND OPERATIONAL	PAED, PSE, CONSTRUCTION	230,000	240,000	90,000	2,240,000	2,800,000
2	LA CITY	MM5508.02	ATSAC COMMUNICATION SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT IN SAN PEDRO	PSE, CONSTRUCTION	250,000	750,000	1,500,000		2,500,000
3	LA CITY	MM5508.03	ASTAC COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK INTEGRATION WITH LA COUNTY	PAED, PSE, CONSTRUCTION	40,000	160,000	400,000	1,400,000	2,000,000
4	MANHATTAN BEACH	MM5508.04	ADVANCED TRAFFIC SIGNAL SYSTEM	PSE, CONSTRUCTION	1,100,000	2,540,000	1,800,000		5,440,000
5	REDONDO BEACH	MM5508.05	REDONDO BEACH TRANSITY CENTER AND PARK AND RIDE	CONSTRUCTION	4,000,000	500,000			4,500,000
6	TORRANCE	MM5508.06	TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS	PSE, CONSTRUCTION	30,000	360,000			390,000
<b>TOTAL PROGRAMMING AMOUNT</b>					<b>\$5,650,000</b>	<b>\$4,550,000</b>	<b>\$3,790,000</b>	<b>\$3,640,000</b>	<b>\$17,630,000</b>

# Measure M - 1<sup>st</sup> 5 Years Subregional Projects

## South Bay Subregion

### Measure M Multi-Year Subregional Plan - South Bay Highway Operational Improvements (Expenditure Line 63)

	Agency	Project ID No.	Project/Location	Funding Phases	FY2019-20	FY2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23	Total Program
1	HAWTHORNE	MM5507.01	NORTH EAST HAWTHORNE MOBILITY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT	PSE, ROW, CONSTRUCTION	400,000	800,000	950,000	800,000	2,950,000
<b>TOTAL PROGRAMMING AMOUNT</b>					<b>\$ 400,000</b>	<b>\$ 800,000</b>	<b>\$ 950,000</b>	<b>\$ 800,000</b>	<b>\$ 2,950,000</b>



# Measure M - 1<sup>st</sup> 5 Years Subregional Projects

## Las Virgenes/Malibu Subregion

### Measure M Multi-Year Subregional Plan - Highway Efficiency Program

	Agency	Project ID No.	Project/Location	Funding Phases	FY 2018-19	FY2019-20	FY2020-21	FY 2021-22	Total Program
1	Agoura Hills	MM5503.01	U.S 101/Palo Comado Interchange - Chesebro Rd S to Driver Ave. & Chesebro Rd to N of interchange	PS&E Construction	\$ 5,393,212	\$ 2,802,224			\$ 8,195,436
2	Agoura Hills	MM5503.02	Kanan Road Corridor from Thousand Oaks Blvd to Cornell Road *	Env PS&E			1,051,879	1,761,614	2,813,493
3	Hidden Hills	MM5503.03	Long Valley Road/Valley Circle/US-101 On-Ramp Improvements	PS&E, ROW Construction	468,006	243,169	249,247	255,230	1,215,652
4	Malibu	MM5503.04	Malibu Park and Ride Lots	ROW	3,100,000				3,100,000
5	Malibu	MM5503.05	Median Improvements PCH	PS&E Construction	150,000	150,000	1,000,000	700,000	2,000,000
6	LA County	MM5503.06	Malibu Canyon Road Improvements - Malibu Canyon Rd @ Piuma Rd. & Las Virgenes Rd @ Las Virgenes Canyon Rd	PS&E ROW Construction	200,000	125,000	700,000	475,000	1,500,000
<b>Total Programming Amount</b>					<b>\$ 9,311,218</b>	<b>\$ 3,320,393</b>	<b>\$ 3,001,126</b>	<b>\$ 3,191,844</b>	<b>\$ 18,824,581</b>

\* Conditional programming approval as only high level scope of work was developed and reviewed. Future annual update process will reconfirm the programming.

# Measure M - 1<sup>st</sup> 5 Years Subregional Projects

## Arroyo Verdugo Subregion

### Measure M Multi-Year Subregional Plan - Highway Efficiency, Noise Mitigation and Arterial Program

	Agency	Project ID No.	Project/Location	Funding Phases	FY 2018-19	FY2019-20	FY2020-21	FY 2021-22	Total Program
1	South Pasadena	MM5506.01	Columbia St. and Pasadena Ave Turn Lanes, Columbia St. and Orange Grove Ave. Striping	PS&E Construction		\$ 150,000			\$ 150,000
2	South Pasadena	MM5506.02	Garfield Ave. and Monterey Road Signal	PS&E Construction		400,000			400,000
3	South Pasadena	MM5506.03	Garfield Ave. and Oak St. Signal	PS&E Construction		400,000			400,000
4	South Pasadena	MM5506.04	Fremont Ave. and Huntington Dr. Signage *	PS&E Construction				140,000	140,000
5	South Pasadena	MM5506.05	Grevelia St and Fair Oaks Ave. Striping and Signal Timing *	PS&E Construction				50,000	50,000
<b>Total Programming Amount</b>					<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 950,000</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 190,000</b>	<b>\$ 1,140,000</b>

\* Conditional programming approval as only high level scope of work was developed and reviewed. Future annual update process will reconfirm the programming.

# Measure M - 1<sup>st</sup> 5 Years Subregional Projects

## North County Subregion

### Measure M Multi-Year Subregional Plan - Highway Efficiency Program

	Agency	Project ID No.	Project/Location	Funding Phases	FY 2018-19	FY2019-20	FY2020-21	FY 2021-22	Total Program
1	Palmdale	MM5504.01	SR-138 Palmdale Blvd. SR-14 Ramps	Construction		1,117,074	1,117,073	1,117,073	\$ 3,351,220
2	North County Transportation Coalition	MM5504.02	SR-14 Capacity Enhancement/Operational Improvement **	TBD	500,000	1,500,000	1,350,000	1,350,000	4,700,000
<b>Total Programming Amount</b>					<b>\$ 500,000</b>	<b>\$ 2,617,074</b>	<b>\$ 2,467,073</b>	<b>\$ 2,467,073</b>	<b>\$ 8,051,220</b>

\*\* Pending identification of a specific project after initial investigations and consultation with Caltrans and Metro.

## San Gabriel Subregion

### Measure M Multi-Year Subregional Plan - Highway Efficiency Program

	Agency	Project ID No.	Project/Location	Funding Phases	FY 2018-19	FY2019-20	FY2020-21	FY 2021-22	Total Program
1	SGVCOG (ACE)	MM5505.01	State Route 60 and Lemon Avenue	Construction	\$ 5,273,500				\$ 5,273,500
<b>Total Programming Amount</b>					<b>\$ 5,273,500</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 5,273,500</b>



**Metro**



Metro



Metro

Los Angeles County  
Metropolitan Transportation  
Authority  
One Gateway Plaza  
3rd Floor Board Room  
Los Angeles, CA

## Board Report

---

**File #:** 2020-0147, **File Type:** Oral Report / Presentation

**Agenda Number:** 9.

---

**MEASURE M INDEPENDENT TAXPAYERS OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE  
MARCH 4, 2020**

**SUBJECT: RECEIVE Oral Report on State of Good Repair**

**ACTION: ORAL REPORT**

**RECOMMENDATION**

Oral Report on State of Good Repair



# Measure M Independent Taxpayer Oversight Committee

Enterprise Transit Asset Management  
*State of Good Repair*



Metro

# Transit Asset Management (TAM)

*“TAM is a business model that uses condition of assets to guide optimal prioritization of funding at transit properties in order to keep our transit networks in a State of Good Repair” --- FTA*

## **Per FTA’s TAM Rulemaking – A Capital Asset is in a State Of Good Repair if it Meets The Following Objective Standards:**

- The capital asset is able to perform its designed function;
- The use of the asset in its current condition does not pose an identified unacceptable safety risk; and
- The life-cycle investment needs of the asset have been met or recovered, including all scheduled maintenance, rehabilitation, and replacements.

## **Metro’s TAM Policy Defines**

**An SGR asset as** one that is currently in use in operation and its rehabilitation or replacement needs shall be included in the asset inventory.

**An SGR capital project involves** rehabilitating or replacing an existing asset. *Excluded from this definition are capital projects for capacity enhancements or expansions to existing projects or new services.*



# TAM Inventory Database Overview


## Current Statistics

As of 6/30/19

Data collection is an ongoing process with Asset Stakeholder Technical Working Groups

Inventory \$18.7B - Continual gathering of information

Backlog: Assets overdue for replacement or rehabilitation





# Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Reporting Requirements

FTA TAM Performance Measures / Targets				Based on FY19 Census Date (6/30/2019)			
Asset Class	On 6/30/2019	Performance Measure based on 49 CFR Part 625	FY20 Target (reported to FTA)	Total Asset Count	Exceeded ULB	Average Age	
<b>Rolling Stock</b>	Motorbus (BU)	22.72%	625.43(b): Rolling stock. The performance measure for rolling stock is the percentage of revenue vehicles within a particular asset class that have either met or exceeded their useful life benchmark.	15.36%	2,152	489	9
	Articulated Bus (AB)	74.81%		75.24%	389	291	14
	Light Rail Vehicles (LR)	0.00%		0.00%	298	0	7
	Heavy Rail Vehicles (HR)	0.00%		0.00%	104	0	23
<b>Equipment</b>	Automobiles	26.00%	625.43(a): Equipment: (non-revenue) service vehicles. The performance measure for non-revenue, support-service and maintenance vehicles equipment is the percentage of those vehicles that have either met or exceeded their useful life benchmark.	26.43%	477	124	6
	Trucks and Other Rubber Tire Vehicles*	28.48%		38.21%	934	266	9
	Steel Wheel Vehicles**	44.44%		25.00%	9	4	12
<b>Facilities</b>	Asset Class	On 6/30/2019	Performance Measure based on 49 CFR Part 625	FY20 Target (reported to FTA)	Total Asset Count	Locations Below TERM Condition 3	
	Passenger Facilities (Stations & Parking)	0.00%	625.43(d): Facilities. The performance measure for facilities is the percentage of facilities within an asset class, rated below condition 3 on the TERM scale.	0.00%	240	0	
	Administration & Maintenance Facilities	0.00%		0.00%	138	0	
<b>Infrastructure</b>	Asset Class	On 6/30/2019	Performance Measure based on 49 CFR Part 625	FY20 Target (reported to FTA)	Total Revenue Track	Average Performance Restriction	
	Heavy Rail (HR)	0.00%	625.43(c): Infrastructure: rail fixed-guideway, track, signals, and systems. The performance measure for rail fixed-guideway, track, signals, and systems is the percentage of track segments with performance restrictions.	0.00%	31.84 miles	0.00 miles	
	Light Rail (LR)	2.80%		2.24%	171.73 miles	4.81 miles	

\* Includes SUVs, heavy construction equipment and other street-legal vehicles, and excludes trailers.

\*\* Self-powered vehicles only (excludes trailers).



# FTA TAM Requirements Accomplished

The following has been accomplished by Metro's Enterprise Transit Asset Management (ETAM) staff:

- The 2018 TAM Plan was updated including adding four new FTA required elements and met the October 31, 2019 FTA compliance deadline.
- The Group Plan was completed and the uniform performance targets were reported to the FTA through the National Transit Database (NTD) by October 31, 2019.
- The TAM performance measures for the preceding fiscal year and new targets were reported to the FTA's NTD by October 31, 2019.
- Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) has been provided Metro's updated TAM Plan and the NTD performance and target data for their regional TAM reporting.

	Current Estimated Replacement Cost	Current Backlog
Facilities	\$ 6,649,077,376	\$ 474,434,681
Equipment	\$ 131,313,615	\$ 49,581,905
Infrastructure	\$ 7,989,126,600	\$ 979,035,826
Rolling Stock	\$ 3,946,289,849	\$ 1,007,244,385
Metro Overall	\$ 18,715,807,441	\$ 2,510,296,797



## State of Good Repair Rail Projects – examples

- A650 Heavy Rail Vehicle Modernization (HRV) and Critical Component Replacement Program (OCCRP) - Midlife Modernization of 74 newest HRVs
- P2000 Light Rail Vehicle Midlife Modernization/ Overhaul Project -P2000 light rail car fleet, 52 vehicles
- P2550 Light Rail Vehicle Mid-Life Modernization -P2550 fleet, consisting of 50 Light Rail Vehicles (LRVs)
- P865/P2020 Railcar Midlife Overhaul
- P2550 Light Rail Vehicle Component Overhaul
- MRL Access Control / Alarm Monitoring System Replacement
- MBL & MGL Emergency Trip System (ETS) Replacement
- MRL 7th/Metro Turnback Upgrade
- Metro Green Line Train Control Track Circuits and TWC
- Blue and Gold Line Train Control Battery Replacement
- Rail Facility Maintenance & Improvements
- Metro Green Line Switch Machine Overhaul
- MRL UPS/Battery Replacement FY17-FY22
- Metro Red Line Tunnel Lighting Rehabilitation
- Green and Gold Line TPSS Battery Replacement
- Elevator Modernization & Escalator Replacement Project
- Fire Alarm Control Panel Replacements/Upgrade
- Metro Green, Red and Blue Line ETEL/PTEL Replacement



# Transit Asset Management - Next Steps

## **Continue sharing TAM information with SCAG to meet MPO Rulemaking reporting dates**

- Metro and Group TAM Plans;
- TAM Performance and Targets; and
- Asset Inventory

## **Develop SGR Capital Projects with Operations and OMB**

## **ETAM, Operations and OMB to integrate asset inventory into capital project prioritization process**

- Include identification of asset replacements in capital project proposals to OMB
- Update backlog with funded FY21 data

## **Continue Condition Assessments:**

- Facilities
- Structures
- Systems

## **October 31, 2019 FTA compliance deadline: MET!**

- Written narrative for Metro TAM and Group TAM Plans provided
- Data uploaded into National Transit Database (NTD), continue to answer FTA's questions
- Provide clarification as needed by the FTA on Performance measures and targets



**Metro**



Thank you!

Denise Longley  
Enterprise Transit Asset Management  
*State of Good Repair*



Metro



**Board Report**

---

**File #:** 2020-0143, **File Type:** Oral Report / Presentation

**Agenda Number:** 10.

---

**MEASURE M INDEPENDENT TAXPAYERS OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE  
MARCH 4, 2020**

**SUBJECT: RECEIVE Oral Report on Transit Operations and Maintenance**

**ACTION: ORAL REPORT**

**RECOMMENDATION**

Oral Report on Transit Operations and Maintenance



# Operations Key Performance Indicator Overview – FY20 Q2


March 2020



*Metro Provides Excellence in Service and Support.*

# FY20 Q2 KPI Actuals – Bus

Metro Bus Program	FY19 Y/E	FY20 Q1	FY20 Q2	FY20 YTD	FY20 Target
On-Time Pullouts	95.89%	96.20%	95.53%	95.87%	97.11%
Mean Miles Between Chargeable Mechanical Failures Requiring Bus Exchange	4,555	4,094	4,157	4,125	5,183
In-Service On-time Performance	72.83%	72.80%	71.15%	71.98%	78.20%
Bus Accidents Per 100,000 Bus Miles	4.26	4.47	4.34	4.43	3.94
Complaints per 100,000 Boardings	5.34	5.80	5.73	5.77	4.24





# FY20 Q2 KPI Actuals – Rail

Metro Rail Program	FY19 Y/E	FY20 Q1	FY20 Q2	FY20 YTD	FY20 Target
On-Time Pullouts	99.72%	99.69%	99.68%	99.68%	99.80%
Mean Miles Between Chargeable Mechanical Failures	39,034	39,859	33,517	36,306	44,540
In-Service On-time Performance	98.81%	98.90%	98.54%	98.79%	99.10%
Traffic Accidents Per 100,000 Train Miles	0.68	0.64	0.86	0.75	0.85
Complaints per 100,000 Boardings	1.83	1.60	1.51	1.55	1.10

